Bond fission in monocationic frameworks: diverse fragmentation pathways for phosphinophosphonium cations†
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A series of phosphinophosphonium cations ([R2PPMe3]+; R = Me, Et, tPr, iPr, Ph and tBu) have been prepared and examined by collision-induced dissociation (CID) to determine the fragmentation pathways accessible to these prototypical catena-phosphorus cations in the gas-phase. Experimental evidence for fission of P–P and P–E (E = P, C) bonds, and β-hydride elimination has been obtained. Comparison of appearance potentials for the P–P bond dissociation fragments [R2P]+ (P–P heterolysis) and [PMe3]+ (P–P homolysis) shows that heterolytic P–P cleavage is more sensitive than P–P homolysis towards changes in substitution at the trivalent phosphorus center. The facility of β-hydride elimination increases with the steric bulk of R in [R2PPMe3]+. A density functional theory (DFT) study modelling these observed processes in gas-phase, counterion- and solvent-free conditions, to mimic the mass spectrometric environment, was performed for derivatives of [R2PPMe3]+ (R = Me, Et, tPr, iPr, Ph and tBu), showing good agreement with experimental trends. The unusual observation of both homolytic and heterolytic cleavage pathways for the P–P and P–C bonds reveals new insight into the fundamental aspects of bonding in monocations and undermines the use of simplistic bonding models.

Introduction

Bond strength is an essential parameter for discussion of bonding and reactivity. While bond fission can occur by homolysis or heterolysis, for neutral compounds such as alkanes the term “bond strength” generally denotes rupture by the lowest energy homolytic pathway. For example, the C–C bond strength in ethane is listed as 359 kJ mol⁻¹, representing homolysis, which requires one-third of the energy for heterolysis (1297 kJ mol⁻¹).²

In contrast, heterolytic cleavage of the dative bond is preferred for a neutral donor-acceptor complex with the accommodation of the bond pair by the donor fragment of the complex. For example, the classical coordination complex H₃NB₃⁺, which is iso-electronic with ethane, serves as a source of ammonia and borane through heterolysis as the lowest energy dissociation pathway (130 kJ mol⁻¹) available to the N–B bond. Homolysis is less favoured in this case because the electron affinity of BH₃ (0.038 eV) is much less than the ionization energy of NH₃ (10.35 eV).³ Similarly, H₃NB₃⁺ also serves as a source of H₂ by facile (29 kJ mol⁻¹) heterolytic removal of H⁺ (from N) and H⁺ (from B), which has created interest in the use of this complex as a hydrogen storage medium. Thus, knowledge of energetically preferred bond fission pathways is pertinent to the evolving understanding of chemical bonding within coordination complexes⁷ as well as reactivity and application.

The preferred dissociation pathways for single bonds in complexes bearing a positive charge are less obvious since potentially unstable open-shell cations (Scheme 1) result from either fission mode of any bond in such species. Phosphino-phosphonium cations, [R₃PPR₃]⁺, are prototypical examples of monocations featuring a homoatomic bond. Experimental evidence for heterolytic P–P cleavage has been reported in the form of ligand and acceptor exchange studies, but the evidence required to demonstrate a dissociative mechanism involving free phosphium ions as intermediates is lacking. Phosphonium ions have only been isolated when π-donating or sterically hindered substituents are employed, and therefore, P–P heterolysis may not be accessible with small alkyl substituents at phosphorus. There is no evidence for homolytic P–P cleavage in phosphinophosphonium cations, despite the predicted
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Scheme 1  Homolytic and heterolytic fission of homoatomic bonds in monocations.
accessibility of this pathway in quantum chemical studies depending upon the electronic and steric properties of the substituents around the P–P bond.\textsuperscript{10,21} Experimental evidence for both bond cleavage modes operating within a single phosphinophosphonium has not been reported, nor has the preference for either mode been experimentally assessed under conditions that favour neither homolysis nor heterolysis products.

While quantitative determination of bond strengths is experimentally challenging for molecules of this type, qualitative approaches have been developed to probe the relative thresholds for various bond fission processes in a molecule. Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) provides one such approach through collision-induced dissociation (CID). A highly dynamic technique, CID is capable of probing a wide range of interaction types\textsuperscript{13–15} through the inelastic collision of a chosen molecular ion with an inert gas molecule (e.g. Ar). Bond energies can be quantified for well-behaved systems (i.e. where fragmentation occurs via a single pathway) through treatment of the kinetic shift by extraction of threshold energies with programs such as CRUNCH\textsuperscript{16,17} and LCID.\textsuperscript{16,18} The appearance potentials of fragments formed from conversion of kinetic energy to potential energy upon collision can be qualitatively compared to determine the kinetically preferred bond fragmentation pathways in the gas phase. Electrospray ionization (ESI) is ideally suited to produce ions of interest for CID-MS/MS experiments because the source simply desolvates solution-phase ions and hence causes minimal fragmentation of the parent ion during its transit into the gas phase.\textsuperscript{17}

A collection of alkyl- and aryl-substituted diphosphines (e.g. R₂PPh₂) where R = Me, Et, and 'Bu have been the subject of sporadic CID studies\textsuperscript{19–22} utilizing electron impact mass spectrometry, but the use of this ionization method limits the practical relevance of these studies as the electronic structures of radical cation molecular ions differ from those of neutral precursors. Isolable polyphosphoranes cations have not been studied by mass spectrometry using ESI-MS methods, despite the similarity of mass spectrometric conditions with reported gas-phase theoretical models.\textsuperscript{19,23,24}

We now report the first experimental evidence for both homolytic and heterolytic P–E (E = P, C) bond dissociation processes in the gas phase within members of a systematically-varied series of isolable phosphinophosphonium cations, [R₂PPMe₃⁺] (R = Me, Et, 'Pr, 'Bu, Cy, Ph, and N'Pr₂). The relative preference for P–P homolysis and heterolysis has been assessed in each case to clarify the fundamental ambiguity of homolytic bond dissociation pathways in cationic complexes, and the results are consistent with charge-delocalization over the molecular framework. In addition, a remarkable diversity of hitherto unexplored unimolecular fragmentation pathways has been discovered for these prototypical catena-phosphorus cations. The observed processes have been comprehensively modeled in the gas phase using benchmarked quantum-chemical methods and rationalized as a function of the electronic and steric properties of the substituents at the trivalent phosphorus center. The concerted application of the ESI-CID-MS/MS experiment and computational chemistry defines a state-of-the-art qualitative methodology for experimentally addressing challenging questions regarding the nature of chemical bonding.\textsuperscript{7,14,25}

**Experimental**

A series of phosphinophosphonium triflate salts of the generic formula [R₂PPMe₃][OTf] (R = Me, Et, 'Pr, 'Bu, Cy, Ph, and N'Pr₂) were prepared according to published synthetic methods\textsuperscript{26,27} and analysed from dilute solutions by ESI-MS/MS. All mass spectra were collected on a Micromass Q-ToF Micro mass spectrometer in positive mode, using electrospray ionization: capillary voltage, 3000 V; sample cone voltage, 15 V; extraction voltage, 0.5 V; source temperature, 70°C; desolvation temperature, 200°C; cone gas flow, 100 L h⁻¹; desolvation gas flow, 100 L h⁻¹; collision voltage 1–50 V for MS/MS experiments; MCP voltage, 2700 V. Data collected in CID experiments are presented in terms of averaged intensities normalized with respect to the total ion count and collision energies normalized with respect to the mass of the fragmenting phosphinophosphonium cation rather than absolute intensity and time, as in the raw data, to allow discussion of relative appearance potentials for fragments irrespective of the identity of the parent phosphinophosphonium cation. Mass normalization was accomplished using the formula $E_\text{lab} = E_\text{lab} \times m_\text{Ar} / (m_\text{Ar} + m_\text{M})$, where $E_\text{lab}$ is the mass normalized collision voltage, $E_\text{lab}$ is the collision voltage set in lab, $m_\text{Ar}$ is the mass of the [argon] collision gas, and $m_\text{M}$ is the mass of the molecular ion selected for CID. The appearance potential of a fragment is proportional to the energetic requirement for that fragmentation process and, thus, the appearance potentials of [R₂P⁺] and [PMe₃⁺] for a given substituent R represent relative energy requirements for heterolysis and homolysis, respectively.

**Results and discussion**

ESI-CID-MS/MS experiments of [R₂PPMe₃⁺] molecular ions show that a diverse array of fragmentation processes are accessible to phosphinophosphonium cations, including P–P fission, P–C fission, and β-hydride elimination (Scheme 2). Fig. 1 shows the average intensities of the parent ion [R₂PPMe₃⁺\textsuperscript{−}] and its daughter fragments as a function of increasing collision energy, normalized to the total ion current for each MS/MS experiment (y axis), and plotted against the mass normalized collision energy (x axis). [R₂PPMe₃⁺\textsuperscript{−}] is an illustrative example of the series [R₂PPMe₃⁺\textsuperscript{−}] since all processes in Scheme 2 are observed (see also Fig. S18d†), whereas for other substitutions only some of the processes in Scheme 2 are observed (Table 1).

Dissociation pathways inferred from these characteristic fragments include primary processes occurring in the parent molecular ion, [R₂PPMe₃⁺\textsuperscript{−}], and secondary processes occurring in the products generated by primary processes. The large number of products observed from fragmentation of each phosphinophosphonium cation (see Fig. S18 and S19† for summary fragmentation plots) is largely due to these secondary processes. For example, two sequential losses of the 'Bu groups
Mass spectrometrically observed dissociation pathways for [R₂PPMe₃⁺] cations, where R = Me, Et, tPr, tBu, Cy, or Ph (only cationic species, in rounded boxes, are detected in CID-MS/MS).

Fig. 1 Fragmentation plot for [Bu₂PPMe₃⁺]. Average normalized intensities of [Bu₂PPMe₃⁺] and its array of daughter fragments as a function of mass normalized collision energy in ESI-CID-MS/MS experiments.

are observed following homolytic P-C cleavage in [Bu₂PPMe₃⁺], resulting in detection of [BuPPMe₃⁺] from the primary process and [PPMe₃⁺] from the secondary process (Fig. 1).

Fig. 2 presents fragmentation plots for [R₂PPMe₃⁺], R = Ph (a) and Me (b), including traces for the occurrence of P-P heterolysis and homolysis as indicated by the appearance of [R₂P⁺] and [PPMe₃⁺⁺], respectively. The fewest dissociation pathways are observed for R = Ph, for which the primary P-P heterolytic cleavage forming [Ph₂P⁺] and the secondary loss of H₂ from this fragment to give the o-biphenylene phosphinonium ion (Scheme 3a) are the most significant processes (see Fig. S18f and S19f†). The formation of the o-biphenylene phosphinonium ion and several low intensity fragments (e.g. [C₆H₄⁺], m/z 152) (Scheme 3b) have previously been observed in MS studies involving triphenylphosphine.⁸⁻¹⁹ The trace for [Ph₂P⁺] exhibits typical intermediate behaviour and diminishes concomitantly with the formation of [(C₆H₄)₂⁺], suggesting that formation of the radical cation is a secondary process.

We ascribe the preference for heterolytic P-P cleavage in R = Ph to resonance stabilization of the phosphonium center in [Ph₂P⁺] by π-donation from the phenyl substituents to the vacant p-orbital at the phosphonium (analogous to resonance stabilization of [Ph₃C⁺]).²⁸ While similar behaviour was anticipated for the R = NPr₂ derivative, the fragment of greatest mass observed for solutions of [(NPr₂)₂PPMe₃][OTf] prior to any collision-induced dissociation was unassignable (see Fig. S13 and S14†). The fragmentation data for [Ph₂PPMe₃⁺] is unique amongst the derivatives of [R₂PPMe₃⁺] studied as it shows no evidence for P-P homolytic dissociation. In all other derivatives, heterolytic and homolytic P-P fission were detected, providing rare experimental evidence of both cleavage modes operating for the same bond within a compound. As predicted, [Me₂PPMe₃⁺] undergoes P-P homolysis preferentially (by 15 kJ mol⁻¹) over heterolysis. However, for all other derivatives, the experimental data indicate that heterolysis is preferred. The curves in Fig. 3 exhibit an increasing trend of R = Me < Et < tPr < Cy < tBu for P-P homolysis, and the trend Ph < Cy < Et < tPr < tBu < Me for P-P heterolysis. Curiously, the decreasing ease of homolytic cleavage in diphosphines, C₆H₄ > C₆H₃ > C₆H₂ > n-C₆H₆ > n-C₆H₄, parallels that observed for heterolysis in [R₂PPMe₃⁺] cations. In contrast to previous computational work²⁸ showing a general preference for homolytic P-P fission irrespective of molecular charge, these experimental results show that preference for homolysis is sensitive to variations in the substitution pattern. The viability of both fission modes for the P-P bond suggests significant charge delocalization within these complexes, which is further consistent with the observation of both heterolytic and homolytic P-C fission at the trivalent phosphorus for all derivatives except R = Ph, where detectable (see Table 1 and Fig. S19f†). Observation of heterolytic P-C fission from the tetravalent phosphorus, giving [Me⁺], is precluded by the small m/z of this fragment with respect to detection limits.
Interestingly, homolytic P–C fission at the tetravalent phosphorus is only observed as a secondary process following homolytic P–C fission at the trivalent phosphorus, producing the fragment \([\text{RPPMe}_2]^+\) for the substitution patterns \(R = \text{Et}, \; ^1\text{Pr}, \; ^1\text{Bu}\) and \(\text{Cy}\).

The complexity of fragmentation data for \(R = \text{Me}\) results from the fact that multiple processes may lead to fragments of differing connectivity or electronic structure, but equivalent \(m/z\). For example, the peak observed at \(m/z\) 107 could not be assigned unambiguously because the fragments expected from successive P–C homolysis from either or both phosphorus centers have the same empirical formulae (i.e. \([\text{PPMe}_3]^+\), \([\text{MeP}_2\text{PMe}_3]^+\) and \([\text{Me}_2\text{P}_2\text{PMe}_3]^+\), using the atom numbering given in Scheme 2). Low mass fragments such as those at \(m/z\) 75, \(m/z\) 61, and \(m/z\) 59 appear simultaneously in the spectra of all phosphinophosphonium cations that exhibited P–P homolysis and are assigned as derivatives of \([\text{PMe}_3]^+\). Consistently, fragments of the same \(m/z\) were also observed in an electron impact study \(^{13}\) of neutral \(\text{PMe}_3\).

Formation of the primary and secondary \(\beta\)-hydride elimination products \([\text{R}(\text{H})\text{PPMe}_2]^+\) and \([\text{H}_2\text{PMe}_3]^+\) is observed for all phosphinophosphonium cations containing \(R\) groups with \(\beta\)-hydrogen atoms (i.e. \(R = \text{Et}, \; ^1\text{Pr}, \; ^1\text{Bu}, \; \text{Cy}\)). The fragmentation data presented in Fig. 1 (and additionally Fig. S19d in the ESI\(^\dagger\)) indicate that \(\beta\)-hydride elimination, which yields extremely rare examples of H-phosphinophosphonium cations, is in fact the most preferred dissociation pathway for \(R = ^1\text{Bu}\) in the gas phase as determined from the appearance potential and intensity of the resulting fragments. The observation of \([\text{Cy}(\text{H})\text{PPMe}_2]^+\) by NMR spectroscopy \(^{30}\) and the recent isolation of NHC-stabilized phosphenium cations \(^{31}\) of the form \([\text{R}(\text{H})\text{P}]^+ (R, \; R_0 = \text{alkyl or aryl})\) provides experimental evidence for the stability of \([\text{R}(\text{H})\text{PPR}_0]^+\) cations (\(R, \; R_0 = \text{alkyl or aryl}\)) and supports the proposed \(\beta\)-hydride elimination pathway. Interestingly, \(\beta\)-hydride elimination is ubiquitous in transition metal

### Table 1  Summary of Dissociation Pathways Observed by ESI-CID-MS/MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Observable fragment</th>
<th>Me</th>
<th>Et</th>
<th>(^1\text{Pr})</th>
<th>(^1\text{Bu})</th>
<th>Cy</th>
<th>Ph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heterolytic (\text{P}_1–\text{P}_2)</td>
<td>([\text{R}_2\text{P}]^+)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{R}(\text{H})\text{P}]^+)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homolytic (\text{P}_1–\text{P}_2)</td>
<td>([\text{PMe}_3]^+)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterolytic (\text{P}_2–\text{C})</td>
<td>(\text{R}^+)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homolytic (\text{P}_2–\text{C})</td>
<td>([\text{RPPMe}_2]^+)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{PPMe}_3]^+)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homolytic (\text{P}_1–\text{C})</td>
<td>([\text{RPPMe}_2]^+)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{PPMe}_3]^+)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-Hydride elimination</td>
<td>([\text{R}(\text{H})\text{PPMe}_2]^+)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>([\text{H}_2\text{PMe}_3]^+)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n.d. indicates processes that were not detected because the indicated fragments were below the detection limit of \(m/z\) 50; X indicates processes that were not observed; ? indicates multiple pathways resulting in the same \(m/z\) fragment observed by MS/MS; processes that are not possible for a particular substitution are denoted with a dash; heterolytic \(\text{P}_1–\text{C}\) cleavage is not detectable due to the mass of \(\text{Me}^+\) being less than \(m/z\) 50.

![Scheme 3 Postulated structures of the \(\alpha\)-biphenylene phosphenium (a) and \([(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_2]^+\) (b) fragments.](image)

![Fig. 2  Average normalized intensities of \([\text{R}_2\text{PPMe}_2]^+\), \([\text{PMe}_3]^+\) and \([\text{R}_2\text{P}]^+\) (\(R = \text{Ph}\) (a) and Me (b)) as a function of mass normalized collision energy in ESI-CID-MS/MS experiments.](image)
coordination chemistry but has been found only rarely in main
group complexes.32

The resistance of the studied phosphinophosphonium cations
towards all forms of decomposition is indicated by the order of
increasing collision energy required for disappearance of
[R2PPMe3]+ molecular ions (Fig. 4). By comparing the mass
normalized collision voltage required to fragment a given phos-
phinophosphonium cation to 50% of its initial intensity,33 we
surmise that the robustness of [R2PPMe3]+ increases in the order
R = Ph < tBu < tPr ≈ Cy < Et < Me. The apparent inverse correlation
between robustness and steric bulk (at the carbon bound to the
trivalent phosphorus) for the subset of alkyl substituents is sup-
ported by the similar mass normalized collision energies of
[Cy2PPMe3]+ and [tPr2PPMe3]+ at 50% intensity. We therefore
conclude that the trend in robustness depends on both the elec-
tronic and steric nature of the substituents at the tricoordinate
phosphorus centre, and is defined by facile P–P heterolysis for a π-
donor (R = Ph), facile β-hydride elimination for bulky alkyl
substituents (R = tBu) and relative robustness for small alkyl
substituents where P–P heterolysis is disfavoured and β-hydride
elimination is not possible (R = Me).

The unimolecular gas-phase conditions inherent in our
mass spectrometric experiments are well suited for comparison
with predictions from computational chemistry. A benchmark-
marking study of DFT functionals and basis sets was performed
using the experimentally known P–P stretching frequency (νPP
= 446 cm⁻¹) and bond length (dPP = 2.1767(6) Å) of [Me2-
PPMe3]+.34 The functionals investigated were selected based on
previous use on related systems.35,36 As shown in Fig. 5 the
functional used has a substantial influence over the calculated
values of νPP and dPP while the choice of basis set alters only the
calculated value of νPP. The PBE1PBE functional was selected as
a compromise between accuracy of theoretical correlates and
computational efficiency. Gibbs reaction energies determined
from PBE1PBE/6-311++G(d,p) frequency analysis of fragments
from the parent cation [Et2PPMe3]+ exhibit a trend that is
mirrored in computational studies of diphosphines the inclusion
of dispersion correction is reportedly critical to the determination
of P–P homolytic dissociation energies.37 We have considered
dispersion corrections through use of Grimme’s DFT-D3
correction38 in PBE1PBE/6-311++G(d,p) optimization and
frequency analysis of the phosphinophosphoniums [Et2PPMe3]+
and [tBu2PPMe3]+. In both cases, Gibbs reaction energies for the
modelled processes increased (Δ = 12–19 kJ mol⁻¹ for R = Et,
Δ = 27–30 kJ mol⁻¹ for R = tBu) upon inclusion of dispersion
effects, however these changes did not alter the calculated
trends (see Fig. S22†).

The series of phosphinophosphonium cations [R2PPMe3]+
(R = Me, Et, tPr, tBu, and Ph), and fragments resulting from the
mass spectrometrically observed processes were modelled and
Gibbs energies of reaction were obtained using Hess’s law.
Correlation of $r_{pp}$ and $d_{pp}$ values for the modelled phosphinophosphonium cations indicates that there is no obvious relationship between $r_{pp}$ and $d_{pp}$ and that the interchangeable use of $r_{pp}$ and $d_{pp}$ in descriptions of P–P bond characteristics is unreliable (see Fig. S21†). Comparison of $d_{pp}$ and $r_{pp}$ with calculated P–P homolysis and heterolysis energies shows that only $d_{pp}$ is correlated with P–P bond energies (see Fig. S26†).

Fig. 6a shows the trends in Gibbs energies of reaction (ΔG_{rxn}) for P–P fission, P–C fission, and β-hydride elimination for the series of modelled phosphinophosphonium cations. In Fig. 6b, ΔG_{rxn} has been decomposed into a bond break process (ΔG_{bb}, endothermic) corresponding to bond cleavage with retention of the fragment geometry observed in the bound complex, and a relaxation process (ΔG_{rel}, exothermic), corresponding to the relaxation of the fragments. The overall ΔG_{rxn} values for both heterolytic and homolytic P–C fission from the trivalent phosphorus center vary according to the well-established trends in increasing stability for carbocations and carbon radicals, respectively, due to enhanced hyperconjugation with increasingly bulky substituents. As a result, the energy differences between the P–P and P–C fission processes decreases with increasing steric bulk and both are readily accessible for R = 'Bu. As is evident in Fig. 6a, the ΔG_{rxn} energies of all pathways appear to converge with increasing steric bulk (cf. R = Me and 'Bu). ΔG_{rxn} energies of P–C homolysis and heterolysis from the tetravalent phosphorus center are significantly greater than the respective values for the trivalent phosphorus (see Tables S10 and S11 in the ESI†) and have therefore been excluded from Fig. 6.

The calculated ΔG_{rxn} and ΔG_{bb} energies for P–P heterolysis follow the order R = Ph ≈ 'Bu < 'Pr < Et < Me and exhibit a large range (112 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for ΔG_{rxn}, 98 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for ΔG_{bb}, Table S6†) whereas the range calculated for P–P homolysis energies vary only slightly (20 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for ΔG_{rxn}, 10 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for ΔG_{bb}, Table S8†). Stabilization of phosphonium cations [R$_2$P$^+$] for R = 'Bu and Ph by hyperconjugation and π-donation, respectively, likely accounts for facile heterolytic P–P cleavage in [$^6$Bu$_2$PPMe$_3$] and [$^6$Ph$_2$PPMe$_3$]$. Consistent with the proposal that phosphonium stability is the key determinant of P–P heterolysis energies, ΔG_{rxn} values for P–P heterolysis show a linear dependence upon the ionization energies of neutral phosphinyl radicals R$_2$P$^-$ ($r^2 = 0.99$, see Fig. S25†).

Table 2 lists the most favourable dissociation pathway (earliest onset) for each phosphinophosphonium cation according to experimental observations and according to calculated values of ΔG_{rxn} and ΔG_{bb}. While variations in the calculated ΔG_{rxn} energies and observed appearance potentials as a function of substitution are in broad agreement for a given process, as described for P–P homolysis, heterolysis and

![Fig. 6](a) Gibbs energies of reaction (ΔG_{rxn}) for dissociation processes of [R$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$ modelled in the gas-phase (298 K) at the PBE1PBE/6-311++G(d,p) level. See Scheme 2 for process definitions. (b) Decomposition of ΔG_{rxn} into bond break (ΔG_{bb}) and fragment relaxation (ΔG_{rel}) Gibbs energies. All values given in kJ mol$^{-1}$.
β-hydride elimination, the fragmentation process calculated to be most favourable is not consistently detected experimentally as having the lowest appearance potential. For example, although β-hydride elimination is predicted by the $\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}$ values to be most the accessible process for all substitutions (except R = Me and Ph), a significant preference for P-P and P-C fission is observed experimentally for most derivatives of [R$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$. Considering the significance of kinetic barriers in the non-equilibrium conditions of the experiment, the process observed to be most favourable by mass spectrometry is expected to show greater correlation with $\Delta G_{\text{bb}}$ predictions, which represents the kinetic barrier for unimolecular bond dissociation, than with $\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}$, which represents the overall thermodynamic favourability of the process and includes the exothermic relaxation of the dissociated fragments. Consistently, the experimentally observed decomposition preferences are well-represented by $\Delta G_{\text{bb}}$ (Table 2) with the exception of R = $^3$Bu, for which a comparison cannot be made since a meaningful $\Delta G_{\text{bb}}$ cannot be calculated for the most favourable process (β-hydride elimination) because a P-H bond is formed concomitantly with a P-C bond cleavage. We therefore resorted to transition state calculations to model this process for the R = Et, $^1$Pr and $^3$Bu derivatives.

Of the processes represented in Fig. 6a, β-hydride elimination is calculated to be the most thermodynamically preferred decomposition pathway for R = Et, $^1$Pr and $^3$Bu in [R$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$. Experimentally, β-hydride elimination is not observed for R = Me and Ph, and is observed as the most preferred pathway for R = $^3$Bu. The $^1$Pr and Cy-substituted phosphinophosphonium cations do not exhibit β-hydride elimination as the most preferred process, but it nevertheless occurs following the dominant P-P heterolytic process in each case (see Fig. S19†). For [Et$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$, the experimental onset of β-hydride elimination is detected only after several other fragmentation processes. Therefore the trend in observed extent of β-hydride elimination is $^3$Bu > $^1$Pr = Cy > Et (see Fig. 7a). We calculated transition states for β-hydride elimination in derivatives of [R$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$ (R = Et, $^1$Pr, and $^3$Bu, Fig. 7b) and found them to resemble the classic four-membered transition state for the analogous process observed in organometallic complexes.† The calculated activation energies were found to be 164 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (R = $^3$Pr), 187 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (R = $^3$Bu) and 229 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (R = Et), and do not show a simple correlation with the degree of substitution in R for derivatives of [R$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$. Interestingly, despite the formation of a strained alkene upon β-hydride elimination, the R = Cy derivative follows this decomposition pathway at an appearance potential comparable to that of R = $^1$Pr. Attempts to observe β-hydride elimination in bulk samples of [$^3$Bu$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$ [OTf] as a solid, in MeCN, or in DMF were unsuccessful. We conclude that the absence of solvent and counterion in the mass spectrometric experiment establish a unique environment that is essential for detecting this process.

![Fig. 7](https://example.com/figure7.png)

**Fig. 7** (a) The average normalized intensities [R(H)PPMe$_3$]$^+$ fragments formed through β-hydride elimination as a function of mass normalized collision energy. (b) Calculated (PBE1PBE/6-311++G(d,p)) reaction coordinate for β-hydride elimination from [R$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$ (R = Et, $^1$Pr, $^3$Bu) and view of the calculated β-hydride transition state for [Et$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Experiment (lowest appearance potential)</th>
<th>Calculated (lowest $\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}$)</th>
<th>Calculated (lowest $\Delta G_{\text{bb}}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Homolytic P-P fission</td>
<td>Homolytic P-P fission</td>
<td>Homolytic P-P fission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et</td>
<td>Homolytic P-C &amp; heterolytic P-P fission $^a$</td>
<td>$^1$Hydride elimination</td>
<td>Homolytic P-C fission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$Pr</td>
<td>Homolytic P-C &amp; heterolytic P-P fission $^a$</td>
<td>$^1$Hydride elimination</td>
<td>Homolytic P-C fission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$Bu</td>
<td>$^3$Hydride elimination</td>
<td>$^3$Hydride elimination</td>
<td>Homolytic P-C fission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>Heterolytic P-P fission</td>
<td>Heterolytic P-P fission</td>
<td>Heterolytic P-P fission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Heterolytic P-P fission</td>
<td>Heterolytic P-P fission</td>
<td>Heterolytic P-P fission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The traces of the two processes are almost identical in terms of intensity with increasing collision energy (see Fig. S18b and c). $^b$ Not computed.

**Table 2** Experimentally and computationally $\Delta G_{\text{rxn}}, \Delta G_{\text{bb}}$ preferred dissociation pathways for derivatives of [R$_2$PPMe$_3$]$^+$.  
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Conclusions

The decomposition pathways of phosphinophosphonium cations [R2PPMe3]+ (R = Me, Et, 3Pr, 3Bu, Cy, Ph, NPr2) by collision-induced dissociation are diverse in terms of the number and complexity of processes observed. In many cases, the anticipated heterolytic and homolytic P-P cleavage processes were preceded by unexpected processes such as P-C fission and β-hydride elimination. The energy required for P-P homolysis in derivatives of [R2PPMe3]+ shows the trend R = Me < Et ≈ 3Pr = Cy < 3Bu and no evidence for homolysis was observed in the case of R = Ph. For R = Me, homolysis is preferred over heterolysis in terms of appearance potentials, as previously predicted in a computational study.18 For all other substitution patterns, heterolysis was observed to occur at lower appearance potentials than homolysis. The energy required for P-P heterolysis shows the trend R = Ph < Cy < Et < 3Pr ≈ 3Bu < Me, and the variation in appearance potentials for heterolysis is discernibly greater than for homolysis. The simultaneous detection of heterolytic and homolytic P-P fission pathways in a single compound as reported in this work is rare. The relative chemical robustness of these cations is revealed by the disappearance order of parent ions with increasing collision energy to be R = Ph < 3Bu < 3Pr = Cy < Et < Me. The behaviour of [([N(3Pr2)2PPMe3]+) in ESI-MS and ESI-CID-MS/MS experiments is not yet understood.

Thermochemical data for P-P fission, P-C fission, and β-hydride elimination modelled at the PBE1PBE/6-311++G(d,p) level indicate that ΔGrxn values for P-P heterolysis are influenced by the substituents, whereas ΔGrxn requirements for homolysis do not vary significantly, as observed experimentally and as paralleled in ΔGbb energies. The processes found experimentally to be the most favourable show good correlation with predictions from ΔGbb considerations. A significant correlation is evident between calculated Gibbs energies of reaction and d(PP), in contrast to Gibbs energies of reaction and r(PP) for derivatives of cations [R2PPMe3]+, where R = Me, Et, 3Pr, 3Bu, and Ph. No correlation was found to exist between calculated values of r(PP) and d(PP) for this series.

The observation of β-hydride elimination from a phosphorus center represents unique behaviour for phosphinophosphonium cations and a rare mode of reactivity for main group coordination compounds in general. The calculated thermodynamic facility and experimentally observed preference for this process increase with degree of substitution in R for derivatives of [R2PPMe3]+. The existence of H-phosphinophosphonium cations has been recently evidenced by NMR spectroscopy27 and X-ray diffraction,28 suggesting that β-hydride elimination may be accessible in solution.

We have previously described P-P bonding in phosphinophosphonium cations using both Lewis and dative bonding models29 (Scheme 4), which localize the positive charge at the tetravalent and trivalent phosphorus centers, respectively. However, the unprecedented observation in this work of both P-P fragmentation pathways under conditions that are unbiased towards either implies that the exclusive use of either charge localizing model is an over-simplification that discounts the delocalization of the positive charge over the molecular framework. Consequently, the energy difference between ΔGrxn for homolytic and heterolytic cleavage of any bond within a monocation (e.g. Δ = 24 kJ mol⁻¹ for the P-P bond in [Me3P2PPMe3]+) is predicted to be substantially smaller than that in a corresponding neutral molecule (e.g. Δ = 739 kJ mol⁻¹ for the P-P bond in Me3P2PPMe3)29, particularly when the elements in the bond have comparable electronegativities. This realisation will inform synthetic strategies by inspiring new radical coupling routes to E-E monocations.
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