Selective mass spectrometric analysis of thiols using charge-tagged disulfides†
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A simple chemical derivatization technique was developed for electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) in which thiols and disulfides may be selectively analyzed in a complex matrix and easily characterized. These reagents enhance detection of thiols and disulfides solely due to the nature of the charge-tag derivatization agent and therefore does not require an isotopically labelled substrate. The charged disulfides readily and exclusively react with thiols in a complex matrix in a short amount of time. Furthermore, the synthesis of these reagents is simple and results in a highly pure and stable reagent. The efficacy of this reaction was demonstrated using on-line monitoring, while the scope and usefulness of the reaction was demonstrated in petroleum fractions.

Introduction

The need to develop analytical approaches to investigate speciation in petroleum products is well-recognized, whether to enable efficient usage of all the fractions or to establish the extent to which these products may affect the environment. In particular, knowing what contaminating functional groups are present in the finished product is crucial in order to develop effective strategies for their removal. Increasingly elaborate petroleum extraction processes add to the complexity of the petroleum matrix which can complicate refinement. Enhanced oil recovery techniques are employed with increasing frequency especially as displacement techniques such as polymer, microbial and alkaline surfactant flooding become more common. These processes can complicate refinement. Enhanced oil recovery techniques are employed with increasing frequency especially as displacement techniques such as polymer, microbial and alkaline surfactant flooding become more common. These processes can complicate refinement. Enhanced oil recovery techniques are employed with increasing frequency especially as displacement techniques such as polymer, microbial and alkaline surfactant flooding become more common. These processes can complicate refinement.
and easy to execute, and are well-studied.\textsuperscript{20} Our approach to characterization of mercaptans in petroleum distillate fractions is the modification of a well-known bio-conjugate reaction, thiol–disulfide exchange, in order to selectively tag thiols for analysis by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry.\textsuperscript{21,22}

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) operates by transferring ions from solution into the gas phase through the evaporation of a spray of charged droplets.\textsuperscript{23} Neutral species are not detected; therefore, a compound must readily acquire a charge (e.g. through protonation, deprotonation, or association with a cation such as Na\textsuperscript{+}) or already contain one. Several notable examples of producing charged compounds for observation by mass spectrometry, notably from the Chen group, are present in the literature.\textsuperscript{24–28} Our group has previously employed the addition of a charged tag, such as a phosphonium (–PR\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+}), ammonium (–NR\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+}) or sulfonate (–SO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}) group to facilitate the detection of specific analytes.\textsuperscript{29–31} As neutrals are not detected with ESI-MS, some form of derivatization is commonly used in order to promote the appearance of target analytes and is typically achieved through adventitious protonation or aggregation (typically involving alkali metals).\textsuperscript{32} Solvent adduct and metal ion aggregation has seen use with desorption electrospray ionization in order to promote the detection of polar constituents of petroleum samples.\textsuperscript{33} Sulfur compounds have also been detected with some success through methylation and oxidation as alternative chemical derivatization techniques.\textsuperscript{34} More exotic chemical derivatization techniques have also enjoyed success in derivatization of thiols including the addition of dansylaziridine, a reagent typically used as a fluorescent probe for proteins.\textsuperscript{35} A complimentary soft-ionization technique to ESI-MS is atmospheric pressure photoionization (APPI) mass spectrometry since it specializes in the speciation of nonpolar compounds that are less accessible to typical ESI-MS.\textsuperscript{36} When paired with a toluene dopant the technique has been proven useful in the speciation of sulfur compounds in crude oil when paired to an ultrahigh resolution FT-ICR mass spectrometer.\textsuperscript{37–39}

We opted to use a charge-tagged phosphonium compound and the thiol–disulfide exchange reaction for the detection of mercaptans in petroleum fractions. Based on the principle of thiol–disulfide exchange a charge-tagged disulfide, R’SSSR\textsuperscript{′}, will react selectively with RSH to form RSSR\textsuperscript{′} and R’SH (see Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{40,41} The general reaction proceeds by nucleophilic attack of a thiolate anion (RS\textsuperscript{−}), formed by deprotonation, on one of the two sulfur atoms present in a disulfide (RS–SR). This process “tags” the target functional group for characterization by mass spectrometry resulting in an easily interpretable spectrum of newly tagged thiol species.

![Scheme 1](image_url)  
Scheme 1: Representation of a thiol–disulfide exchange reaction and the charge-tagging methodology.

We were particularly interested in selective thiol speciation since this class of compound promotes odour issues as well as certain types of corrosion in fuel products. For example, thiols contribute to failure of the traditional industrial copper corrosion specification for gasoline. This method will not tag aliphatic or basic sulfur constituents because of the thiol–disulfide exchange mechanism involved; compounds which are greater contributors to high-temperature sulfidation corrosion. Total sulfur analysis of lighter stream petroleum products is typically accomplished \textit{via} X-ray methods or GC × GC coupled with a sulfur-selective detector. Sulfur analysis of heavier streams and crude petroleum is more easily facilitated by high resolution mass spectrometry. One of the most important benefits of the charge-tagging methodology used in the present research is the ability to detect low levels of one specific class of compound in a complex matrix using relatively simple techniques.

**Experimental**

**ESI-MS**

All mass spectrometry experiments were collected in the positive ion mode on a Waters Micromass Q-TOF Micro mass spectrometer equipped with a standard Waters Z-spray ESI source. The following parameters were left constant for all experiments: capillary voltage, 3000 V; cone voltage: 15 V; extraction voltage, 0.5 V. Source temperature was set to the boiling point of the solvent used and desolvation temperature was set 100 degrees higher than the source temperature. Cone gas flow rate: 100 L h\textsuperscript{−1}. Desolvation gas flow: 200 L h\textsuperscript{−1}. Scan time was set to 1 with an inter-scan time of 0.1 s. The MCP detector on the instrument was set to 2.7 kV. A table of complete instrumental parameters, including quadrupole, TOF settings and TDC (time to digital converter) settings, may be found in the ESI (Tables S1, S2, and S3).

For PSI experiments, the custom reaction vessel was pressurized using 3 psi of argon gas and solution was fed into the ESI source using 0.178 mm inner diameter PEEK tubing with a length of 50 cm. Mechanical stirring was provided by magnetic stirring hot plate and stir bar. In a typical experiment, a Schlenk flask was charged with a 10% (v/v) solution of a petroleum fraction, and 1–10 eq. of NaOH in 25 mL of ethanol. Subsequently, a 100 µL of charged disulfide (4) solution was injected to the mixture, giving a 10–20 µM concentration of (4).

For non-PSI experiments, solutions were fed into the ESI source through the use of a syringe pump and a Hamilton GasTight analytical syringe connected to PEEK tubing (0.1778 ± 0.0127 mm inner diameter, 50 cm length). Prior to each run, instrument cleanliness and stability was ensured through rinsing with the appropriate solvent and acquisition of stable analyte signal from the subsequent sample solution. After achieving a steady signal, the spray head was moved to a position with optimal intensity and was locked to this position for every experiment.
MS/MS experiments were conducted using the following parameters to acquire structural information. The collision cell energy was set to 32 V, with the high mass and low mass resolution maintained at 15 V each. Argon was used as the collision gas with an internal collision cell pressure of 2.0 × 10^{-5} psi.

Mass spectrometric interpretation was aided with online tools available from chemcalc.org.42

GCMS

GC-MS data was collected on a PerkinElmer Clarus 680 with a PerkinElmer Axion iQT MS/MS. The injector used was a programmable split/splitless injector with a 0.5 µL injection volume set to 220 °C. The analytical column used was a PerkinElmer Elite™-5MS (30 m × 0.25 mmID × 0.25 µm). Carrier gas flowrate was set to 1 mL min⁻¹. The oven was programmed initially to hold at 40 °C for 1 minute, with a final ramp of 20 °C to 260 °C held for one minute. The cold EI source conditions were as follows: GC transfer line was set to 250 °C, ion source temperature 200 °C, acquisition range m/z 50–500, acquisition time 0.2 s, solvent delay 3.0 min for split 10, cold EI makeup gas 50 mL min⁻¹, and filament set to 5 µA.

NMR

The ¹H and ³¹P NMR were recorded on a Bruker Avance 300 MHz spectrometer as solutions prepared in CDCl₃.

Chemicals, samples and supply

Solvents and chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All anhydrous and air-free solvents were purified with an MBraun solvent purification system before use unless otherwise noted. Deionized water was obtained from a Millipore Milli-DI water purification system. Petroleum samples “A” and “B” were supplied courtesy of Imperial Oil.

Synthesis of (3) (4-(bromomethyl)benzyl) triphenylphosphonium hexafluorophosphate

Triphenylphosphine (1.58 g, 6.02 mmol) was alkylated with excess α,α′-dibromo-p-xylene (2.00 g, 7.58 mmol) through gentle reflux under argon over 12 hours in 50 mL of toluene in order to generate the phosphonium bromide salt. A white powder was recovered from toluene through filtration and dried under reduced pressure overnight (3.10 g, 5.89 mmol 98% yield). Salt metathesis with sodium hexafluorophosphate improved the solubility of the salt in non-polar solvents, proving helpful for subsequent steps. Several anions were used to substitute the bromide counterion; however, a good mix of cost-effectiveness and increased solubility in polar solvents was achieved through substitution with hexafluorophosphate. The phosphonium salt (3.10 g, 5.89 mmol) was dissolved in a minimum of 85% MeOH and 15% deionized water, by volume. To this, 2.0 equivalents (1.98 g, 11.8 mmol) of sodium hexafluorophosphate were added and stirred for one hour. A white precipitate formed quickly and the powder was recovered through vacuum filtration and, following three washes with 30 mL aliquots of deionized water to remove excess sodium hexafluorophosphate, was recovered and dried under reduced pressure overnight (99% yield). This step also served to greatly increase the purity of the compound as vacuum filtration of the hexafluorophosphate substituted product yielded ionic compounds exclusively.

Little or no double-substitution product was formed in the first step which resulted in a high-yield of a very pure and versatile precursor charge-tag compound which may be used in a variety of applications.43

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δH 4.39 (2H, d, J = 0.88 Hz), 4.53 (2H, d, J = 14.05 Hz), 6.88 (2H, dd, J = 8.34, 2.49 Hz), 7.16 (2H, d, J = 7.90 Hz), 7.40–7.88 (15H, m), ³¹P{¹H} NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δP = -144.25 (spt, Jp-P = 712.10 Hz), 22.65 (s).

Synthesis of charge-tagged disulfide (4)

Complete synthesis of the charged disulfide proceeded as shown in Scheme 2. The phosphonium–hexafluorophosphate salt, (4-(bromomethyl)benzyl) triphenylphosphonium hexafluorophosphate, (0.70 g, 1.2 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL methanol. To this solution, potassium thioacetate was added (0.65 g, 5.7 mmol, 5 eq.) and stirred at gentle reflux for two hours. This solution was initially light tan and developed a deep red colour within 30 minutes of reflux as the compound is thioacetylated. Continued reflux resulted in a subsequent change in colour to a light tan solution indicating formation of the thiol and continued stirring in air provides oxidation to the disulfide. Extended, aggressive reflux at this step resulted in moderate decomposition and should be avoided for best yield. This step provided transesterification and hydrolysis of the thioester, forming the thiol which oxidizes spontaneously in air to the disulfide. The thiol may be recovered if reflux was done under an inert atmosphere. A variety of oxidants may be used to induce formation of the disulfide from the thiol. Further, the thioester may be recovered if the solution was simply stirred overnight without heat. Reflux of the methanolic solution while exposed to air, or stirring while bubbling air through the solution both provided sufficiently oxidizing conditions to produce the disulfide. The disulfide was recovered from methanol through rotary evaporation under reduced pressure. Excess potassium thioacetate was removed following three washes of deionized water, and the light tan solid was recrystallized from methanol to achieve high purity. The disulfide crystals were dried under vacuum overnight.

Scheme 2 Charge-tagged disulfide (4) synthetic pathway.
Results and discussion

GC-MS characterization of jet fuel samples

Two of the jet fuel samples that were examined originate from two different points in the refinement process. Sample A is an untreated light distillate stream from the atmospheric distillation of a refinery crude oil blend. It is considered “upstream”, that is, this fraction has not been exposed to the treatment processes required of a finished product. Conversely, sample B has been subject to caustic washing, catalytic mercaptan oxidation, water washing, salt drying and clay treating; therefore, it is nearer to finished product. The relative mercaptan concentration of the samples obtained further downstream tends to be consistently diminished when compared to samples retrieved from further upstream. The samples received are acquired in the approximate boiling point range of 150–290 °C as judged by the GC-MS chromatographic distribution (Fig. 1). Predictably, the complexity of the sample meant much overlap of signals and no thiols could be distinguished from the mixture.

Rate of thiol–disulfide exchange

The rate of thiol–disulfide exchange is well-studied and is considered first-order in both disulfide and thiolate and is therefore catalyzed by base.\textsuperscript{4,45} The reaction requires the deprotonated thiolate for the reaction to occur, therefore, the overall rate of the reaction incorporates deprotonation and the thiolate–disulfide S\_2 reaction separately. The rate of reaction for an analysis using the charge-tagging methodology presented is affected primarily, and most simply, by varying solution pH. This ability to moderate rate is important because the amount of charged tag (4) added to an unknown sample needs to be limited so as to avoid instrument overloading. In order to evaluate the rate of our charge-tagging methodology via thiol–disulfide exchange, as well as the base-dependence of the reaction, 4-methylbenzenethiol (MBT) standard solutions were prepared. This thiol serves as an exemplary compound considering its boiling point of 195 °C sits in the median of the distillation range for jet fuel (approximately 160–240 °C) and was indeed detected in the jet samples analyzed for the present work (see section on mercaptan-selective derivatization of petroleum fractions).\textsuperscript{46} The general reaction for these experiments, along with the results of increasing base concentration, can be seen in Scheme 3 and Fig. 2, respectively.

For each trial, 20 µM (10 eq.) 4-methylbenzenethiol was injected to a stirred mixture containing 2.0 µM of disulfide compound (4) in ethanol at room temperature and pressure with 1, 5, or 10 equivalents of sodium hydroxide, independently. In addition to the rate of the thiol–disulfide exchange, the degree of conversion of the reaction is largely dependent on the concentration of base. The reaction is sufficiently rapid that information regarding the initial stage of the reaction is lost; however, the equilibrium time is extended to approximately 40 minutes in the case of one equivalent of base. For practical use as a derivatization agent, an elevated concentration of base relative to the expected thiol concentration is recommended to thoroughly deprotonate any thiols present in the sample and expedite analysis time.

Mercaptan-selective derivatization of petroleum fractions

Petroleum fractions were examined to establish the efficacy of the charge-tagged disulfide compound as a mercaptan-selective derivatization agent. Crude oil samples were also investigated using the charge-tagging methodology and were found to be less amenable to straightforward analysis due to the complexity of the matrix and the much larger concentration of thiols present (see ESI Fig. S9†); therefore, the present research focuses on petroleum fractions rather than crude petroleum. The primary goal of the petroleum fraction experiments was to gather qualitative chemical information about the varieties of mercaptans present in pipeline streams prior to, and following, certain refinery treatments.

Dilute solutions of charge-tagged disulfide (4) and sodium hydroxide are prepared separately in ethanol. Each of these reagents are added to a stirring ethanolic solution of 1–10% (v/v) petroleum fraction. The reaction is generally at equilibrium within approximately 10–30 minutes (depending on the analyte concentration present in the sample and base concentration – see reaction kinetics section) and may easily be accelerated through the use of additional base. Extended stirring time on the order of several hours does not yield tag decomposition by-products in this mixture. The reaction is first order in

---

\^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \delta\textsubscript{H} 3.83 (4H, s), 4.53 (4H, d, J = 14.30 Hz), 6.81 (8H, s), 7.44–7.85 (30H, m), \textsuperscript{31}P(\textsuperscript{1}H) NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \delta\textsubscript{P} −144.17 (spt, \textit{J}P–F = 713.57 Hz), 22.48 (s). QTOF ESI+: \textit{m/z}: [M]\textsuperscript{2+} 398.1.

**Fig. 1** Cold-EI GC-MS chromatogram of jet sample A. Major peaks are labelled with carbon number and correspond to the alkane. Peak assignments were made using library matching. Thiols could not be identified in either sample A or B.
concentration of disulfide and therefore additional charge-tag will expedite the process; however, for direct introduction into the mass spectrometer, the disulfide concentration was generally kept below 20 µM.

The spectra acquired from petroleum fractions prior to derivatization are typically simple and very low intensity as the charged species consist predominantly of adventitiously protonated species and alkali metal adducts. The method detection limit was established based on the noise present in a method blank sample. The derivatization process is limited by the reactivity of target analytes in addition to variation in sample matrix; therefore, this definition for method detection limit is an approximation only and will vary between samples. The limit of detection for 4-methylbenzenethiol was examined and found to be 1.2 ng L\(^{-1}\) with a limit of quantitation of 4.0 ng L\(^{-1}\) (see ESI Fig. S11†).

Following derivatization with the selective charge-tag the response from thiol species is greatly enhanced since the intrinsic charge from the phosphonium charge tag is independent of ionization efficiency. Spectra of derivatized samples of A and B display a large distribution of mercaptans ranging widely in carbon number and double bond equivalents (DBE).

In sample A a range of charge-tagged thiols from \(\text{m/z} \sim 445-739\) is apparent, identifiable as C1 unsaturated (CH\(_3\)SH) to C22 thiols (C\(_{22}\)H\(_{33}\)SH) with 0–2 DBE. Within this region is a more obvious Gaussian-like distribution between \(\text{m/z} \sim 520-620\). The series starts with C4 unsaturated thiols and ends with C14 (0 DBE) thiols. Within the series, between 0 and 4 DBE are apparent. The exact isomeric forms are not distinguishable mass spectrometrically.

The distribution of non-derivatized mercaptans are consistent with the boiling point range of the distillate fraction. The detected thiols in the lower boiling point range are presumably due to derivatization of disulfides (which can react with the charged thiol that is generated after the first thiol–disulfide exchange reaction). Table 1 lists the derivatized mercaptans detectable above the method detection limit in sample A along with the MS abundances of each species in both samples. The method detection limit in complex matrices such as petroleum fractions can vary widely depending on their treatment and source. Very low concentration derivatized thiols may be confirmed via MS/MS (see ESI†) and indeed several derivatives were characterized outside the method detection limit via MS/MS for both samples. The derivatized thiols fragment in a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\text{m/z})</th>
<th>Thiol C#</th>
<th>DBE</th>
<th>Sample “A” MS abundance (counts)</th>
<th>Sample “B” MS abundance (counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>487.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>513.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3037</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>515.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1436</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4689</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>529.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3334</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8218</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9703</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6874</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8976</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>567.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>569.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4643</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>571.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7069</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>581.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>583.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3238</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4928</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>595.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>597.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1709</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>599.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2920</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
characteristic manner and may therefore be very effectively analyzed using the precursor ion scan mode of a triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer to enhance the sensitivity of the method.

Our derivatization methodology was studied through online monitoring using a real sample, jet fuel sample A, (Fig. 3). The plot features the rate of appearance of four of the most abundant derivatized thiols present within the sample. To a dilute and mildly basic solution of jet fuel is added 10 µM of compound (4). Shortly following addition of the charged disulfide, several derivatized mercaptans become apparent. This reaction takes longer than the derivatization of MBT (Scheme 3) presumably due to the low concentration of thiols in the sample.

As expected, the two samples vary dramatically both in background and detectable thiols, with the relatively “crude” sample A containing far more thiols than the more refined sample, B. A visual comparison between the two samples (Fig. 4 and 5) indicates that one or more or all of the treatment processes (caustic washing, Merox treating, water washing, salt drying and clay treating) are demonstrably efficient methods for the removal of mercaptans in jet fuel streams. Derivatized products in sample B are sparse and low in concentration, consisting primarily of short (3–4 carbon) and moderate (8–11 carbon) chain mercaptans.

Conclusions

Electrospray ionization enjoys a wide range of applicability in analyzing complex and often “dirty” samples due to its soft ionization and effective conversion of a solution to gas-phase; however, the softness of ionization can potentially be a problem when the target analyte is not easily ionizable or adventitiously charged in solution. The charge-tagging methodology greatly enhances the utility of ESI-MS in targeting uncharged molecules in a solution and allows the user to explore diverse matrices or follow a reaction without an overly complex spectrum common in less-selective derivatization approaches. The technique is fast, effective, requires no chromatographic steps and can be executed using readily available instrumentation. The strength of the method employed here extends to other areas of research. The successful analysis of petroleum, as a highly complex matrix, provides evidence that this technique is applicable in other matrices such as those derived from biological or environmental samples. In the future we expect to extend the charge-tagging technique toward other molecules of interest in similarly complex matrices.
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