

Econ 203: production theory (ch 9)

(will cover ch. 16.1 later in term)

To this point: have derived demand curve for a market

- started with individual preferences and constraints;
- derived individual demand curves for goods (from price consumption curves);
- derived market demand curves by aggregating demand curves of individual consumers.

Now turn away from consumer side of market, to firms.

Roadmap for this side of market?

1. Production function - technological constraint on firm's output decision (ch 9);
2. Cost function - translate technological constraint into monetary values, which are of concern to firm (ch. 10);
3. Firm's output decision: maximize profits; how to do this depends on relation between market demand curve and firm's demand curve - which depends on market structure (chs 11-13).

Characterize process by which goods are made:

- Def'n: *production function*: relationship between inputs (exogenous variables) and outputs (endogenous variables)
- maximum output for a given level of inputs
 - written $Q=F(L, K)$ L=labour, K=capital
 - describes physical, measurable relationship - significant difference from utility
 - given by technology

General properties of production functions?

A. Period of production:

short run (SR): one input fixed (K);

long run (LR): all inputs (L,K) variable.

Why distinguish? Possibility of substitution in LR, not in SR
- different decisions

Example: CD production: $Q = L^{0.5} K^{0.6}$

- in LR, can vary both L and K, and substitution between them is possible
- in SR, K is fixed - a number, say 10, so SR production function is $Q = 10^{0.6} L^{0.5} = 10^{0.6} \sqrt{L}$
- shape of this function?

So: first look at SR production function: $Q = f(L)$

- key property? *law of (eventually) diminishing returns*: if add more and more units of variable factor to given quantity of a fixed factor, **additional output** from each **additional unit** of the variable factor will eventually decline.
- notice: output does not decline, but increment to output declines-
- why?

Law of diminishing returns gives shape of *total product curve*:
relationship between variable input and output in SR
- depends on level of fixed input

Other important SR functions:

1. *Marginal product*: of a variable input (labour, here)

$MP_L = \Delta Q / \Delta L$: change in output from unit increase in
variable input, *all other factors fixed*
analogous to marginal utility

2. *Average product*: of labour: $AP_L = Q/L$

Relation between average and marginal product functions?

1. Geometric? See figures 9.6-9.7

2. Algebraic?

Example: back to SR CD function $Q = 10^{0.6} L^{0.5}$
this is total product function.

$$AP_L = Q/L = 10^{0.6} L^{-0.5}$$

$$MP_L = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial L} = 0.5 \cdot 10^{0.6} L^{-0.5}$$

Average and marginal products are both increasing in K

Relation between average and marginal product functions?

- both positive;
- average everywhere greater than marginal
- diminishing returns? MP_L is decreasing in L
- AP_L also diminishing in L

=
C-D illustrates general relation: if $MP_L < AP_L$, then AP_L is falling as L increases.

More general function: if $MP_L > AP_L$, then AP_L is increasing as $L \uparrow$.

To see: let $Q = TP(L)$ be short run production function

$$\text{then } AP_L = TP(L)/L = TP(L) \times L^{-1}$$

take derivative of AP_L with respect to L :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dAP_L}{dL} &= \frac{dTP(L)}{dL} \times L^{-1} - L^{-2} \times TP(L) \\ &= (MP_L - AP_L) / L \end{aligned}$$

so sign of slope of AP_L depends on difference between marginal and average products.

Final point on relation between marginal and average products:

- two are equal when average product is max'd

Why do we care about this?

- marginal product gives effects of one more unit of labour;
- will one more worker increase or decrease average productivity?
- when is it correct to hire one more worker? To stop?

Basis for SR cost functions, which are important in determining firm's output in the SR

And: really, all production occurs in the SR; LR is "planning period"

Properties of LR production function: $Q=F(L,K)$?

- consider contour lines of function: combinations of capital and labour which yield constant level of output.
- label these contour lines “isoquants”
- shape? Assume:
 1. Negative slope
 2. Higher output associated with isoquants farther from origin
 3. Possibilities for substitution: isoquants are convex to origin: substitution is possible, but more difficult to substitute labour for capital as ratio of K/L becomes very small.
- measure substitution possibilities by slope of isoquant:
 - define Marginal rate of technical substitution (MRTS)
(analogous to MRS)

Can define MRTS in terms of marginal products of inputs:
- at input combination (L^0, K^0) ,

$$\text{MRTS} = \frac{MP_L(L^0, K^0)}{MP_K(L^0, K^0)}$$

Notice: even though varying both inputs, can still define marginal products.

Ass'm that isoquant is convex = assumption that MRTS decreases as L increases.

Property #4 of LR production function: ***returns to scale (rts)***

- by how much does output increase if vary both inputs *by same proportion*?
- ie, if increase both K and L by 50%, will output go up by 50%? By > 50%? By < 50%?
- algebra: relation between $F(tL,tK)$ and $tF(L,K)$, for $t > 1$
- depends on production function
- labels on isoquants matter (unlike IC's)

Three possibilities:

1. Increasing returns to scale (IRS): $F(tL,tK) > tF(L,K)$
 - scaling up inputs means output increases more than proportionately
 - example? Industrial processes where volume impt ie, pipelines, breweries....

2. Constant returns to scale (CRS): $F(tL, tK) = tF(L, K)$

- scale of production irrelevant
- given plant can always be duplicated

3. Decreasing returns to scale (DRS) $F(tL, tK) < tF(L, K)$

- scaling up production leads to complications
- organizational problems?

CD functions: rts given by sum of exponents:

example: $Q = L^{0.5} K^{0.6}$

has IRS: if increase both inputs by factor $t > 1$,
Q increases by $t^{1.1} > 1$

Diagrams? Frequently assume first IRS, then DRS.