What is the difference between visible light and The temperature of stars may be gauged by their colour, e.g. electromagnetic radiation? blue-white stars are hotter than red stars. How is this observation consistent with Planck's assumption? A. Electromagnetic radiation contains both an oscillating electric field and an oscillating magnetic field: visible light only Planck proposed that matter is allowed to emit energy across a contains one of the two. continuous spectrum analogous to the spectrum of visible light. Visible light is only one form of electromagnetic radiation; all Planck proposed that all types of matter would emit the same Β. visible light is electromagnetic radiation, but not all frequencies of visible light when at the same temperatures. Chapter 1 electromagnetic radiation is visible light. C. As temperature increases, so does the average energy of the C. Electromagnetic radiation is only found at higher energies emitted radiation. Blue-white light is at the longer wavelength than visible light. (lower energy) end of the visible spectrum while red light is at the shorter wavelength (higher energy) end of the visible spectrum. D. Electromagnetic radiation is only one form of visible light; all electromagnetic radiation is visible light, but not all visible light As temperature increases, so does the average energy of the is characterized as electromagnetic radiation. emitted radiation. Blue-white light is at the shorter wavelength (higher energy) end of the visible spectrum while red light is at the E. Electromagnetic radiation cannot be seen, but visible light is, longer wavelength (lower energy) end of the visible spectrum. duh, visible. E. Never assume anything - it makes an ass out of u and me Human skin is penetrated by X-rays but not by visible light. Suppose that yellow light can be used to elect electrons Speculate as to how Bohr's model might explain the fact that Which travels faster, X-rays or visible light? from a certain metal surface. What would happen if hydrogen gas emits a line spectrum rather than a continuous spectrum. ultraviolet light was used instead? Α. Both visible light and X-rays travel at the same speed, Α. No electrons would be ejected. Hydrogen's one-electron system only has four possible about 300,000 km per second. Electrons would be elected, and they would have the same B energies of emission and absorption. В. Additional energy information is needed to compare the kinetic energy as those ejected by yellow light. В. Photons of only certain allowed frequencies can be absorbed speeds. C. Electrons would be ejected, and they would have greater or emitted as the electron changes energy state. C. X-ravs travel faster. kinetic energy than those ejected by yellow light. C. Hvdrogen's spectroscopic behavior is different than other D. Visible light travels faster. Electrons would be ejected, and they would have lower kinetic D. elements since it's a one-electron system allowing only Ε. Umm... none of the above? energy than those ejected by yellow light. certain portions of the continuous spectrum to be visible. Ε. The surface would develop a nice even tan Photons of a continuous frequency spectrum can be absorbed or emitted but only certain regions have enough intensity to be detectable. E. I'm too cautious to speculate As the electron in a hydrogen atom jumps from the n = 3A baseball pitcher throws a fastball at 150 km/h. Does that What is the principal reason that the uncertainty principle orbit to the n = 7 orbit, does it absorb energy or emit moving baseball generate matter waves? If so, can we seems very important when discussing electrons and other observe them? subatomic particles, but seems rather unimportant in our energy? macroscopic world? It neither emits nor absorbs energy. Α. Α. No matter waves are produced. **A**. The size and mass of a subatomic particle is very small В. It both emits and absorbs energy simultaneously. No, because the mass of the baseball is too large. relative to the macroscopic world. It emits energy. С. Yes; but too small to allow any way of observing them. Β. The uncertainty principle is very important in the macroscopic D. It absorbs energy. Yes: and they can be observed. world Hmm, a 50:50 proposition... Heads! C. The uncertainty principle only applies to charged particles and E. Let me ask YOU; what is the sound of one hand clapping? most macroscopic world objects are neutral. D. The uncertainty principle only applies to subatomic particles. The uncertainty principle applies when we haven't a clue what F the answer to a multiple choice question is, so we guess "E"









 One of the resonance structures of the nitrate ion, NO₃⁻, is shown. The bond angles are exactly 120°. Is this observation consistent with the effect of multiple bonds on bond angles? A. No, the double bond should squeeze the bond angle between single bonds to less than 120°. Wes, resonance equalizes repulsions between bonds, making all angles 120°. C. Sorry; all future questions will have to be addressed to my lawyer. 	What is the shape of SeF ₄ ? A Tetrahedral B. Seesaw C. Square planar D. T-shaped E. Trigonal bipyramid	What is the shape of PF ₆ -? A. Hexagonal B. Trigonal bipyramid C: Octahedral D. Square pyramid E. Cubic
What is the shape of BF ₄ -? A Tetrahedral B. Seesaw C. Square planar D. T-shaped E. Trigonal bipyramid	What is the shape of N ₃ -? A Linear B. Bent C. Planar D. Trigonal E. Straight	The molecule O=C=S has a Lewis structure analogous to that of CO ₂ and is a linear molecule. Will it have a zero dipole moment like CO ₂ ? A. No B. Yes C. It depends on whether it is O=C=S or S=C=O
	۲	۲
 Suppose that two unhybridized 2p orbitals were used to make the Be-F bonds in BeF₂. Would the two bonds be equivalent to each other? If yes, what would be the expected F-Be-F bond angle? A. No. B. Yes, bond angle = 90°. Yes, bond angle = 109.5°. D. Yes, bond angle = 180°. E. No, this type of bonding is expressly forbidden by Pauli, Hund, Heisenberg and Schrodinger. 	 In an sp² hybridized atom, what is the orientation of the unhybridized p orbital relative to the three sp² hybrid orbitals? A. The unhybridized p-orbital is 180° from the plane of the sp² orbitals. B. The unhybridized p-orbital is coplanar with the plane of the sp² orbitals. C. The unhybridized p-orbital is 109.5° from the plane of the sp² orbitals. D. The unhybridized p-orbital is perpendicular to the plane of the sp² orbitals. E. The unhybridized p-orbital is perpendicular to the plane of the sp² orbitals. E. The unhybridized p-orbital is reeling drunkenly about the atom. 	The molecule <i>diazine</i> has the formula N ₂ H ₂ and the Lewis structure shown below. Would you expect diazine to be a linear molecule (all four atoms on the same line)? Would you expect the molecule to be planar (all four atoms in the same plane)? $H - \overset{\bullet}{N} = \overset{\bullet}{N} - H$ A The molecule is both linear and planar. B The molecule is not linear but is planar. C. The molecule is neither linear nor planar. E. The molecule is orange with green polka dots.
۲	0	

Т

Т





