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## Kruskal's algorithm demo

Consider edges in ascending order of weight.

- Add next edge to tree $T$ unless doing so would create a cycle.

| graph edges |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| sorted by weight |  |  |

Kruskal's algorithm demo

Consider edges in ascending order of weight.

- Add next edge to tree $T$ unless doing so would create a cycle.

$$
\text { in MST } \longrightarrow 0-7 \quad 0.16
$$
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Kruskal's algorithm demo
Consider edges in ascending order of weight.

- Add next edge to tree $T$ unless doing so would create a cycle.

| $0-7$ | 0.16 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2-3$ | 0.17 |
| $1-7$ | 0.19 |
| $0-2$ | 0.26 |
| $5-7$ | 0.28 |
| $1-3$ | 0.29 |
| $1-5$ | 0.32 |
| $2-7$ | 0.34 |
| $4-5$ | 0.35 |
| $1-2$ | 0.36 |
| $4-7$ | 0.37 |
| $0-4$ | 0.38 |
| $6-2$ | 0.40 |

Kruskal's algorithm: visualization
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## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.

an edge-weighted graph

| $0-7$ | 0.16 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2-3$ | 0.17 |
| $1-7$ | 0.19 |
| $0-2$ | 0.26 |
| $5-7$ | 0.28 |
| $1-3$ | 0.29 |
| $1-5$ | 0.32 |
| $2-7$ | 0.34 |
| $4-5$ | 0.35 |
| $1-2$ | 0.36 |
| $4-7$ | 0.37 |
| $0-4$ | 0.38 |
| $6-2$ | 0.40 |
| $3-6$ | 0.52 |
| $6-0$ | 0.58 |
| $6-4$ | 0.93 |
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edges with exactly
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in MST $\longrightarrow$ 0-7 0.16
0-2 0.26
0-4 0.38
6-0 0.58
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## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.


in MST $\longrightarrow$\begin{tabular}{cc}

\multicolumn{2}{c}{| edges with exactly |
| :---: |
| one endpoint in T |
| (sorted by weight) |} <br>


| 1-7 |
| :--- |
| $0-2$ | \& 0.19 <br>

$5-7$ \& 0.26 <br>
$2-7$ \& 0.34 <br>
$4-7$ \& 0.37 <br>
$0-4$ \& 0.38 <br>
$6-0$ \& 0.58
\end{tabular}
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## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.
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## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until $V$ - 1 edges.


| in MST | edges with exactly one endpoint in $T$ (sorted by weight) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\longrightarrow$ 0-2 | 0.26 |
|  | 5-7 | 0.28 |
|  | 1-3 | 0.29 |
|  | 1-5 | 0.32 |
|  | 2-7 | 0.34 |
|  | 1-2 | 0.36 |
|  | 4-7 | 0.37 |
|  | 0-4 | 0.38 |
|  | 6-0 | 0.58 |

MST edges
0-7 1-7

## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MST edges } \\
& 0-7 \quad 1-7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.

edges with exactly
one endpoint in T
(sorted by weight)

in MST $\longrightarrow$ 2-3 0.17
5-7 0.28
1-3 0.29
1-5 0.32
4-7 0.37
0-4 0.38
6-2 0.40
6-0 0.58

MST edges
0-7 $\quad 1-7 \quad 0-2$

## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MST edges } \\
& 0-7 \quad 1-7
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 0-2
\end{aligned} \quad 2-3 \mathbf{l} l
$$

## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.
min weight edge with
exactly one endpoint in $T$
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## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.


MST edges
0-7 $\quad 1-7 \quad 0-2 \quad 2-3 \quad 5-7$

## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.
min weight edge with
exactly one endpoint in $T$

edges with exactly
one endpoint in $T$
(sorted by weight)

in MST $\longrightarrow 4-5 \quad 0.35$
4-7 0.37
0-4 0.38
6-2 0.40
3-6 0.52
6-0 0.58

MST edges

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
0-7 & 1-7 & 0-2 & 2-3 & 5-7
\end{array}
$$

## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.
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## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.

edges with exactly
one endpoint in T
(sorted by weight)
in MST $\longrightarrow$ 6-2 0.40
3-6 0.52
6-0 0.58
6-4 0.93

MST edges

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
0-7 & 1-7 & 0-2 & 2-3 & 5-7 & 4-5
\end{array}
$$

## Prim's algorithm demo

- Start with vertex 0 and greedily grow tree $T$.
- Add to $T$ the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
- Repeat until V-1 edges.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MST edges } \\
& 0-7 \\
& 1-7
\end{aligned} 0-2 \quad 2-3 \quad 5-7 \quad 4-5 \quad 6-2 .
$$

## Prim's algorithm: visualization
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## Prim's algorithm: implementation challenge

Challenge. Find the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.

How difficult?

- $E$
$\longleftarrow \quad$ try all edges
- $V$
- $\log E \quad \longleftarrow$ use a priority queue!
- $\log ^{*} E$
- 1
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## Prim's algorithm: lazy implementation

Challenge. Find the min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.

Lazy solution. Maintain a PQ of edges with (at least) one endpoint in $T$.

- Key = edge; priority = weight of edge.
- Delete-min to determine next edge $e=v-w$ to add to $T$.
- Disregard if both endpoints $v$ and $w$ are marked (both in $T$ ).
- Otherwise, let $w$ be the unmarked vertex (not in $T$ ):
- add to PQ any edge incident to $w$ (assuming other endpoint not in $T$ )
- add $e$ to $T$ and mark $w$



## Lazy implementation of Prim's algorithm

## Prim(graph G)

$\mathrm{PQ}=$ empty priority queue of edges
color all vertices grey
Visit(0)
while $(|\mathrm{A}|<\mathrm{n}-1)$
$(u, v)=$ PQ.DeleteMin()
if $u$ or $v$ is grey

$$
A=A \cup(u, v)
$$

if $u$ is grey
Visit(u)
else // v is grey
Visit(v)

## Visit(vertex u)

color u black
for all edges (u,v)
if v is grey
PQ.insert((u,v))

## Lazy Prim's algorithm: running time

Proposition. Lazy Prim's algorithm computes the MST in time proportional to $E \log E$ and extra space proportional to $E$ (in the worst case).

## Lazy Prim's algorithm: running time

Proposition. Lazy Prim's algorithm computes the MST in time proportional to $E \log E$ and extra space proportional to $E$ (in the worst case).

Pf.

| operation | frequency | binary heap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| delete min | $E$ | $\log E$ |
| insert | $E$ | $\log E$ |

## Prim's algorithm: eager implementation

Challenge. Find min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
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Prim's algorithm: eager implementation

Challenge. Find min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.

Observation. For each vertex $v$, need only min weight edge connecting $v$ to $T$.

- MST includes at most one edge connecting $v$ to $T$. Why?
- If MST includes such an edge, it can take cheapest such edge. Why?
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## Prim's algorithm: eager implementation

Challenge. Find min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
pq has at most one entry per vertex
Eager solution. Maintain a PQ of vertices connected by an edge to $T$, where priority of vertex $v=$ weight of min weight edge connecting $v$ to $T$.


## Prim's algorithm: eager implementation

Challenge. Find min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.
pq has at most one entry per vertex
Eager solution. Maintain a PQ of vertices connected by an edge to $T$, where priority of vertex $v=$ weight of min weight edge connecting $v$ to $T$.

- Delete min vertex $v$ and add its associated edge $e=v-w$ to $T$.



## Prim's algorithm: eager implementation

Challenge. Find min weight edge with exactly one endpoint in $T$.

Eager solution. Maintain a PQ of vertices connected by an edge to $T$, where priority of vertex $v=$ weight of min weight edge connecting $v$ to $T$.

- Delete min vertex $v$ and add its associated edge $e=v-w$ to $T$.
- Update PQ by considering all edges $e=v-x$ incident to $v$
- ignore if $x$ is already in $T$
- add $x$ to PQ if not already on it
- decrease priority of $x$ if $v-x$ becomes min weight edge connecting $x$ to $T$



## Eager implementation of Prim's algorithm

## $\operatorname{Prim}($ graph G)

$\mathrm{PQ}=$ empty priority queue of vertices
cost $=$ array of size n
edge $=$ array of size $n$
color all vertices grey
Visit(0)
while (PQ not empty)
$\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{PQ}$. DeleteMin()
$A=A \cup$ edge $[u]$
Visit(u)

## Visit(vertex u)

color u black
for all edges (u,v)
if $v$ is grey
color v red
PQ.insert(v, w(u,v))

$$
\operatorname{cost}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})
$$

$$
\text { edge }[\mathrm{v}]=(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})
$$

elseif ( v is red) and $(\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})<\operatorname{cost}[\mathrm{v}])$
PQ.DecreaseKey (v, w(u,v))
$\operatorname{cost}[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$
edge $[\mathrm{v}]=(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$

## Prim's algorithm: which priority queue?

Depends on PQ implementation: $V$ insert, $V$ delete-min, $E$ decrease-key.

## Prim's algorithm: which priority queue?

Depends on PQ implementation: $V$ insert, $V$ delete-min, $E$ decrease-key.

## Prim's algorithm: which priority queue?

Depends on PQ implementation: $V$ insert, $V$ delete-min, $E$ decrease-key.


Bottom line.

- Array implementation optimal for dense graphs.


## Prim's algorithm: which priority queue?

Depends on PQ implementation: $V$ insert, $V$ delete-min, $E$ decrease-key.

| PQ implementation | insert | delete-min | decrease-key | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unordered array | 1 | $V$ | 1 | $V^{2}$ |
| binary heap | $\log V$ | $\log V$ | $\log V$ | $E \log V$ |

Bottom line.

- Array implementation optimal for dense graphs.
- Binary heap much faster for sparse graphs.
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| PQ implementation | insert | delete-min | decrease-key | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unordered array | 1 | $V$ | 1 | $V^{2}$ |
| binary heap | $\log V$ | $\log V$ | $\log V$ | $E \log V$ |
| d-way heap | $\log _{d} V$ | $d \log _{d} V$ | $\log _{d} V$ | $E \log _{E / V} V$ |

Bottom line.

- Array implementation optimal for dense graphs.
- Binary heap much faster for sparse graphs.
- 4-way heap worth the trouble in performance-critical situations.


## Prim's algorithm: which priority queue?

Depends on PQ implementation: $V$ insert, $V$ delete-min, $E$ decrease-key.

| PQ implementation | insert | delete-min | decrease-key | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unordered array | 1 | $V$ | 1 | $V^{2}$ |
| binary heap | $\log V$ | $\log V$ | $\log V$ | $E \log V$ |
| d-way heap | $\log _{d} V$ | $d \log _{d} V$ | $\log _{d} V$ | $E \log _{E / V} V$ |
| Fibonacci heap | $1 \dagger$ | $\log V^{\dagger}$ | $1{ }^{\dagger}$ | $E+V \log V$ |

Bottom line.

- Array implementation optimal for dense graphs.
- Binary heap much faster for sparse graphs.
- 4-way heap worth the trouble in performance-critical situations.
- Fibonacci heap best in theory, but not worth implementing.

Kruskal's algorithm: implementation challenge
Challenge. Would adding edge $v-w$ to tree $T$ create a cycle? If not, add it.

How difficult?

- $E+V$
- $V$
- $\log V$
- $\log ^{*} V$
- 1
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## Kruskal's algorithm: implementation challenge

Challenge. Would adding edge $v-w$ to tree $T$ create a cycle? If not, add it.

Efficient solution. Use the union-find data structure.

- Maintain a set for each connected component in $T$.
- If $v$ and $w$ are in same set, then adding $v-w$ would create a cycle.


Case 1: adding v-w creates a cycle

## Kruskal's algorithm: implementation challenge

Challenge. Would adding edge $v-w$ to tree $T$ create a cycle? If not, add it.

Efficient solution. Use the union-find data structure.

- Maintain a set for each connected component in $T$.
- If $v$ and $w$ are in same set, then adding $v-w$ would create a cycle.
- To add $v-w$ to $T$, merge sets containing $v$ and $w$.


Case 1: adding v-w creates a cycle


Case 2: add v-w to T and merge sets containing vand w

## Kruskal's algorithm: implementation challenge

Challenge. Would adding edge $v-w$ to tree $T$ create a cycle? If not, add it.

Efficient solution. Use the union-find data structure.

- Maintain a set for each connected component in $T$.
- If $v$ and $w$ are in same set, then adding $v-w$ would create a cycle.
- To add $v-w$ to $T$, merge sets containing $v$ and $w$.



## Kruskal's algorithm: Java implementation

```
pub1ic class Kruska7MST
{
    private Queue<Edge> mst = new Queue<Edge>();
    public KruskalMST(EdgeWeightedGraph G)
    {
        MinPQ<Edge> pq = new MinPQ<Edge>(G.edges());
        UF uf = new UF(G.V());
        while (!pq.isEmpty() && mst.size() < G.V()-1)
        {
            Edge e = pq.delMin();
            int v = e.either(), w = e.other(v);
            if (!uf.connected(v, w))
            {
                uf.union(v, w);
                mst.enqueue(e);
            }
        }
    }
    public Iterable<Edge> edges()
    { return mst; }
}
```

Kruskal's algorithm: running time

Proposition. Kruskal's algorithm computes MST in time proportional to $E \log E$ (in the worst case).

## Kruskal's algorithm: running time

Proposition. Kruskal's algorithm computes MST in time proportional to $E \log E$ (in the worst case).

Pf.

| operation | frequency | time per op |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| build pq | 1 | $E$ |
| delete-min | $E$ | $\log E$ |
| union | $V$ | $\log ^{*} V^{\dagger}$ |
| connected | $E$ | $\log ^{*} V^{\dagger}$ |

$\dagger$ amortized bound using weighted quick union with path compression

## Kruskal's algorithm: running time

Proposition. Kruskal's algorithm computes MST in time proportional to $E \log E$ (in the worst case).

| Pf. | operation | frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| build pq | 1 | time per op |
| delete-min | $E$ | $\log E$ |
| union | $V$ | $\log ^{*} V^{\dagger}$ |
| connected | $E$ | $\log ^{*} V^{\dagger}$ |

$\dagger$ amortized bound using weighted quick union with path compression
recall: $\log * V \leq 5$ in this universe


Remark. If edges are already sorted, order of growth is $E$ log* $V$.
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| 1976 | $E \log \log V$ | Cheriton-Tarjan |
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## Does a linear-time MST algorithm exist?

deterministic compare-based MST algorithms

| year | worst case | discovered by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | $E \log \log V$ | Yao |
| 1976 | $E \log \log V$ | Cheriton-Tarjan |
| 1984 | $E \log * V, E+V \log V$ | Fredman-Tarjan |
| 1986 | $E \log (\log * V)$ | Gabow-Galil-Spencer-Tarjan |
| 1997 | $E \alpha(V) \log \alpha(V)$ | Chazelle |
| 2000 | $E \alpha(V)$ | Chazelle |
| 2002 | optimal | Pettie-Ramachandran |
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Remark. Linear-time randomized MST algorithm (Karger-Klein-Tarjan 1995).
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Given $N$ points in the plane, find MST connecting them, where the distances between point pairs are their Euclidean distances.
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Given $N$ points in the plane, find MST connecting them, where the distances between point pairs are their Euclidean distances.


Brute force. Compute $\sim N^{2} / 2$ distances and run Prim's algorithm. Ingenuity. Exploit geometry and do it in $\sim C N \log N$.

## Scientific application: clustering

k -clustering. Divide a set of objects classify into $k$ coherent groups. Distance function. Numeric value specifying "closeness" of two objects.

Goal. Divide into clusters so that objects in different clusters are far apart.
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## Applications.

- Routing in mobile ad hoc networks.
- Document categorization for web search.
- Similarity searching in medical image databases.
- Skycat: cluster $10^{9}$ sky objects into stars, quasars, galaxies.


## Single-link clustering

k -clustering. Divide a set of objects classify into $k$ coherent groups. Distance function. Numeric value specifying "closeness" of two objects.

Single link. Distance between two clusters equals the distance between the two closest objects (one in each cluster).

Single-link clustering. Given an integer $k$, find a $k$-clustering that maximizes the distance between two closest clusters.


## Single-link clustering algorithm

"Well-known" algorithm in science literature for single-link clustering:

- Form $V$ clusters of one object each.
- Find the closest pair of objects such that each object is in a different cluster, and merge the two clusters.
- Repeat until there are exactly $k$ clusters.
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## Single-link clustering algorithm

"Well-known" algorithm in science literature for single-link clustering:

- Form $V$ clusters of one object each.
- Find the closest pair of objects such that each object is in a different cluster, and merge the two clusters.
- Repeat until there are exactly $k$ clusters.

Observation. This is Kruskal's algorithm. (stopping when $k$ connected components)


Alternate solution. Run Prim; then delete $k-1$ max weight edges.

## Dendrogram of cancers in human

Tumors in similar tissues cluster together.


