

Extension to basic model:

Agent's cost function is non-linear:

$$U^A(t, q; \theta) = t - C(q, \theta) \text{ with } C_i > 0, i = q, \theta,$$

$C_{qq} > 0$ : increasing marginal cost of quantity

$C_{qq\theta} > 0$ : MC increases more quickly for higher  $\theta$

Single crossing property / Spence Mirlees property:

$$C_{q\theta} > 0$$

(Do we really need the condition on the third derivative of the cost function??)

What does this change in the problem?

Nothing, really: the same constraints are binding, and the optimal contract looks the same:

- i) first best quantity for efficient A
- ii) lower than first best q for inefficient A
- iii) efficient A gets positive info rents
- iv) inefficient A receives res'n utility

Examples:

1. Monopoly: nonlinear pricing (second degree price discrimination)

Setting:

consumers vary wrt willingness to pay for high quality: *vertical differentiation*;  
demand one unit (think large consumer durables, membership in golf clubs,...)

Question: what qualities will firm put on the market?

1. Monopoly and 2<sup>nd</sup> degree price discrimination:

basic setting: single seller, heterogeneous consumers (ex: utilities, different sizes of laundry detergent...)

can set single price, *or* establish a nonlinear price schedule: unit price varies with quantity

Model: (LF give general; Campbell, ch 3.5, specific)

Principal = producer/seller

agent = consumer

Monopoly producer of electricity

cost: \$1/unit (constant marginal cost)

Consumers:

$$\text{high benefit: } U(p, q; \bar{\theta} = 4) = 4\sqrt{q} - p$$

$$\text{low benefit: } U(p, q; \underline{\theta} = 2) = 2\sqrt{q} - p$$

First best (perfect price discrimination):

monopoly charges each group max'm willingness to pay (takes all consumer surplus) - max's social surplus = profits + CS

$$\text{high benefit consumer: } \max_q (4\sqrt{q} - q) \Rightarrow q = 4$$

$$\text{low benefit consumer: } \max_q (2\sqrt{q} - q) \Rightarrow q = 1$$

prices?  $p_h = 8$ ,  $p_l = 2$

Contracts:  $(q, p) = \{(4, 8), (1, 2)\}$

surplus produced? High benefit: 2, low benefit = 0

With asymmetric information:

Who has incentive to misrepresent benefits?

Check to see if first best contracts satisfy SS constraints:

high benefit:  $U_h(4, 8) = 0$ ;  $U_h(1, 2) = 2 > 0$ : SS violated

low benefit:  $U_l(1,2)=0$ ;  $U_l(4,8)=-4<0$ : SS satisfied

If hold  $U_l=0$  with asym contract  $(q_l, p_l)$ , then SS for high benefit consumer is

$$\begin{aligned} 4\sqrt{q_h} - p_h &\geq 4\sqrt{q_l} - p_l \\ &= 2\sqrt{q_l} + 2\sqrt{q} - p_l = 2\sqrt{q_l} \end{aligned}$$

Spse  $v=0.25$ : 1/4 of all consumers are high benefit

Then P's problem under asym info: choose  $\{q_l, q_h\}$   
 to max  $0.25 \times \{4\sqrt{q_h} - q_h\} + 0.75 \times \{2\sqrt{q_l} - q_l\}$   
 $-0.25 \times 2\sqrt{q_l}$

FOC's:

for  $q_h$ , same as first best - so  $q_h=4$

$$\text{for } q_l, \frac{\partial}{\partial q_l} = 0.75 \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_l}} - 1 \right] - 0.25 \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_l}} = 0$$

so  $q_l = 4/9 < 1$  = first best quantity

Prices?  $U_l = 0$ , so  $p_l = 2\sqrt{q_l} = 4/3 <$  first best  
price (=2)

$$U_h = 2\sqrt{q_l} = 4/3, \text{ so}$$
$$p_h = 4\sqrt{q_h} - 4/3 = 20/3 < \text{first best price (=8)}$$