

LOCAL SOLVABILITY AND LOSS OF SMOOTHNESS OF THE NAVIER-STOKES-MAXWELL EQUATIONS WITH LARGE INITIAL DATA

SLIM IBRAHIM AND TSUYOSHI YONEDA

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria
PO Box 3060 STN CSC, Victoria, BC, Canada, V8W 3R4

and

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

Abstract: Existence of local-in-time unique solution and loss of smoothness of full Magnet-Hydro-Dynamics system (MHD) is considered for periodic initial data. The result is proven using Fujita-Kato's method in ℓ^1 based (for the Fourier coefficients) functional spaces enabling us to easily estimate nonlinear terms in the system as well as solutions to Maxwells's equations. A loss of smoothness result is shown for the velocity and magnetic field. It comes from the damped-wave operator which does not have any smoothing effect.

Keywords: Navier-Stokes equation, Maxwell equations, MHD, locally well posedness, loss of smoothness

Mathematics Subject Classification: 76W05, 76N10, 35Q30

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the following full Magnet-Hydro-Dynamics system (MHD):

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v - \nu \Delta v + \nabla p = j \times B \\ \partial_t E - \nabla \times B = -j \\ \partial_t B + \nabla \times E = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{div} B = 0 \\ \sigma(E + v \times B) = j \end{cases}$$

with the initial data

$$v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad B|_{t=0} = B_0, \quad E|_{t=0} = E_0.$$

Here $v, E, B: \mathbb{R}_t^+ \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ are vector fields defined on \mathbb{R}^3 . The vector field $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is the velocity of the fluid, ν its viscosity and the scalar function p stands for the pressure. The vector fields E and B are the electric and magnetic fields of the fluid, respectively. And j is the electric current expressed by Omn's law. The force term $j \times B$ in the Navier-Stokes equations comes from Lorentz force under a quasi-neutrality assumption of the net charge carried by the fluid. Note that the

S. I. is partially supported by NSERC# 371637-2009 grant and a start up fund from University of Victoria.

T. Y. is partially supported by PIMS Post-doc fellowship at the University of Victoria, and partially supported by NSERC# 371637-2009.

pressure p can be recovered from v and $j \times B$ via an explicit Calderon-Zygmund type operator. The second equation is the Ampère-Maxwell equation for an electric field E . The third equation is nothing but Faraday's law. For a detailed introduction to the MHD, we refer to Davidson [2] and Biskamp [1].

Concerning the Cauchy problem associated to (1.1), multiply the Navier-Stokes equations by v , the Ampère-Maxwell equations by $(B, E)^T$ and integrate (using the divergence free condition of the velocity) to get the following formal energy identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|B\|_{L^2}^2 + \|E\|_{L^2}^2] + \|j\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 = 0$$

showing that both the viscosity and the electric resistivity dissipate energy. It also suggests that with initial data in $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))^3$, one can expect to construct a global finite energy weak solution (à la Leray). However, this intuitive expectation remains an interesting open problem for system (1.1) in both dimensions $d = 2, 3$ as an actual difficulty stands to derive some compactness especially for the magnetic field in virtue of the hyperbolicity of Maxwell's equations. This problem is similar to the global weak solvability of Euler's equations for ideal fluid which also remain open. In [9], P. L.-Lions suggested the notion of dissipative solutions for Euler's equation. These are functions which satisfy the energy inequality but not necessarily the system of equations itself. However, these solutions do coincide with any strong solution with the same initial data, if there is any. Dissipative solutions were also investigated for other MHD models as in [14]. For strong solutions, local wellposedness and small data global existence were investigated for other models of magneto-hydrodynamic as for example in [5] [6], but the nonlinearities there are weaker than the one in system (1.1). In the two dimensional case with $(v_0, E_0, B_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times (H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))^2$ and $s > 0$, Masmoudi [12] proved the existence and uniqueness of global strong solutions to (1.1). More recently, Keraani and the first author [7] showed the existence of global strong solutions in both dimension two and three with small initial data in spaces as close as possible to the energy space. However, the authors were not able to construct local solutions for arbitrary large initial data.

Imposing more regularity on the initial electro-magnetic field, one can hope to solve (1.1). In this paper we show the existence of local solutions with three dimensional large periodic initial data. Similar argument is valid in the case of the whole space and almost periodic case. We also show that if the initial data is not smooth enough, the solution is not smooth enough. In this consideration we use the periodic structure.

Using the divergence free property of B , one can easily verify that

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times B) = -\Delta B,$$

and therefore, the magnetic field B satisfies an inhomogeneous damped wave equation. Let \tilde{E} and \bar{E} be the divergence free and the gradient potential parts of the electric field E i.e.

$$\tilde{E} := \mathbf{P}E, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{E} := \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} E.$$

Note that $E = \tilde{E} + \bar{E}$. In what follows, we use the following re-written equation coupled with damped wave equation:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v + \mathbf{P}(v \cdot \nabla v) - \nu \Delta v = \mathbf{P}(j \times B) \\ \partial_{tt} B - \Delta B + \partial_t B = \nabla \times (v \times B) \\ \partial_{tt} \tilde{E} - \Delta \tilde{E} + \partial_t \tilde{E} = -\partial_t (v \times B) \\ \partial_t \bar{E} + \bar{E} = -\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(v \times B) \\ \operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{div} B = 0 \end{cases}$$

with the initial data

$$v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad B|_{t=0} = B_0, \quad \partial_t B|_{t=0} = B_1, \quad \tilde{E}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{P}E_0, \quad \partial_t \tilde{E}|_{t=0} := \tilde{E}_1, \quad \bar{E}|_{t=0} := \bar{E}_0.$$

The formulation given by equation (1.2) is good for constructing a mild solutions. Let \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 be the propagators associated to the Fourier multiplier functions

$$\Phi_1(t, n) := e^{-t/2} \cos\left(\sqrt{|n|^2 - 1/4}t\right), \quad \Phi_2(t, n) := e^{-t/2} \frac{\sin\left(\sqrt{|n|^2 - 1/4}t\right)}{\sqrt{|n|^2 - 1/4}}.$$

Mild solutions can be written as

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} v = v(x, t) &= e^{t\Delta} v_0 - \int_0^t e^{t\Delta} \mathbf{P} [\nabla \cdot (v \otimes v) - E \times B - (v \times B) \times B] ds \\ &:= e^{t\Delta} v_0 + M_1(v, v) + M_2(E, B) - M_3(v, B, B) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{T}^3, \\ B = B(x, t) &= \mathcal{L}_1(t) B_0 + \mathcal{L}_2(t) (B_0/2 + B_1) + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_2(t-s) [\nabla \times (v \times B)] ds \\ &:= \mathcal{L}_1(t) B_0 + \mathcal{L}_2(t) (B_0/2 + B_1) + M_4(v, B) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{T}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} = \tilde{E}(x, t) &= \mathcal{L}_1(t) \tilde{E}_0 + \mathcal{L}_2(t) (\tilde{E}_0/2 + \tilde{E}_1) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_2(t-s) \partial_s \mathbf{P}(v \times B)(s) ds \\ &= \mathcal{L}_1(t) \tilde{E}_0 + \mathcal{L}_2(t) (\tilde{E}_0/2 + \tilde{E}_1) + \mathcal{L}_2(t) (v_0 \times B_0) + \int_0^t (\partial_s \mathcal{L}_2)(t-s) \partial_s \mathbf{P}(v \times B)(s) ds \\ &:= \mathcal{L}_1(t) \tilde{E}_0 + \mathcal{L}_2(t) (\tilde{E}_0/2 + \tilde{E}_1) + \mathcal{L}_2(t) (v_0 \times B_0) - M_5(v, B) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{T}^3, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E} &= e^{-t} \bar{E}_0 - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \nabla(\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(v \times B) ds \\ &:= \bar{E}_0 - M_6(v, B) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{T}^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 = \partial_t B_0 = -\nabla \times E_0$.

We handle periodic functions so that any smooth function can be decomposed as

$$f(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{f}(n) e^{in \cdot x}.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that the mean value of the initial magnetic field is zero, namely $\hat{B}_0(0) = 0$. The mean value of B is preserved along the original equation. Indeed, from

$$\partial_t B + \nabla \times E = 0,$$

we have

$$\partial_t \hat{B}(n, t) + in \times \hat{E}(n, t) = 0$$

which for $n = 0$ gives $\partial_t \hat{B}(0, t) = 0$. If moreover $\hat{B}(0, 0) = 0$, then $\hat{B}(0, t) = 0$ for any $t > 0$.

In what follows, we consider the mild solution (1.3). To show local existence of (1.3), we need to find a function space in which one can show closed estimates for (1.3). In this point of view, L^∞ is one candidate of such function spaces since (1.3) has a trilinear term $M_3(v, B, B)$. More precisely, we can easily have the following estimate for M_3 :

$$(1.4) \quad \|M_3\|_\infty \leq t \|(v \times B) \times B\|_\infty \leq t \|v\|_\infty \|B\|_\infty^2.$$

However the L^∞ Lebesgue space is not suitable for the damped wave propagator \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 . This difficulty is essentially the same as the unboundedness of singular integral operator in L^∞ . To overcome this, we introduce the weighted “ ℓ^1 (in Fourier side) space with s weight given by

$$X^s := \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}^3) : \|u\|_{X^s} = \|(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{s/2} \hat{u}\|_{\ell^1} < \infty\}.$$

We denote by $\|u\| := \|u\|_{X^0}$. It is well known that X^0 is an algebra which is continuously embedded in BUC , the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions. For the periodic case, we can point out the following relationship between $X^s(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and the Hölder space $C^s(\mathbb{T}^3)$. Let $C^{s+0}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ be $C^{s+\epsilon}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and X^{s+0} be $X^{s+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Proposition 1.1. *We have $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ if and only if $u \in \bigcap_{s \geq 1} X^s$. Moreover, $C^{s+3/2+0}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is embedded in X^s .*

The proof follows from the following well known inequalities,

$$\|u\|_{X^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{s+3/2+0}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{s+3/2+0}(\mathbb{T}^3)},$$

where $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{T}^3)$ is the Besov space.

In this paper, we use the space X^0 . Since it is an algebra, one can easily estimate M_3 like (1.4). In addition, one can suitably estimate the damped wave operators \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 in X^0 . This kind of function spaces has already been used for fluid equations by several authors (see for example [3, 4, 8, 13, 15]). However, in order to get a good representation of the electric field E as in (1.2), we need to decompose it into divergence free \tilde{E} and vector potential part \bar{E} . Observe that we have

$$\|E\|_{X^0} \lesssim \|\tilde{E}\|_{X^0} + \|\bar{E}\|_{X^0} \lesssim \|E\|_{X^0}.$$

The following local solvability and the propagator of C^∞ regularity is the first main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(v_0, B_0, E_0) \in (X^0 \times X^0 \times X^0)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = \operatorname{div} B_0 = 0$. Then there is a local-in-time unique mild solution of (1.1)*

$$(v, B, E) \in C([0, T] : X^0 \times X^0 \times X^0).$$

Moreover, if $(v_0, B_0, E_0) \in C^\infty \times C^\infty \times C^\infty$, then

$$(v, B, E) \in C^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Next we investigate a “loss of smoothness” result. We can show that either the fluid flow or the magnetic field should develop a X^{5+0} singularity within the lifetime of the solution even if initially neither the velocity field nor the magnetic field does have such singularities. This phenomena is caused by the damped-wave operator which does not have any smoothing effect (see [10] for example). We have the following second main result.

Theorem 1.3. *For sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, any $E_{0,2}, E_{0,3} \in C^\infty$ and $(v_0, B_0) \in C^\infty \times C^\infty$, there exist $E_{0,1} \in (X^1 \setminus X^{1+\delta/2})$ and a unique solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ such that one can choose time $t \in (0, T)$ with the property that either of the following alternatives can happen:*

$$v(t) \notin X^{5+\delta}(\mathbb{T}^3) \quad \text{or} \quad B(t) \notin X^{5+\delta}(\mathbb{T}^3).$$

Remark 1.4. For the usual 3D-Naveir-Stokes equations (which means $B_0 = E_0 = 0$), the solution is smooth enough even if the initial data v_0 is in $X^1 \setminus X^{1+\delta/2}$.

Now we give the rough idea to the proof of Theorem 1.3. From the mild formulation of B with $B_1 = \nabla \times E_0$, one can get inflation from the term $\mathcal{L}_2 B_1$. By choosing B_0 smooth enough, $\mathcal{L}_1 B_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 B_0$ remain smooth enough and if we assume that $u(t)$ and $B(t)$ remain smooth for time t , then $u \times B$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(t) \nabla(u \times B)$ will be smooth and therefore can be absorbed by the inflation term. This leads to a contradiction. Thus, either term $u(t)$ or $B(t)$ must not be sufficiently smooth.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us set

$$M_t := \max\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s < t} \|v\|, \sup_{0 \leq s < t} \|E\|, \sup_{0 \leq s < t} \|B\| \right\}$$

Direct calculation shows the following estimates for M_j ($j = 1, \dots, 6$):

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|M_1(v, v)\| &\leq t^{1/2} M_t^2, \\ \|M_2(E, B)\| &\leq t M_t^2, \\ \|M_3(v, B, B)\| &\leq t M_t^3, \\ \|M_4(v, B)\| &\leq t M_t^2, \\ \|M_5(v, B)\| &\leq t M_t^2, \\ \|M_6(v, B)\| &\leq t M_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, on the one hand since

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} s^{1/2} |n| e^{-|n|^2 s} \leq C, \quad \|\mathbf{P}v\| \leq C \|v\|, \quad (v \cdot \nabla)v = \nabla \cdot (v \otimes v) \quad \text{and} \quad \|v \otimes v\| \lesssim \|v\|^2,$$

we see that

$$\|M_1(v, v)\| \leq \int_0^t \frac{C}{(t-s)^{1/2}} \sup_{0 \leq s' \leq T} \|v(s')\|^2 ds$$

which clearly leads to the desired estimate of M_1 . Similarly, for M_2 , we see that

$$\|M_2(E, B)\| \leq \int_0^t \sup_{0 \leq s' \leq T} \|E(s')\| \|B(s')\| ds,$$

and this gives us the desired estimate for M_2 . The other terms are estimated similarly. On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\Delta}u_0\| &\leq \|u_0\|, \\ \|\mathcal{L}_1(t)B_0\| &\lesssim \|B_0\|, \\ \|\mathcal{L}_2(t)B_0\| &\lesssim \|B_0\|, \\ \|\mathcal{L}_2(t)B_1\| &\lesssim \|B_1\|, \\ \|\mathcal{L}_1(t)\tilde{E}_0\| &\lesssim \|\tilde{E}_0\|, \\ \|\mathcal{L}_2(t)\tilde{E}_0\| &\leq \|\tilde{E}_0\|, \\ \|\mathcal{L}_2(t)\tilde{E}_1\| &\leq \|\tilde{E}_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

By the above estimates, we can apply a usual fixed point argument. We sketch the proof in below and for full details refer for example to [3]. Define the successive approximation $\{u_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$ by

$$u_1(t) := \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ B_1 \\ \tilde{E}_1 \\ \bar{E}_1 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} e^{t\Delta}u_0 \\ \mathcal{L}_1(t)B_0 + \mathcal{L}_2(t)(B_0/2 + B_1) \\ \mathcal{L}_1(t)\tilde{E}_0 + \mathcal{L}_2(t)(\tilde{E}_0/2 + \tilde{E}_1) + \mathcal{L}_2(t)(v_0 \times B_0) \\ e^{-t}\bar{E}_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$u_{j+1}(t) := \begin{pmatrix} v_{j+1} \\ B_{j+1} \\ \tilde{E}_{j+1} \\ \bar{E}_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} M_1(v_j, v_j) + M_2(E_j, B_j) - M_3(v_j, B_j, B_j) \\ M_4(v_j, B_j) \\ -M_5(v_j, B_j) \\ -M_6(v_j, B_j) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set

$$K_j(T) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|v_j(s)\| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|E_j(s)\| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\tilde{E}_j(s)\| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\bar{E}_j(s)\|$$

and

$$L_{j+1} := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|u_{j+1} - u_j\|.$$

Using estimates (2.1) we have

$$(2.2) \quad K_{j+1}(T) \leq K_1 + C_1 T^{1/2} K_j^2 + C_2 T K_j^2 + C_3 T K_j^3.$$

with positive constants $C_\ell > 0$, $\ell = 1, 2, 3$. The remaining part is now standard. From estimate (2.2), one can easily derive the uniform bound $K_j(T) \leq 2K_1 \lesssim (\|u_0\| + \|B_0\| + \|E_0\|)$ when T is sufficiently small with respect of the norm of the initial data. Similar calculation gives the pointwise estimate on L_j

$$\begin{aligned} L_{j+1}(T) &\leq \tilde{C}_1 T^{1/2} K_j L_j + \tilde{C}_2 T K_j L_j + \tilde{C}_3 T K_j^2 L_j \\ &\leq 2\tilde{C}_1 T^{1/2} K_1 L_j + 2\tilde{C}_2 T K_1 L_j + 2\tilde{C}_3 T K_1^2 L_j \end{aligned}$$

with positive constants $\tilde{C}_\ell > 0$, $\ell = 1, 2, 3$. Now we take a sufficiently small T such that $2\tilde{C}_1 T^{1/2} K_1 < 1/6$, $2\tilde{C}_2 T K_1 < 1/6$ and $2\tilde{C}_3 T K_1^2 < 1/6$, we have

$$L_{j+1} \leq (1/2)L_j.$$

This gives us that there is a unique limit u such that $u_j \rightarrow u \in C([0, T] : X^0 \times X^0 \times X^0)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that the limit u uniquely solves the equation.

To show that (v, B, E) are smooth if (v_0, B_0, E_0) are smooth enough, The argument

is, for example, similar to [11, Proposition 15.1]. From (1.3) and estimates (2.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad \|\nabla v(t)\| &\leq \|\nabla v_0\| + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} \left(\|v\| \|\nabla v\| + \|E\| \|B\| + \|v\| \|B\|^2 \right) ds \\
 \|\nabla B(t)\| &\leq \|\nabla B_0\| + \|\nabla B_1\| + \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla v\| \|B\| + \|v\| \|\nabla B\| \right) ds \\
 \|\nabla \tilde{E}(t)\| &\leq \|\nabla \tilde{E}_0\| + \|\nabla \tilde{E}_1\| + \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla v\| \|B\| + \|v\| \|\nabla B\| \right) ds \\
 \|\nabla \bar{E}(t)\| &\leq \|\nabla \bar{E}_0\| + \int_0^t \left(\|\nabla v\| \|B\| + \|v\| \|\nabla B\| \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the above estimates (2.3) and Gronwall's inequality, we can control $\|\nabla B\| + \|\nabla v\| + \|\nabla \tilde{E}\| + \|\nabla \bar{E}\|$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$ since $v, B, \tilde{E}, \bar{E} \in C([0, T] : X^0)$. Repeating this argument for $\|\nabla^k B\| + \|\nabla^k v\| + \|\nabla^k \tilde{E}\| + \|\nabla^k \bar{E}\|$ ($k \geq 2$), we can derive the space regularity of the solution. We can then get the time regularity from original equation (1.1) once we have the space regularity. \square

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we need a pointwise estimate of M_4 . For that the following convolution estimate is the key.

Lemma 2.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, let $\rho_s(n) := C_1/(C_2 + |n|^{3+s})$, for some arbitrary positive constants C_1 and C_2 . If $s, s' > 0$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \rho_s(k) \rho_{s'}(n-k) \right| \leq \rho_{\min\{s, s'\}}(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

Proof. Since $|n-k| \geq |n| - |k| \geq |n|/2$ for $|k| \leq |n|/2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3, |k| \leq |n|/2} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3, |k| > |n|/2} \right) |\rho_s(k) \rho_{s'}(n-k)| &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |\rho_s(k) \rho_{s'}(|n|/2)| + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |\rho_s(|n|/2) \rho_{s'}(n-k)| \\
 &\leq \max\{\rho_s(n), \rho_{s'}(n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 2.2. We see that if $u \notin X^{s-0}$, then there is $\{n_j\}_j$ ($n_j \rightarrow \infty$) such that $|\hat{u}(n_j)| \geq \rho_s(n_j)$. Moreover, if $u \in X^s$, then $|\hat{u}(n)| \leq \rho_{s-3}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Recall that

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_2(t)B_1) = i\Phi_2(t, n) \begin{pmatrix} n_3 \hat{E}_{0,2}(n) - n_2 \hat{E}_{0,3}(n) \\ n_1 \hat{E}_{0,3}(n) - n_3 \hat{E}_{0,1}(n) \\ n_2 \hat{E}_{0,1}(n) - n_1 \hat{E}_{0,2}(n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We focus on the second component of the vector in (2.4). We choose $E_{0,1}$ in order to satisfy $|E_{0,1}(n)| \geq \rho_{1+\delta/2}(n)$. In particular, this gives us that $|n_3 E_{0,1}(n)| \geq \rho_{1+\delta/2}(n)$ for all $n_3 \neq 0$.

Assume that $(v, B) \in C([0, T] : X^{5+\delta}(\mathbb{T}^3))$. We show that a loss of regularity is brought from non-smoothness of E_0 not B_0 . By Remark 2.2 and Proposition 1.1, we have

$$|\hat{v}(t, n)|, |\hat{B}(t, n)| \leq \rho_{2+\delta-0}(n) \quad \text{with eventually } C_1 = C_1(T) \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = C_2(T).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$|\mathcal{F}[v \times B](t, n)| \leq \rho_{2+\delta-0}(n) \quad \text{for } t < T.$$

thus,

$$|M_4(v, B)| \leq T\rho_{2+\delta-0}(n).$$

On the other hand, for any n (with $n_3 \neq 3$), there is $t \in (0, T)$ (in this case we set $t = \frac{(2k+1)/2}{\sqrt{|n|^2-1}}\pi < T$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) such that

$$|\Phi_2(t, n)n_3E_{0,1}(n)| \geq \rho_{2+\delta/2}(n).$$

Since B_0 , $E_{0,2}$ and $E_{0,3}$ are smooth enough, we see that

$$|\Phi_1(t, n)(B_0(n)/2)|, |\Phi_2(t, n)B_0(n)|, |\Phi_2(t, n)n_1E_{0,3}(n)| \leq \rho_s(n)$$

for any $s > 0$ (in this case we set $s = 2 + \delta - 0$) and $t \in [0, T]$. Thus for any n (with $n_3 \neq 0$), there is $t \in (0, T)$, we have the following lower bound for the second vector of \hat{B} :

$$|\hat{B}_2(t, n)| \geq |\Phi_2(t, n)n_3\hat{E}_{0,1}(n)| - \rho_{2+\delta-0}(n) - T\rho_{2+\delta-0}(n) \geq \rho_{2+\delta/2}(n).$$

This is a contradiction against $B(t) \in C([0, T] : X^{5+\delta}(\mathbb{T}^3))$, since $|\hat{B}(t, n)|$ must be controlled by $\rho_{2+\delta-0}(n)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$. \square

Acknowledgments. The second author thanks the Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences for support of his presence there during the academic year 2010/2011. This paper developed during a stay of the second author as a PostDoc at the Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Biskamp. *Nonlinear magnetohydrodynamics*, volume 1 of *Cambridge Monographs on Plasma Physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] P. A. Davidson. *An introduction to magnetohydrodynamics*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [3] Y. Giga, K. Inui, A. Mahalov and S. Matsui, *Uniform local solvability for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Coriolis force*. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 12, (2005) 381-393.
- [4] Y. Giga, H. Jo, A. Mahalov and T. Yoneda, *On time analyticity of the Navier-Stokes equations in a rotating frame with spatially almost periodic data*. *Physica D*, 237, (2008) 1422-1428.
- [5] Y. Giga and Z. Yoshida, *On the Ohm-Navier-Stokes system in magnetohydrodynamics*. *J. Math. Phys.*, 24, (1983) 2860-2864.
- [6] Y. Giga and Z. Yoshida, *On the equation of the two-component theory in magnetohydrodynamics*. *Comm. Par. Diff. Equ.*, 9:6, (1984) 503-522.
- [7] S. Ibrahim and S. Keraani, *Global small solutions for the coupled Navier-Maxwell system*. To appear in *SIAM journal of Mathematical Analysis*.
- [8] S. Ibrahim and T. Yoneda, *Long-time solvability of the Navier-Stokes-Boussinesq equations with almost periodic initial large data*. Submitted.
- [9] P. L.-Lions, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics*. Vol. 1, volume 3 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1996. Incompressible models, Oxford Sciences Publications.
- [10] K. Nishihara, *$L^p - L^q$ estimates of solution to the damped wave equation in 3-dimensional space and their application*. *Math. Z.*, 244, (2003) 631-649.
- [11] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*. *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (2002).
- [12] N. Masmoudi, *Global well posedness for the Maxwell-Navier-Stokes system in 2D*. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 93 (2010), 559-571.
- [13] M. Oliver and E. S. Titi, *On the domain of analyticity for solutions of second order analytic nonlinear differential equations*. *Jour. Diff. Equa.*, 174, (2001) 55-74.
- [14] J. Wu, *Analytic results related to magneto-hydrodynamic turbulence*. *Phys. D.*, 136 (3-4) (2000) 353-372.
- [15] T. Yoneda, *Long-time solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations in a rotating frame with spatially almost periodic large data*. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 200, (2011) 225-237.

E-mail address: S. Ibrahim: `ibrahim@math.uvic.ca`

URL: `http://www.math.uvic.ca/~ibrahim/`

E-mail address: T. Yoneda: `yoneda@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp`