# <u>Topic 3:</u> <u>The Production Function and Costs of the Firm</u> <u>Part I</u>

- 1) <u>The Production Function</u>
- 2) <u>Changing Factors of Production in the</u> and Long <u>runs</u>
- 3) <u>The Short-Run Production Function</u> Total Product Average Product Marginal Product

# 4) <u>The Long-Run Production Function</u>

Marginal Rate of \_\_\_\_\_ Substitution Returns to \_\_\_\_\_ Cobb-Douglas Production Function

# 5) <u>The I</u> Function

# 6) The Long and Short Run Total Cost Functions

7) Shifts in the Long-Run Total Cost Function Change in the Price of a Factor Technological Change

# **<u>Objective:</u>** To examine how firm and industry\_\_\_\_\_ *curves* are derived

**Introduction:** Up to this point we have examined how the market demand function is derived. Next, we will examine the **supply** side of the market. We will explore how firms **minimize** \_\_\_\_\_ and **maximize productive** efficiency in order to produce goods and services. By effectively combining labour and capital, the firm develops a production process with the objective of efficient \_\_\_\_\_\_allocation and cost minimization. The firm is assumed to produce a given output at minimum cost.

# **The Production Function**

### **Definitions:**

Factors of Production: are factors used to produce output.

Example: Labour

Capital - machines -buildings Land **State of technology:** consists of existing k\_\_\_\_\_ about method of production.

The quantity that a firm can produce with its factors of production depends on the <u>state of technology</u>.

The relationship between factors of production and the output that is created is referred to as the <u>**p**</u> function.

"The production function describes the m\_\_\_\_\_ quantity of output that can be produced with each combination of \_\_\_\_\_\_ of production given the state of technology." For any product, the production function is a table, a graph or an equation showing the **maximum** \_\_\_\_\_rate of the product that can be achieved from any specified set of usage rates of <u>inputs</u>.

The production function summarizes the <u>characteristics</u> of existing technology at a given time; it shows the technological constraints that the \_\_\_\_\_must deal with.

**Model Assumption:** The quantity produced per period is **'q'**, and the two factors of production are **labour** and **capital**.

Let: 'L' represent the number of \_\_\_\_\_\_ or aggregate hours of work of a given quality.

Let: 'K' represent the number of \_\_\_\_\_\_ or machine hours, the size of the plant, or the number of plants.

**Notation:** The Production Function of the Firm: **q**=*f*(**L**, **K**)

where f, the function, describes the relationship between the inputs L, K and the output each different combination produces per period.

# <u>Changing Factors of Production in the Short and</u> <u>Long Runs</u>

We must make a distinction between the **short** and **long run**.

In the <u>short run</u>, a firm is able to change some of the factors of production, but at least one factor is  $\underline{\mathbf{f}}$ .

In the long run, *all* factors of production can be v\_\_\_\_\_

#### **The Short-Run Production Function**

<u>Model</u>: consider the simplest case where there is one input whose quantity is fixed and one input whose quantity is variable.

Suppose that the fixed input is the number of machines (capital) and the variable input is 1\_\_\_\_\_

> In the short run the firm cannot change the number of machines quickly without incurring a high \_\_\_\_\_.

> With one fixed input the short-run production function shows how total output changes as the v factor changes.

### **The Total, Average and Marginal Product of Labour**

**The total product function of labour**:  $TP_L$ : shows the various amounts of output that is produced when the amount of labour is varied with a given fixed amount of capital.

### $TP_L(L,K^*)=f(L, K^*)$

The <u>total product function of capital</u>:  $TP_K$  show the various amounts of output that is produced when the amount of capital is varied with a given f\_\_\_\_\_amount of labour.



The total product (output) increases when the amount of labour increases, holding the amount of capital fixed at K\*.

Quantity increases initially at an <u>increasing rate</u>, but eventually quantity increases at a d\_\_\_\_\_ rate when more labour is employed.

Algebraically:  

$$\frac{\partial^2 TP_L(L, K^*)}{\partial L^2} > 0 \text{ at first;}$$
and then eventually,  

$$\frac{\partial^2 TP_L(L, K^*)}{\partial L^2} < 0 \text{ (becomes negative).}$$

At some point, adding more labour units no longer increases o\_\_\_\_\_.

# We can derive the average and marginal product function of labour from the total product function.

The average product function,  $AP_{L_1}$  measures output per unit of labour:

Average product of labour =  $\frac{\text{Total product of labour}}{\text{Number of labour units}}$  $\text{AP}_{L}(L, K^{*}) = \frac{\text{TP}_{L}(L, K^{*})}{L}$ 

Average product of labour is the measure of  $\underline{p}$  of labour.

The <u>marginal product</u> of an input is the addition to total \_\_\_\_\_\_ resulting from the addition of the last unit of the input when the amount of other inputs used is held constant.

The <u>marginal product function of labour</u>, MP<sub>L</sub>, measures the change in quantity due to a change in the labour input, or the \_\_\_\_\_ of the total product function of labour:

Marginal product of labour =  $\frac{\Delta \text{ in total product of labour}}{\Delta \text{ in number of labour units}}$  $MP_{L}(L, K^{*}) = \frac{\Delta TP_{L}(L, K^{*})}{\Delta L} = \frac{\partial TP_{L}}{\partial L}$  If the amount of labour is fixed and capital is varied, the marginal product of capital is:

Marginal product of capital = 
$$\frac{\Delta \text{ in total product of capital}}{\Delta \text{ in capital}}$$
  

$$MP_{K}(L^{*}, K) = \frac{\Delta TP_{K}(L^{*}, K)}{\Delta K} = \frac{\partial TP_{K}}{\partial K}$$

The \_\_\_\_\_\_of the total product function of labour determines the shape of the average and marginal product functions.

Average product of labour, AP<sub>L</sub>, measures output per unit of labour.

It is the <u>slope</u> of a <u>drawn</u> from the origin to any point on the  $TP_L$  function.

The <u>average product of labour</u> for any given level of employment is equal to the <u>slope</u> of a straight line drawn from the origin to the \_\_\_\_\_ product function at that employment level.

Generally, the  $AP_L$  increases at first as labour is increased. I.e. the output per worker increases initially. Further increases in labour reduce AP<sub>L</sub>.

>AP<sub>L</sub> declines when employment increases.

# There is a distinct relationship between marginal product and average product:

When: MP>AP , AP is \_\_\_\_\_ng MP<AP , AP is \_\_\_\_\_ng MP=AP , AP is \_\_\_\_\_ and at a maximum

The <u>law of diminishing returns</u> describes the eventual decline in the \_\_\_\_\_\_ product of the variable factor as the variable factor increases with other factors held constant.

The <u>law of diminishing returns</u> applies only to situations where one \_\_\_\_\_\_is increasing and the other factors are fixed.

"The law of diminishing marginal returns: if equal increments of an input are added, and the quantities of other inputs are held constant, the resulting increments of product will decrease beyond some point; that is, the marginal product of the input will diminish.

- Note: 1) this law is an empirical generalization
  - 2) it assumes that technology remains fixed
  - 3) it assumes that there is at least one input whose quantity is being held constant.



### **The Long-Run Production Function**

≻In the long run, all factors of production are \_\_\_\_\_

### **Substitution Among Factors**

Similar to the notion of substituting between goods to maintain constant utility along an indifference curve, firms usually can produce the same output quantity by substituting between factors of production.

The important question that needs to be addressed is:

"What \_\_\_\_\_\_ of factors <u>should</u> be used to produce this output?"

This question is difficult to answer because there is more than one way to produce the product.

This can be illustrated with the aid of **iso** analysis.

The amount of capital is on the vertical axis and number of labour units is on the horizontal axis.

The curve with an output of  $q_0$  is called an isoquant.

≻ "Iso" means \_\_\_\_.
≻ "quant" means q\_\_\_\_.

The \_\_\_\_\_ produced is the <u>same</u> along the isoquant. The points along the isoquant  $q_0$  represent the different factor combinations that can produce  $q_0$  units per period.

"An isoquant shows the different combinations of factors of production that can produce a given \_\_\_\_\_\_ of output."



### **The Marginal Rate of Technical Substitution**

The marginal rate of technical substitution (MRTS) measures the rate of substitution of one factor for another along an

*"The marginal rate of technical substitution is the rate at which a firm can substitute capital and labour for one another such that the output is* 

$$\mathbf{MRTS}_{\mathrm{KL}} = \frac{\Delta K}{\Delta L}\Big|_{q=\mathrm{constant}}$$

where  $\Delta K / \Delta L$  is the slope between two point on an isoquant.

Note: An isoquant <u>cannot</u> have a \_\_\_\_\_\_ slope.

An increase in one factor of production causes output to increase. Hence this increase in one factor must be offset by a decrease in other factor in order to keep output at the same level.

➤As the firm moves along the isoquant from left to right, the \_\_\_\_\_\_ increases. The firm substitutes labour for capital, but at a diminishing rate. When this occurs there is a *diminishing* marginal rate of technical substitution.

### **Returns to Scale**

In general, the level of a firm's productivity changes as the quantity produced by the firm changes.

Returns to scale refers to the percentage change in \_\_\_\_\_ to a percentage change in \_\_\_\_\_.

## **Three Cases:**

- 1) When the percentage increase in inputs is smaller than the percentage increase in output, there are \_\_\_\_\_\_ returns to scale.
- 2) When the percentage increase in inputs leads to the \_\_\_\_\_\_ percentage increase in output, there are <u>constant</u> returns to scale.

3) When the percentage increase in inputs is \_\_\_\_\_\_ than the percentage increase in output, there are **decreasing** returns to scale.



The <u>returns to scale</u> can be measured along the ray from the origin.

Here the capital to labour ratio is given.

There is a relationship between returns to scale and the <u>spacing</u> of the iso\_\_\_\_\_.

When there are \_\_\_\_\_\_ returns to scale, the isoquants are bunched closer together.

When there are \_\_\_\_\_ing returns to scale, the isoquants are farther apart.

# **Returns to Scale and the Cobb-Douglas Production Function**

A common production function is the Cobb-Douglas production function.

```
Algebraically:
```

# $q = AL^aK^b$

where A, a and b are constant and greater than zero.

To determine the returns to scale for this function, we could change labour and capital by a factor 'm' and then determine if output changes by more than, equal to or less than 'm' times.

# $q=A (mL)^{a}(mK)^{b}$ $q=Am^{a}L^{a}m^{b}K^{b}$

# $q=m^{a+b}[AL^{a}K^{b}]$

Since originally output was  $q=AL^{a}K^{b}$ , we can determine if output will increase by either less than m times if a+b<1 (because  $m^{a+b}<m$ ), by exactly m times if a+b=1 or by more then m times if a+b>1.

### **The MRTS and MP of Both Factors of Production**

The MRTS and marginal \_\_\_\_\_\_ of labour and capital are related.

Suppose the firm decides to increase the amount of capital it employs, holding the amount of labour constant. Output will increase by the amount  $\Delta q_K$  because of the increase in capital  $\Delta K$ .

The increase in output is approximated by

$$\Delta q_{K} = M P_{K} \Delta K$$

If the firm holds capital constant and decreases the amount of labour it employs, \_\_\_\_\_ decreases by  $\Delta q_L$ , where the amount of labour decreases by  $\Delta L$ .

The decrease in output is approximated by

$$\Delta q_L = M P_L \Delta L$$

Along a given isoquant, output must be \_\_\_\_\_.

If the firm increases capital by  $\Delta K$ , labour must decrease by an amount  $\Delta L$  such that:  $\Delta q_{K+} \Delta q_{L} =$ \_\_in order to remain on the same isoquant. Substituting in these expressions, the condition becomes:

$$MP_{K}\Delta K + MP_{L}\Delta L = 0$$

Rearranging such that we have an expression for the MRTS in terms of the marginal products of the two factors:

$$MRTS_{KL} \equiv \frac{\Delta K}{\Delta L} = -\frac{--L}{--K}$$

The MRTS of K for L equals the negative of the ratio of the marginal \_\_\_\_\_\_ of L and K.

# **The Isocost Function**

The production function summarizes the t\_\_\_\_\_ options facing the firm. Unfortunately it is not enough to be just aware of these options when making the output and factor \_\_\_\_\_\_decision.

>The\_\_\_\_\_ of a factor of production is extremely important in this decision.

> In order to minimize costs and produce efficiently, the firm must know **exactly** what its costs will be.

Let 'w' be the annual cost of each unit of labour. Let 'M' be the price of capital that never needs to be replaced. Let 'i' be the interest rate.

Let r=iM= the opportunity cost of maintaining one unit of capital.

The total annual cost of producing the good is:

 $\begin{aligned} Total \ cost = Cost \ of \ labour + Cost \ of \ Capital \\ C = wL + rK \quad (Total \ Cost \ Function) \end{aligned}$ 

Rearranging we have an expression for K:

$$K = \frac{C}{r} - \frac{W}{r}L \quad \Leftarrow \_\_\_\_\_\_ Line$$

The isocost line represents the total \_\_\_\_ C as constant for all K-L combinations satisfying the equation.

"An isocost line shows the different combinations of \_\_\_\_\_\_ of production that can be employed with a given total cost."



# When C, total cost, increases, the isocost line shifts \_\_\_\_\_ in a parallel fashion, but the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of the line does not change.



In economics an isocost line shows all combinations of inputs which cost the same total amount.<sup>[1][2]</sup> Although similar to the budget constraint in consumer theory, the use of the isocost line pertains to cost-minimization in production, as opposed to utility-maximization. For the two production inputs labour and capital, with fixed unit costs of the inputs, the equation of the isocost line is

$$rK + wL = C$$

where w represents the wage rate of labour, r represents the rental rate of capital, K is the amount of capital used, L is the amount of labour used, and C is the total cost of acquiring those quantities of the two inputs.

The absolute value of the slope of the isocost line, with capital plotted vertically and labour plotted horizontally, equals the ratio of unit costs of labour and capital. The slope is:

-w/r.

### **The Production Decision**

We can now determine which combination of factors produces a given quantity at the lowest total cost.



There are three isocost lines. The firm wants to produce  $q_1$  units. The cost minimizing point is at A.

≻Total cost increases by moving from each isocost line.

> The firm can produce  $q_1$  units along the isoquant  $q_1$ .

> The total \_\_\_\_\_ of produces  $q_1$  units is minimized at point A.

If the firm is producing  $q_1$  units at \_\_\_\_\_\_total cost, the slope of the isoquant equals the slope of the isocost line:

$$MRTS_{KL} = - - - - - - (Minimum cost Condition)$$

"A firm minimizes the total cost of producing a given quantity by selecting a combination of factors where the \_\_\_\_\_ of the isoquant equals the \_\_\_\_\_ of the isocost line."

And since 
$$MRTS_{KL} = -\frac{--L}{--K}$$
,  
and  $MRTS_{KL} = -\frac{-}{-}$ , then  $-\frac{MP_L}{MP_K} = -\frac{W}{r}$ .  
Rearranging  $\frac{MP_L}{W} = \frac{MP_K}{r}$  (Minimum Cost Condition)

The firm minimizes the total cost of providing a given quantity if the ratio of the marginal product of a factor to its \_\_\_\_\_\_ is the same for all factors.

"The lowest total cost of producing a given quantity occurs when the ratio of the marginal product of a factors to the last dollar spent on it is equal for all factors of production."

The rate of the  $MP_L$  to the price of labour represents the increase in output due to the last dollar spent on labour.

To minimize total cost, the additional output due to the last dollar spent on labour must be equal to the addition output due to the last dollar spent on capital.

# If they are not equal, it pays the firm to re\_\_\_\_\_ its expenditure from one factor to another.

### The firm should spend more money on the factor that gives the firm a greater boost in output for the extra dollar spent.

The condition that the MRTS be equal to *w/r* can be given the following intuitive interpretation. We know that the MRTS is equal to the ratio of the marginal products of the two inputs. So the condition that the MRTS be equal to the input cost ratio is equivalent to the condition that the marginal product per dollar is equal for the two inputs. This condition makes sense: at a particular input combination, if an extra dollar spent on input 1 yields more output than an extra dollar spent on input 2, then more of input 1 should be used and less of input 2, and so that input combination cannot be optimal. Only if a dollar spent on each input is equally productive is the input bundle optimal.



# **The Long-Run and Short-Run Total Cost Functions**

We will first examine the relationship between total cost and quantity produced when all factors of production can be \_\_\_\_\_\_and then when one factor is fixed and the other factor is variable.

# **The Long-run Total Cost Function**

The long-run total cost function represents the lowest total cost of producing a unit of a good when all inputs are v\_\_\_\_\_.



On the diagram, there are two point of tangency of isocost lines with two isoquants.

If the firm wants to produce  $q_0$  units of the good, it can minimize its total cost by employing  $L_0$  units of labour and  $K_0$ units of capital, such that its minimum cost is  $C_0$ .

If the firm wants to produce  $q_1$  units of the good, it can minimize its total \_\_\_\_\_ by employing  $L_1$  units of labour and  $K_1$  units of capital, such that its minimum cost is  $C_1$ .

The curve that connects all these points of tangency between an isoquant and an isocost line is referred to as the e\_\_\_\_\_ path. Each point relates a quantity with a minimum total \_\_\_\_.

To derive the long-run total cost function, we take the pairs of total cost and quantity from the expansion path.



"The long-run total cost function shows the lowest total \_\_\_\_\_\_ of producing each quantity when all factors of production are

# **Returns to Scale and the Shape of the Long-Run Average Cost Function**

Notice in the last the example the long-run total cost curve increased initially at a \_\_\_\_\_\_ rate as the quantity increased.

This indicates that the firm is operating in a region of the production function where there are **<u>increasing</u>** returns to scale.

That is, the increase in long-run total cost is less than the increase in output as production increases.

At some point as quantity of output becomes even larger, the long-run total cost increases at an increasing rate, and the firm experiences <u>decreasing</u> returns to scale.

The Long-run Average Cost: is the long-run total cost divided by quantity:



When there are increasing returns to scale, the percentage increase in total cost is \_\_\_\_\_ than the percentage increase in quantity:

as output produced

### 

However, after some point, the long-run average cost reaches a minimum where there are constant returns to scale and then decreasing returns to scale.

Formally, a production function F'(K,L) is defined to have:

- constant returns to scale if (for any constant a greater than 0) F(aK,aL)=aF(K,L)
- increasing returns to scale if (for any constant a greater than 1)  $\dot{F}(aK, aL) > aF(K, L),$
- decreasing returns to scale if (for any constant a between 0 and 1) F(aK, aL) < aF(K, L)

where K and L are factors of production, capital and labor, respectively.

Formal example

### **The Short-Run Total Cost Function**

In the short run, one factor is fixed. Hence, the \_\_\_\_\_ of this fixed factor does not change as \_\_\_\_\_\_ produced changes.

The short-run total cost function consists of two components: Short-run total cost = total fixed cost + total variable cost

 $TC_S = TC_S(q) = F + V(q)$ 

> The short-run total cost function shows the \_\_\_\_\_ total cost of producing each quantity when one factor is fixed.

>The \_\_\_\_\_ cost must be paid regardless of whether any of the good is produced.

 $\succ$  The variable cost will increase when the quantity produced increases.

# **Deriving the Short-run Total Cost Curve**



≻Refer to the diagram on the former page.

In the short-run, the firm has K\* units of capital.

The firm's <u>expansion path</u> is the horizontal line 'S' because the amount of capital is f.

If the firm wants to produce  $q_0$  units in the short run, it must employ  $L_1$  units of labour and incur a total cost of  $C_1$ .

The lowest total cost of producing quantity  $q_0$  is along the isocost line  $C_0$ .

However, since the cost  $C_1$  is greater than  $C_0$ , it is more to produce  $q_0$  units in the short run when the amount of capital is fixed at K\*, than in the long run when capital is variable.

With K\* of capital, production of q\* units of output with L\* units of labour, is the **cost minimization production solution**.

# **Shifts in the Long-Run Total Cost Function**

The position of the long-run cost function will shift if:

- 1) the prices of the \_\_\_\_\_ change
- 2) there is a change in \_\_\_\_\_

# 1) <u>A Change in the</u> of A Factor



Initially the expansion path is  $E_0$ . The firm produces  $q_0$  units at the lowest total cost  $C_0$  by employing  $L_0$  units of labour and using  $K_0$  units of capital.

At point A, the slope of the isocost line equals the slope of the isoquant.

Suppose the price of capital \_\_\_\_\_.

The isocost line becomes  $C_1$ . The cost of a unit of capital decreases \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the cost of a unit of labour.

After the price reduction of capital, annual cost falls and becomes  $r_1=iM_1$ . (New price of capital)

 $-\frac{w}{r_1}$  = new slope of isocost lines. All the firm's isocost lines now have the same slope as isocost C<sub>1</sub>. The firm's new \_\_\_\_\_ path is  $E_1$ , derived by connecting all points of tangency between each new isocost line and each isoquant.

If the firm produces the same output  $q_0$ , it will now use more capital (K<sub>2</sub> units) and \_\_\_\_\_ labour (L<sub>2</sub> units).

Because this combination occurs on the isocost line  $C_2$ , below  $C_1$ , the total cost associated with producing the output  $q_0$  will be lower after the price of capital falls.

We can now derive the total long-run cost of production of each quantity from the new expansion path  $E_1$ .



# 2) <u>Technological Change</u>

The long-run total cost function shifts \_\_\_\_\_ when a new technology allows the firm to produce a larger quantity with any given combination of factors.

The technological change allows the firm to produce the same quantity of output at a lower cost.

