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## Question 1

(a)

$$
\mathrm{L}=x_{1}^{1 / 2}+x_{2}+\lambda\left(m-p_{1} x_{1}-p_{2} x_{2}\right)
$$

First-order conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{-1 / 2}-\lambda p_{1}=0 \\
& 1-\lambda p_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=\frac{1}{p_{2}} \\
\Rightarrow \quad & x_{1}(p, m)=\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1}^{2}} \quad \text { if } \quad p_{1}\left(\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1}^{2}}\right) \leq m
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from the budget constraint:
if $m \geq \frac{p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}(p, m) & =\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1}^{2}} \\
x_{2}(p, m) & =\frac{m-p_{1} x_{1}}{p_{2}} \\
& =\frac{4 m p_{1}-p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1} p_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $m<\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}(p, m)=0 \\
& x_{1}(p, m)=\frac{m}{p_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, a corner solution if $m \leq \frac{p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1}}$.
(b) At $m=20$ and $\left\{p_{1, p_{2}^{0}}^{0}\right\}=\{1,10\}, m \leq \frac{p_{2}^{2}}{4 p_{1}}$. Thus, $x_{2}(p, m)=0$. Similarly, at

$$
\left\{p_{1,}^{1} p_{2}^{1}\right\}=\{1,9\}, x_{2}(p, m)=0 . \text { Thus, } E V=C V=0
$$

## Question 2

(a) Calculate expected utility:

$$
E u=\frac{1}{2}\left(a 100^{1 / 2}+b\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(a 64^{1 / 2}+b\right)=9 a+b
$$

Calculate the certainty-equivalent wealth:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \hat{m}^{1 / 2}+b=9 a+b \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \hat{m}=81
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate expected wealth:

$$
\bar{m}=\frac{1}{2} 100+\frac{1}{2} 64=82
$$

The risk premium is

$$
R=\bar{m}-\hat{m}=82-81=1
$$

The maximum insurance premium she is willing to pay is

$$
\hat{T}=E[L]+R=18+1=19
$$

(b) Write the problem as one where the agent chooses how much to save in period 1:

$$
\max _{s} \log \left(y-s-k_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{1+\rho}\right) \log \left(y+s(1+r)-k_{2}\right)
$$

Set $\rho=r$ and solve the problem to yield

$$
s^{*}=\frac{k_{2}-k_{1}}{2+r}
$$

This is positive iff $k_{2}>k_{1}$. It is feasible iff $y_{1}-s^{*}-k_{1}>0$ and this requires

$$
y>\frac{k_{2}+k_{1}(1+r)}{2+r}
$$

## Question 3

(a) Profit maximization yields the factor demand

$$
x(p, w)=\left(\frac{p}{2 w}\right)^{2}
$$

The supply function is

$$
y(p, w)=\frac{p}{2 w}
$$

The profit function is

$$
\pi(p, w)=\frac{p^{2}}{4 w}
$$

(b) The easiest solution method is to recognize that we have DRS, so output will be driven down to its minimum feasible level. Thus, aggregate output will be $n$. Set

$$
X=a-b p=n
$$

and solve for $p$

$$
p(n)=\frac{a-n}{b}
$$

Substitute for $p$ in $y(p, w)$ to yield

$$
y(n)=\frac{\left(\frac{a-n}{b}\right)}{2 w}
$$

Set this equal to one and solve for $n$

$$
n=a-2 b w
$$

This is positive (and hence aggregate output is positive) iff

$$
w<\frac{a}{2 b}
$$

## Question 4

(a) The profit maximization problem for firm $i$ is

$$
\max _{y_{i}}\left[1000-\left(y_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i} y_{j}\right)\right] y_{i}-400
$$

The reaction function (first-order condition) for firm $i$ is

$$
y_{i}=\frac{1000-\sum_{j \neq i} y_{j}}{2}
$$

Interpretation: the reaction function describes the profit-maximizing choice of output for firm $i$ in response to an expectation of what other firms will produce. It is downward-sloping with respect to output from other firms because higher output from other firms means that price will be lower, and hence, the profitability of output from firm $i$ is reduced.

In symmetric Nash equilibrium

$$
y_{i}=y \quad \forall i \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{j \neq i} y_{j}=(n-1) y
$$

Substitution into the reaction function for firm $i$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{y}=\frac{1000}{n+1} \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \hat{Y}=n \hat{y}=\frac{1000 n}{n+1} \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \hat{p}=1000-\hat{Y}=\frac{1000}{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Entry will drive profit to zero:

$$
\Pi(n)=\hat{p} \hat{y}-400
$$

Thus, at $\Pi(n)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1000}{n+1}\right)\left(\frac{1000}{n+1}\right)=400 \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \hat{n}=49
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a natural monopoly:

$$
A C=\frac{400}{y}
$$

which is declining for all $y$. Thus, efficiency requires only one firm. However, that firm would need to be regulated or else its exploitation of monopoly power will distort the output choice away from its efficient level.

## Question 5

(a) Agent $i$ solves the following problem:

$$
\max _{x} \theta x_{i}-\delta\left(x_{i}+X_{-i}\right)^{2}
$$

The first-order condition is a best-response function:

$$
\theta-2 \delta\left(x_{i}+X_{-i}\right)=0
$$

The sole-agent optimum is found by setting $X_{-i}=0$ in the BRF and solving for $x_{i}$ :

$$
x_{i}^{0}=\frac{\theta}{2 \delta}
$$

(b) In a symmetric Nash equilibrium, $x_{i}=\hat{x} \forall i$ and $X_{-i}=(n-1) \hat{x}$. Making these substitutions and solving yields

$$
\hat{x}=\frac{\theta}{2 \delta n}
$$

If $n=1$ then $\hat{x}=x^{0}$; there is no externality if there is only one country.

