

Volume 7 No. 3 Winter 2001

ISSN 2321-0010/00035

Every Child



Australia's premier national early childhood magazine



Janet Gonzalez-Mena

Culture, identity and caregiving

Rima Shore

The brain debates

Alan Pence

Cross-cultural early childhood education

Peter Moss

Ethics in the nursery

Carl Corter

Integrating services

PLUS

Getting the most out of conferences

Children learning to read

Administering medication—the issues

An Australian magazine focusing on the needs of children from 0–8 years

Copyright © 2001
Australian Early Childhood Association Inc.
ISSN 1322-0659 Print Post PP 232100/00035
ABN 44 950 767 752



Editor: Alison Elliott

Editorial Board:

Alison Elliott (Chair), Pam Linke, Sue Dockett,
Glenda MacNaughton, Lyn Bower

Production Editor: Gabrielle Jacobsen

Design & Layout: Anne Evans

Publications Officer: Penelope Craswell

Publications Assistant: Helen Cooney

Illustrations: Lyndon Lovell

Printed by: Goanna Print Pty Ltd

Photographs:

Barry Brown, courtesy Carl Corter, Kirsten Dreese, Giulia Elliott-Hall, David Gonzalez-Mena, Joanna Jankaus, courtesy Peter Moss, courtesy NEITA, courtesy Alan Pence, courtesy Rima Shore, Andrew Sikorski

Cover: Barry Brown

List of contributors

Marina Aidman, Kris Barnett, Donna Broadhurst, Pam Cahir, Marilyn Casely, Ros Cornish, Carl Corter, Alison Elliott, Anne Gisz, Janet Gonzalez-Mena, Jennifer Grossi, Gabrielle Jacobsen, Susan Krieg, Pam Linke, Dianne McCosker, Jo Meedeniya, Peter Moss, Alan Pence, Marianne Robinson, Rima Shore, Cassandra Weddell

Address correspondence and advertising queries to:

The Publications Section, PO Box 105, Watson ACT 2602
Tel: (02) 6241 6900 Fax: (02) 6241 5547
Email: national@aeca.org.au Website: www.aeca.org.au

Advertising Policy

AECA reserves the right to determine the suitability and quality of any camera-ready artwork supplied by advertisers. No advertisements for products that would be harmful to families, children and their environments will be accepted. Claims made in advertisements are the responsibility of the advertiser.

Subscriptions

Every Child is published four times a year by the Australian Early Childhood Association Inc. (AECA), a specialist early childhood publisher.

Yearly (4 issues) Contact AECA for details.

Overseas subscriptions available.

AECA Disclaimer

The opinions expressed in this magazine are those of the authors and not necessarily those of AECA. By publishing a diversity of opinion, we hope to provide a forum which promotes professional growth, creativity and debate in the early childhood field.

Editorial Policy

The material published in the magazine will aim to be inclusive of children in Australia wherever they live, regardless of race, gender, class, culture, or disability.

The magazine will not publish material which runs counter to the wellbeing and equality of all children and their families, and those who work with them.

About AECA

The Australian Early Childhood Association Inc. (AECA) is a leading specialist publisher of early childhood literature. It is a national peak non-government organisation acting in the interests of young children aged 0-8, and for older children in outside school hours care. It actively promotes the provision of high quality services for all young children and their families and supports the important role of parents in caring for their children. AECA is also the national umbrella organisation for children's services and sees this task as supporting the advocacy role of the child care sector as a whole, without undermining the rights of each specialist organisation to operate and speak independently.

Making sense of the brain debates

In the first three years of life, children make breathtaking developmental leaps. They learn to walk, talk, socialise and recognise emotion. How do newborns transform so quickly into beings with such remarkable human capacities? And what sort of effect does care, heredity or environment have on their development? In this article, **Rima Shore** reports on brain research conducted by biochemists, developmental scientists and cognitive scientists, and how, over recent decades, the 'brain debate' has found its way into the public consciousness.

Page 4

Ethics in the Nursery

Careers continually confront choices—about purposes, meanings, practices, and relationships—which require them to make ethical decisions. **Peter Moss** believes that the early childhood community needs to give more prominence to ethics in early childhood policy and practice. This article delves into the issues surrounding the various concepts of ethics and the implications of putting the different approaches into practice.

Page 6

Through the looking glass Cross-cultural early childhood education

In this issue of *Every Child*, **Alan Pence** takes us 'through the looking glass'—into his early childhood education partnership with Aboriginal tribes in Canada. Alan describes how he worked on-reserve with a northern Canadian tribal council to develop a culturally appropriate early childhood training program for their people. The challenge was to implement a holistic curriculum, inclusive of the tribe's beliefs and ways.

Over and above the development of a new curriculum, this new approach revitalised the tribe's cultural pride and traditional values.

Page 8

Integrating early childhood services and communities **A role for schools**

Integrating services for young children and their parents has been on the early childhood agenda for a while. Arguments in favour of integration state that it can contribute to school readiness and make community services more accessible for families. **Carl Corter** believes that integrating early childhood and other community services with schools provides support for young children and their families. He demonstrates this with a case study of an integrated services parent-child school centre, which has successfully bridged cultural gaps between non-English speaking parents and services in a highly immigrant-populated urban setting.

Page 10

Children learning to read **Not quite so simple!**

Why do many children never learn to read well? This question has baffled educators for years and prompted much research and debate. In a recent issue of *Every Child* (Summer 2000), Mimi Wellish argued that children should be taught to read at a younger age, when she believes they are most receptive to language acquisition. **Donna Broadhurst** and **Susan Krieg** disagree with Mimi's theory and continue the literacy debate, arguing that culture and society play a large part in how and when children learn to read.

Page 12

contents

2 Guest Statement
Culture, identity and caregiving practices
Janet Gonzalez-Mena

3 Editorial

features

4 Making sense of the brain debates
Rima Shore

6 Ethics in the nursery
Peter Moss

8 Through the looking glass
Cross cultural early childhood education
Alan Pence

10 Integrating early childhood services and communities
A role for schools
Carl Corter

12 Children learning to read
Not quite so simple!
Donna Broadhurst & Susan Krieg

regulars

14 Professional Development
Making the most of conferences

15 Innovation
Lobbying for quality in children's services

16 Ethics
Bilingualism in children's services

17 Health
Eating well in South Australian child care centres

18 Research
New understandings of early learning

19 Legal
Administering medicine

20 Schools
Learning futures

21 Parents' Page
Children enjoying claywork

22 Book Reviews

24 News and Views

26 Under the Hill

28 Viewpoint

32 Accolades

33 Subscription Information

Through the looking glass

Cross-cultural early childhood education



The following article is an excerpt from a presentation delivered at an international early childhood education (ECE) conference held in Hawaii in 1999. Alan Pence's presentation at the conference focused on ECE partnerships with Aboriginal peoples in Canada throughout the 1990s. He likened his learning through those partnerships to a 'through the looking glass' experience.

The particular 'mirror' I stepped through to end up on 'this side of the looking glass' is cross-cultural early childhood care and development (ECCD). And more specifically, it was through an invitation by a Tribal Council in north-central Canada to work with it on developing a community and culturally appropriate training program for its people on-reserve, that the door—or the 'looking glass'—to this other world appeared.

Anyone familiar with Canada will realise that it is a long way—more than 1500 kilometres—from Victoria (where I live on the west coast) to northern Saskatchewan (where this Tribal Council is located).

So why did the Executive Director of the Tribal Council want to meet with me at the University of Victoria? I discovered that they had gone to educational institutions closer to northern Saskatchewan, but were not satisfied with what these institutions could provide for them.

In essence, the Tribal Council was told, time and time again: 'Here is our curriculum, we would be happy to deliver it for you ...'

However, closer examination would reveal that it had simply been presented with the institution's basic ECE program, with a few 'add-ons': '... bits of Mohawk, Haida, and other tribal groups ...' Unfortunately, the add-ons were completely unrelated to the Tribal Council!

When Ray Ahenakew, Executive Director of the Council, contacted me, I told him we didn't have an Aboriginal program, and, in fact, we didn't even have a history of working with First Nations people. But I did have an interest in being supportive.

So my involvement began and, as I talked to the people at Meadow Lake Tribal Council over the next couple of years, I realised they had rejected other partners because the standard curriculum did not leave any room for them. In essence, the Council's question to the institutions was: 'What of us is in this curriculum?' And the truthful answer was: 'Nothing'.

Most curriculums do not reflect, embody or include the people they address—nor the beliefs they hold

And that is true of most curriculums, which often do not reflect, embody or include the people they address—nor the beliefs they hold. The end point, as well as the journey, is typically pre-determined. Indeed, Ralph Tyler's still influential curriculum development prescription from 1949 is decidedly 'one way' in its conceptualisation: from teacher to learners. Most curriculums are still 'one-way'. It is not about dialogue; it is about dictation.

Meadow Lake did not want this—they sought a 'fair exchange' of information, and a level playing field of respect. They did not want to be the voice, but a voice in the dialogues and discussions that would ultimately impact on their children, and their children's children.

While I was very aware of the 'cultural penetration' issue, I had not really thought much, at that time, about how ECE training helps to perpetuate a power imbalance and facilitate such penetration. After all, aren't we just promoting 'best practice' and 'appropriate practice'? Had my own research not contributed to the identification of factors associated with 'quality' care? How 'different' could quality be?

It was about that same time that a different First Nations program we were working with used the Harms-Clifford

ECERS scale to assess their program quality. They had used the measures some months before they had a major meeting about the 'aboriginal appropriateness' of their program. Following the meeting, and weeks after the changes, the scale was used again to assess the program, and the scores were lower!

I was intrigued by this change, for I felt the discussions they had undertaken had deepened their commitment to and understanding of the program and the community. I believe the changes had to do with creating, in their eyes, a less 'cluttered' and a less 'busy' environment. I began to think more actively that perhaps quality is different?

Western 'best practices' in religion, in schooling, and in social services had all but destroyed them as a people

Some members of the community wondered if they would be able to survive the West's 'best practices' in early childhood care and education, on top of everything else they had endured. Indeed, Western 'best practices' in religion, in schooling, and in social services had all but destroyed them as a people.

The Council's history of working with the dominant white society could graphically be understood as one culture ensnared in and being absorbed by the other. What they wanted was a respectful relationship between each culture, and an approach to post-secondary early childhood education that enabled both to exist and both to be heard.

If this curriculum were to be supportive of community and culture, then the voices of that community and culture must be brought into the curriculum—not via Western intermediaries, like myself, but by those the community respected as having the appropriate knowledge. The model that evolved was not about 'either/or', or 'best/second-best'. It is about 'both/and'. It is about using the space between the two cultures, the two communities, as a place to meet, to hear, to debate, to engage. It is about learning from and hearing from each other.

As this work evolved, I came to call it the 'generative curriculum model': a model of education that emphasises process and inclusion. A place where new ideas and new perspectives are generated through respectful interaction. The generative curriculum model is not post-secondary education as most early childhood education students have experienced it. It forces one to think about one's actions, both as the instructor and the instructed.

Students and instructors were seeing things differently through using this approach

I also observed that it was not just our students and instructors who were seeing things differently through using this approach—it was also those who came in from the community to share their ideas and perspectives. In many

cases, it was the Elders who were the teachers from the community.

Towards the end of our first partnership in 1993, the Tribal Council asked an Elder, from a different tribal group but familiar with Meadow Lake, to do an evaluation. Her words, and the words of those she interviewed, changed my understanding of what our different approach was all about.

The involvement of the Elders in the Indian Child Care Program and subsequently into all community events and undertakings has led to a revitalisation of cultural pride and traditional values ... Unless there is a healthy community environment, there cannot be healthy community members (Debbie Jette).

There is much more talk in the communities these days about improving the environment for children. There's definitely a ripple effect, and it took a program like this to get things rolling (Marie McCallum).

Up to that point I had understood our work as curriculum development. From then on, with the six other tribal groups we have worked with, I have understood it as a form of community development, that employs early childhood education as a tool for that development. It is an example of what can happen when we step outside the box, when we adopt an indeterminate position of 'not knowing', and when we truly listen to and engage with the voices of others.

*Alan Pence
School of Child and Youth Care
University of Victoria, Canada*

