

Contract with asymmetric information

Assume now that A has private info about own efficiency / P cannot costlessly observe θ

Is full-info optimal contract still optimal?

a) is it feasible?

Spse P offered two-part schedule

$$\{(\bar{t}^*, \bar{q}^*), (\underline{t}^*, \underline{q}^*)\}$$

Which contract would efficient A choose?
inefficient A?

First-best contract is not implementable because it violates incentive compatibility

Viable contract menu under asymmetric info must satisfy

two sets of constraints:

- i) participation constraints (to accept contract):
(as above)
- ii) self-selection constraints / incentive compatibility constraints:

$$U^A(\underline{t}, \underline{q}; \underline{\theta}) \geq U^A(\bar{t}, \bar{q}; \underline{\theta})$$

$$\text{and } U^A(\bar{t}, \bar{q}; \bar{\theta}) \geq U^A(\underline{t}, \underline{q}; \bar{\theta})$$

In this context, SS constraints are

$$\bar{\theta} : \quad \bar{t} - \bar{\theta} \bar{q} \geq \underline{t} - \bar{\theta} \underline{q}$$

$$\underline{\theta} : \quad \underline{t} - \underline{\theta} \underline{q} \geq \bar{t} - \underline{\theta} \bar{q}$$

If these both satisfied, neither type of agent/wkr has incentive to lie about level of own efficiency

Def'n: menu of contracts is *incentive feasible* if satisfies both participation and incentive constraints.

Properties of feasible menus?

1. Rearranging and combining SS constraints yields

$$\underline{\theta}(\bar{q} - \underline{q}) \geq \bar{t} - \underline{t} \geq \bar{\theta}(\bar{q} - \underline{q})$$

For this to hold, need $\underline{\theta}(\bar{q} - \underline{q}) \geq \bar{\theta}(\bar{q} - \underline{q})$

$$\text{or } (\underline{\theta} - \bar{\theta})(\bar{q} - \underline{q}) \geq 0$$

Since $\bar{\theta} > \underline{\theta}$, this requires $\underline{q} \geq \bar{q}$:

higher quantity demanded from more efficient agent

Since more efficient agent must work harder, must pay this type of worker more, to induce additional effort, so $\underline{t} \geq \bar{t}$ (so payment increasing in output).

Incentive feasible set in (q,t) space, given private info on θ ? (picture?)

Def'n: *Information rent*: surplus transferred to agent under asymmetric info to ensure incentive compatibility, over and above payment required to satisfy participation constraint.

Principal's problem with asymmetric information?

max own payoff, given constraints:

choose $\{(\bar{t}, \bar{q}), (\underline{t}, \underline{q})\}$ to max

$$v(S(\underline{q}) - \underline{t}) + (1-v)(S(\bar{q}) - \bar{t})$$

st. 2 participation constraints:

and 2 self-selection constraints

Now: LM introduce a change of variables here:

Define: \bar{U} is utility of agent with $\bar{\theta}$ in eq'm

If $>$ zero (res'n utility), then inefficient agent benefits from the asym info - receives info rents.

Using this, in self-selection constraints, information rents accruing to efficient agent are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{efficient agent: } \underline{t} - \underline{\theta} \underline{q} &= \bar{t} - \underline{\theta} \bar{q} \\ &= \bar{t} - \bar{\theta} \bar{q} + (\bar{\theta} - \underline{\theta}) \bar{q} \\ &= \bar{U} + \Delta\theta \bar{q} \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting P's objective f'n in terms of (\underline{U}, \bar{U}) :

$$\begin{aligned} v(S(\underline{q}) - \underline{U} - \underline{\theta} \underline{q}) + (1-v)(S(\bar{q}) - \bar{U} - \bar{\theta} \bar{q}) \\ = v(S(\underline{q}) - \underline{\theta} \underline{q}) + (1-v)(S(\bar{q}) - \bar{\theta} \bar{q}) \\ - (v \underline{U} + (1-v) \bar{U}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

First line: surplus under full info

Second line: expected information rents

Why is this form useful?

Asymmetric info contracts different from those under full info *if* nec'y to pay agent for to induce revelation of payoff relevant information

- this reduces the surplus overall
- reduces payoff of P

In this form, P's chooses (quantity, info rent) pair for each type of agent.

Constraints? Participation and SS:

Participation: given res'n utility = 0,

$$\bar{U} \geq 0 \text{ and } \underline{U} \geq 0 \quad (2a, 2b)$$

SS: efficient agent: $\underline{U} \geq \bar{U} + \Delta\theta \bar{q}$ (3a)

inefficient agent: $\bar{U} \geq \underline{U} - \Delta\theta \underline{q}$ (3b)

(recall: $\Delta\theta \equiv \bar{\theta} - \underline{\theta} > 0$)

P's problem: choose $\{(\underline{U}, \underline{q}), (\bar{U}, \bar{q})\}$ to max (1)

subject to 2a-3b

Solution?

a) how to solve?

- set up lagrangian...use brute force
- or
- think about problem, and try and reduce constraints.

What do we know?

- incentive for misrepresentation by efficient agent (at full info contracts)
- (inefficient agent has no incentive to misrepresent - worse off if claims to be efficient)

- therefore efficient agent must be compensated to induce self-selection, inefficient agent need not be

- since P's payoff is decreasing in expected info rents, P wants to minimize this

- therefore: in contract,
 - i) participation constraint binding for inefficient agent - so $\bar{U} = 0$, and

 - ii) self-selection constraint binding for efficient agent - so $\underline{U} = \Delta\theta \bar{q}$.

Return to optimization problem, using these, P's objective function is:

$$\begin{aligned} & v(S(\underline{q}) - \underline{U} - \underline{\theta}\underline{q}) + (1-v)(S(\bar{q}) - \bar{U} - \bar{\theta}\bar{q}) \\ &= v(S(\underline{q}) - \underline{\theta}\underline{q}) + (1-v)(S(\bar{q}) - \bar{\theta}\bar{q}) - v\Delta\theta\bar{q} \end{aligned}$$

Notes:

- i) a matter of choosing only quantities produced by each type of agent - payment (t) determined by constraints once quantity det'd
- ii) info rent (last term in obj've function) depends only on quantity produced by *inefficient* agent. (WHY?)

Solution to P's problem/ optimal solution with asym info?

1. wrt \underline{q} : same as first best

(notice: \underline{t} here $>$ first best, since $\underline{U} > 0$)

2. wrt \bar{q} : lower than in first best

(note: \bar{t} correspondingly lower, since $\bar{U} = 0$)

So: consequences of asym info - gains and losses?

1. lower quantity produced by inefficient agent - loss of social surplus
2. inefficient agent receives same payoff - unaffected by asym info
3. efficient agent benefits - receives info rents in form of higher pay for same output
4. P worse off: social surplus lost, plus must pay more for efficient agent.