Sex matters for defence and trophic traits of threespine stickleback

T.E. Reimchen¹, D. Steeves¹ and C.A. Bergstrom²

¹Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada and ²Biology and Marine Biology, University of Alaska, Juneau, Alaska, USA

ABSTRACT

Background: The extent and importance of sexual dimorphism in non-sexually selected traits has received considerable attention in studies of birds and reptiles but similar studies in fishes are limited.

Aim: Quantify the extent and direction of sexual dimorphism in both defence and trophic traits of adult threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*).

Methods: We amassed data from research done on 103 ecologically pristine stream and lake localities on the Haida Gwaii archipelago, western Canada. Using these data, we tested many hypotheses of ecological correlation with variability in the amount of dimorphism. We used a nested ANOVA with principal components and individual size-corrected morphometric traits as the dependent variables, with sex (male, female), water clarity (stained, clear) and water body (stream, small lake, large lake) as fixed factors, and locality as a random factor.

Results: Adult body length (SL) is mainly female-biased but this is accentuated in large clear water lakes. Dorsal and anal fin ray numbers are male-biased, the dimorphism being greater in clear water than stained lakes. The number of bony lateral plates is male-biased in most habitats except for large clear water lakes, which are female-biased. Relative size of the pelvis (spine length, ventral plate length) is female-biased in most habitats. Relative head length, head depth, jaw length, and eye diameter are male-biased in most populations. Dual isotopic signatures of muscle tissues of adult male stickleback in 33 populations show a higher trophic level (δ^{15} N) but no consistent differences in δ^{13} C. In a single locality where multiple size classes were located, males show a steeper ontogenetic slope of δ^{15} N against SL than do females, resulting in their signatures being depleted relative to sub-adult females but enriched relative to adult females. Stickleback from two localities sampled over several decades exhibit substantial temporal variability in the extent of the isotopic sexual dimorphism.

Conclusions: Sexual dimorphism in stickleback is widespread in multiple morphometric traits not directly associated with sexual selection. Population differences in the extent and direction of dimorphism are related to niche separation across predator and trophic gradients within localities and reflect general diversifying selection within populations.

Keywords: adaptive variation, diversifying selection, *Gasterosteus*, Haida Gwaii, niche width, sexual dimorphism.

Correspondence: T.E. Reimchen, Department of Biology, University of Victoria, PO Box 3020, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3N5, Canada. email: reimchen@uvic.ca

Consult the copyright statement on the inside front cover for non-commercial copying policies.

INTRODUCTION

There is considerable evidence for habitat or niche segregation between the sexes among a diversity of taxa (Selander, 1966; for a summary, see Wearmouth and Sims, 2008). Perhaps best studied are divergent feeding structures between the sexes, generally inferred to result from intersexual competition (Van Valen, 1965; Shine, 1991; Grant and Grant, 2003; Nebel and Thompson, 2011). As an extension from trophic differentiation, the sexes might also be expected to differ in the broader selective landscape, perhaps through different exposures to predators and refuge, either of which could lead to further dimorphism between the sexes. For example, sexual size dimorphism is common in many ungulates in which small females and young seek habitats with lowered predation risk with a resultant change in multiple ecological parameters (Wearmouth and Sims, 2008). *Anolis* lizards are exceptionally sexually dimorphic, which is associated with habitat segregation between the sexes (Butler *et al.*, 2007). Many of the highly conspicuous sexually dimorphic butterflies, also originating with sexual selection, may be greatly accentuated by niche differentiation between the sexes (Allen *et al.*, 2011).

Quantifying habitat or niche partitioning by the sexes is often challenging and partitioning is inferred indirectly from morphological traits differentiating the sexes. Over the last two decades, stable isotope signatures of tissues have become progressively more widely used as a direct quantification of niche space, for these signatures provide a time-integrated proxy of trophic level and spatial axes (Fry, 2006). Application of these new techniques to the assessment of sexual niche space remains limited and largely focused on avian taxa (e.g. Hobson, 1995; Mancini *et al.*, 2013).

Fishes, the most diverse of the vertebrates, also exhibit extensive sexual dimorphism, particularly in nuptial displays and body size. While sexual selection is considered the dominant process structuring this dimorphism (Kodric-Brown, 1990), habitat segregation might also be important as it is in other vertebrates (Wearmouth and Sims, 2008; Mucientes et al., 2009). Threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus), well studied for their morphological, behavioural, and genetic differentiation among populations (reviewed in Bell and Foster, 1994), also show sexual dimorphism that is variable among populations. Bright nuptial colours developing on males during the reproductive seasons (reviewed in Wootton, 1976) are reduced or absent in habitats where red-shifted aquatic spectra mask the nuptial signal (Reimchen, 1989; Boughman, 2001). In addition to these seasonally restricted nuptial displays, some skeletal traits also show evidence for sexual dimorphism that persist throughout the life history. For example, the numbers of bony lateral plates are slightly higher in males than in females but this varies geographically (Moodie and Reimchen, 1976; Reimchen et al., 1985; McPhail, 1992; Fernandez et al., 2000). Relative length of pelvic spines can be female-biased (Reimchen, 1980; Reimchen et al., 1985; Kitano et al., 2007; Aguirre et al., 2008) or male-biased (Reimchen and Nosil, 2005). Head size and gape are occasionally female-biased (Olafsdottir et al., 2007) but much more commonly male-biased (Aneer, 1973; McPhail, 1992; Caldecutt and Adams, 1998; Kristjánsson et al., 2002; Reimchen and Nosil, 2005; Kitano et al., 2007; Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008; Aguirre and Akinpelu, 2010; Cooper et al., 2011). Length of gill rakers is malebiased in Vancouver Island populations while number of gill rakers varies from male-biased to female-biased (Bolnick and Lau, 2008). The adaptive significance of this sexual dimorphism is at least partially associated with niche differentiation between the sexes (Reimchen, 1980; Reimchen and Nosil, 2002; Bolnick and Lau, 2008; Cooper et al., 2011), some of which is present in ancestral anadromous populations (Aguirre et al., 2008; Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008).

The high morphological diversity in threespine stickleback identified in 25 lakes and streams during initial sampling of Haida Gwaii, western Canada (Moodie and Reimchen, 1976)

facilitated an archipelago-wide survey that yielded about 100 insular populations. This allowed assessment of defence morphology (Reimchen, 1994; Reimchen et al., 2013), fluctuating asymmetry (Bergstrom and Reimchen, 2003), genomics of lake-stream species pairs (Deagle et al., 2012), and phylogeography of the populations throughout the archipelago (Deagle et al., 2013). Analyses of geometric body shape between the sexes in a subset of these populations (Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008) showed male biases in head size, gape, anal fin length, and posterior positioning of the dorsal spines and pelvic girdle, but also geographical variability with the greatest dimorphism in marine and large lake populations and minimal dimorphism in shallow stained ponds. Here, we investigate the extent of sexual dimorphism in freshwater populations from throughout the archipelago using multiple morphological structures associated with defence (size of pelvic girdle and pelvic spines, number and position of bony lateral plates), trophic niche (head size, jaw length, snout length, eye diameter, number of gill rakers, stable isotopes), and swimming (adult body size, number of dorsal and anal fin rays). These populations provide a useful focus for such a study of sexual dimorphism, as they occupy over a small geographical area an exceptional diversity of pristine habitats, including large oligotrophic clear mountain lakes through to small dystrophic bog ponds, and where the high morphological diversity of the stickleback can be functionally related to ecological conditions, mainly lake volume and aquatic spectra, which predict associated differences in predation landscape (Reimchen, 1994; Reimchen et al., 2013). Based on genome-wide single nucleotide markers, these populations represent multiple independent colonization events from marine ancestry (Deagle et al., 2013). We consider the following questions and predictions:

- 1. Which traits are sexually dimorphic? Based on previous studies of stickleback, we predict dimorphisms in adult body size (female bias), relative head size (male bias), number of lateral plates (male bias), relative size of the pelvic spines (female bias), and number of dorsal and anal fin rays (male bias).
- 2. Is there geographical variability in the dimorphism, and if so, is it associated with habitat? We predict that the extent of sexual dimorphism will be greater in localities where stickleback is the only fish species present or in large lakes where there is greater spatial separation of littoral and limnetic habitats (Nosil and Reimchen, 2005; Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008).
- Using a subset of the populations, are there stable isotope differences in the muscle tissue between the sexes, and if so, are these correlated with trophic morphology? Based on the larger gape and littoral habitat of adult males, we would predict they would have higher δ¹⁵N signatures than females.

METHODS

Study area

Samples of stickleback used in this study were collected by one of the authors (T.E.R.) during multiple geographical surveys of the Haida Gwaii archipelago between 1976 and 2010. Collecting methods (0.5 cm mesh minnow traps), general habitat description (stream, pond, lake), physical attributes (lake volume, water clarity, calcium levels), and predation regime (fish, birds, macroinvertebrates) are summarized elsewhere (Reimchen, 1994; Reimchen *et al.*, 2013). Samples were preserved in 10% formalin and later transferred to 95% alcohol.

Morphological traits

Dimorphism in defence structures was computed from a previously assembled morphometric database (see summary for males in Reimchen *et al.*, 2013). Sample size averaged 35 individuals, usually with similar proportions of males and females, and we excluded all samples where N < 7 for either sex. For adult standard length (SL), number and position of lateral plates and pelvic girdle components, all individuals were measured for 98 populations. For meristic traits, including gill raker number as well as dorsal fin rays (DRAY) and anal fin rays (ARAY), we had data for 70 populations and scored between 7 and 10 individuals for each sex (dependent on availability). Several populations contained fish with small keel plates on the caudal peduncle but we did not include these in our analyses of total number of lateral plates or plate asymmetry.

Among low-plated fish, which comprised the majority of populations, there is variability in the body positions that the plates occupy independent of the total number of plates. For example, stickleback with a total of three lateral plates typically show these at positions 5, 6, and 7 beneath the first and second dorsal spines but occasionally these three plates are shifted anteriorly to positions 4, 5, and 6 (see Reimchen, 1983) (Fig. 1). As plate positions influence stability of the spines, we tested whether there were any differences between the sexes in the two most common sequences for each plate count. This was initially done on the pooled data (all populations) and then on a single population where large samples were available.

We compared the sexes for the extent of bilateral asymmetry (right side minus left side) in number of lateral plates (LPNASY), in position of lateral plates (LPPASY), and in number of forks on the ascending process of the pelvis (APFASY). For these traits, we used a minimum sample size of 10 for each sex. Methods are described in Bergstrom and Reimchen (2000).



Fig. 1. Morphometric traits used to assess sexual dimorphism in freshwater populations of threespine stickleback from Haida Gwaii, British Columbia. Data for metric traits are based on residuals (z) from either standard length (SL) or head length (HL).

On 2341 digital images of these fish from 75 populations photographed during a previous study on body shape (Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008), we measured jaw length, eye diameter, head length (distance from tip of jaw to posterior edge of operculum), and profile of head (depth of the head at the posterior edge of the eye and depth of the head at the posterior edge of the operculum) (see Fig. 1).

Stable isotope signatures

For inter-population analyses of stable isotopes, we used adult stickleback from 33 localities (22 lakes, 11 streams) chosen to encompass a geographically broad region of the archipelago and the full range of morphological diversity in adult body size (30–100 mm SL) and defence apparatus (unarmoured to full plate expression and robust spines). We processed 20 individuals from each locality (10 for each sex when available) for a total of 622 individuals. We were not able to use the same individuals as those from the morphometric analyses.

To test for temporal repeatability of isotopic signatures between the sexes, we examined a longer time series from two localities, Drizzle Lake, a 114 ha deep dystrophic lake with giant black stickleback and Boulton Lake, a 14 ha shallow lake with unarmoured stickleback. Samples processed for isotopic analyses were as follows: Drizzle Lake (October 1981, N = 114; September 1982, N = 206; May 1983, N = 11; June 2011, N = 154; June 2012, N = 200) and Boulton Lake (May 1978, N = 41; October 1978, N = 41; March 1981, N = 43; August 1981, N = 35; September 1983, N = 34; June 2010, N = 24; June 2012, N = 129; June 2013, N = 153).

From each fish, a strip of trunk musculature was extracted, dried (8–12 days at 60°C), powdered and packaged (1.0 mg) for isotope ratio mass spectrometry analysis at the Stable Isotope Facility at the University of California at Davis. Standard notations of delta values (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N) relative to Pee Dee Belemnite for carbon and atmospheric nitrogen are used. As the tissues had been in alcohol for two decades, we did not perform any lipid extraction.

Statistical analyses

We computed both univariate and multivariate indices of morphology to assess the extent of sexual dimorphism. For adult SL, we used the means of the top ten for each sex. For head length, pelvic spine length, ventral plate length, ventral plate width, and ascending process width, we extracted residuals from the bivariate associations with SL separately for each population. For jaw length and eye diameter, we also extracted residuals relative to head length separately for each population. A principal component analysis (PCA) for defence traits (PC1def) was performed using SL, number of left lateral plates (LLP), and residuals (against SL or HL) of pelvic spine length (zPSLSL), ventral plate length (zVPLSL), ventral plate width (zVPWSL), ascending process width (zAPWSL), and crosssectional diameter (zXSECTSL). A PCA for trophic traits (PC1troph) was performed using SL, and residuals of head length (zHDSL), jaw length (zJAWHD), eye diameter (zEYEHD), head depth behind the eye (zHD1HD), and head depth at the posterior edge of the operculum (zHD2HD). The number of populations was lower for defence PC than for trophic PC (63 vs. 80), as some populations lacked parts of the pelvic girdle such that full measurements could not be obtained. We computed nested ANOVAs, using a morphometric trait as the dependent variable, sex (male, female), clarity (stained, clear) and water body (stream, small lake, large lake) as fixed factors, and locality as a random factor. For all traits, we calculated a Mann-Whitney *U*-test on independent samples for males and females in each population. Mann-Whitney tests are conservative when there are ties in the data, making any significant results more robust. We also performed a discriminant analysis separately for each population using sex as the grouping variable and five univariate traits exhibiting sexual dimorphism as predictor variables to assess the extent of correct assignment of the sexes in the classification matrix. To evaluate the potential influence of other fish species on the sexual dimorphism, we classified localities in three competition categories (0 = no other fish species; 1 = one other species; and 2 = two or more other species), and for each trait performed a nested ANOVA with sex, competition (0, 1, 2), and locality as random factors. We also calculated a correlation coefficient of competition categories against all the individual traits. For both of these tests, we restricted our comparisons to small stained lakes, as these were the only localities that had each of the competition categories.

Graphical results were displayed using two methods. We categorized populations into six habitat categories (stained vs. clear spectra for creeks, small lakes, and large lakes) and plotted for each trait the aggregated means for each sex based on the means for each population in the habitat category. We also plotted the dimorphism for each trait of the residuals (male–female) for all populations ranked from most female-biased to most male-biased. For SL, we used percentage differences between the sexes for the 10 largest males and 10 largest females in each sample.

For isotopic data, residuals of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N against SL were calculated for each population and the sexes compared using paired *t*-tests and Mann-Whitney (MW). The dual isotope dimorphism for each population was calculated by measuring the Euclidean distance between males and females, where:

Euclidean distance = sqrt [$(\delta^{13}C \text{ male} - \delta^{13}C \text{ female})^2 + (\delta^{15}N \text{ male} - \delta^{15}N \text{ female})^2$].

RESULTS

Adult standard length (top 10)

In the majority of populations, adult SL was greater in females than in males (Fig. 2, inset), and of the 57 populations with statistically significant paired comparisons (MW: P < 0.05), 51 were female-biased and 6 male-biased. Nested ANOVA for SL and major habitat variables showed a highly significant effect for sex ($F_{1,1719} = 330.1$, P < 0.001), higher dimorphism in waters of higher clarity (sex*clarity: $F_{1,1719} = 18.1$, P < 0.001), and higher dimorphism in large lakes compared with small lakes and streams (sex*water body: $F_{1,1717} = 4.5$, P < 0.02) (Fig. 2). Differing from the overall trend, 13 populations had a male bias, six of which were statistically significant (P < 0.05) and these were all from streams and small lakes. These also exhibited a smaller adult body size (SL: $\bar{x} = 56.5$ mm, N = 13) relative to populations with the most female-biased dimorphism (SL: $\bar{x} = 70.0$ mm, N = 13) ($t_{24} = 3.9$, P < 0.01).



Fig. 2. Mean standard length of the 10 largest adult stickleback of each sex averaged for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). Inset shows mean SL differences (M - F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.

Number of fin rays

For both dorsal and anal rays, there was a marginal excess of populations with a male bias (Fig. 3A,B, insets), of which 18 populations showed significant (MW: P < 0.05) differences between the sexes, four with male-biased dorsal rays, 13 with male-biased anal rays, and a single population with female-biased anal rays. The extent of the male bias in fin rays occurred in the majority of habitat types apart from reduced differences in dorsal rays for stained streams (Fig. 3A,B). Nested ANOVA, which showed a strong effect for sex (dorsal rays: $F_{1,1329} = 7.2$, P < 0.01; anal rays: $F_{1,1329} = 43.3$, P < 0.01), indicated no consistent habitat correlates to population differences in the dimorphism (sex*clarity, sex*water body: both P > 0.7).

Principal component for defence

PC1def explained 30% of the variance in defence traits, with the highest positive loadings for residuals of ascending process width of the pelvis against SL (0.91) and ventral plate length of the pelvis against SL (0.88). Within-population comparisons (Fig. 4, inset) showed significant (MW: P < 0.05) female bias in 19 populations and male bias in eight populations. These population differences in sexual dimorphism are associated with ecological conditions. In stained waters PC1def did not differ between the sexes, whereas in clear waters PC1def was substantially female-biased in streams, small lakes, and large lakes (Fig. 4). Nested ANOVA showed a highly significant effect for sex ($F_{1,5168} = 25.3$, P < 0.001), for sex*clarity ($F_{1,5168} = 26.4$, P < 0.001), and a marginal effect for sex*clarity*water body ($F_{2,5168} = 4.3$, P < 0.02).

Reimchen et al.



Fig. 3. Mean number of fin rays (A, dorsal rays; B, anal rays) in male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). Insets show mean ray differences (M - F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.



Fig. 4. First principal component (PC1) of defence structures in male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). Highest loading for width of ascending process and length of ventral plate. Inset shows PC1 differences (M - F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.

Number of bony lateral plates

Of the 95 populations monomorphic for the low-plated morph, the majority were malebiased (Fig. 5, inset), and of the 16 populations with significant differences (MW: P < 0.05) between males and females, all were male-biased. Among the remaining populations that were polymorphic for plate morph, two localities showed a female excess (MW: P < 0.01) of the complete morph. Grouping of major habitats showed accentuated male bias in stained habitats and reduced differences in clear water habitats (sex: $F_{1,6159} = 38.5$, P < 0.001; sex*clarity: $F_{1,6156} = 13.9$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 5). There were no consistent differences in the dimorphism for water body (streams, small lakes, and large lakes: P > 0.5).

Position of bony lateral plates

There was sexual dimorphism in the frequencies of the lateral plate positions among fish with the same number of plates (Table 1). In analyses of the full data set (all individuals), among fish with a total of 2, 3, 5 or 6 plates, females exhibited a higher frequency of the more anterior sequences than did males. Fish with either 4 or 7 plates had very few individuals with a second sequence and differences between the sexes were not detected for these phenotypes. Among fish with a total of 8 lateral plates, the pattern was reversed, as the anterior sequence was significantly more common in males than in females.

However, these trends in the sexual dimorphism of sequence positions could be an artifact of combining all the population samples. Consequently, we also tested whether these trends were present in the Drizzle Lake population where total plate number ranged from 2 to 7 and where large samples were available. These data (Table 1) showed a similar trend for the anterior sequences being more prevalent in females than in males for fish having a total of 3 or 5 plates.



Fig. 5. Mean number of lateral plates in male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). Inset shows lateral plate differences (M - F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.

Reimchen et al.

Total no		All populations			Drizzle Lake		
of plates	Plate positions	Males	Females	χ ^{2#}	Males	Females	χ^2
1	6	15	11	1.2			
	7	12	3				
2	56	17	28	6.5**	20	25	0.6
	67	32	18		34	35	
3	456	11	36	16.6***	38	64	7.0**
	567	141	112		251	235	
4	4567	241	296	0.5	1118	1166	0.4
	5678	13	12		106	99	
5	34567	389	513	3.6*	873	1063	17.5***
	45678	68	63		647	580	
6	234567	280	344	3.65*	133	128	1
	345678	300	296		688	579	
7	1234567	4	10	0.1	14	12	0.9
	2345678	461	476		116	95	
8	12345678	73	62	11.6***			
	23456789	20	49				

Table 1. Anterior lateral plate positions between the sexes for the complete data set (all populations pooled) and for Drizzle Lake alone

Note: Shown are the position phenotypes for the eight most common lateral plate counts. For plate positions, see Fig. 1. Columns for males and females show sample sizes. [#]Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test when cells < 5. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Residuals of pelvic spine length on SL

For relative pelvic spine lengths, the number of populations with female bias exceeded those with male bias (Fig. 6A, inset) and of those with statistically significant paired comparisons (MW: P < 0.05), 10 were female-biased and five male-biased. The female-biased dimorphism was much greater in stained habitats and reduced or absent in clear water habitats (Fig. 6A). Nested ANOVA with locality as a random factor showed a significant effect for sex ($F_{1,5326} = 4.9$, P < 0.03) and for sex*clarity ($F_{2,5326} = 4.5$, P < 0.05); there was no effect for sex*water body (F = 0.7, P > 0.4).

Residuals of ventral plate length on SL

Relative lengths of the ventral plate of the pelvis were female biased in most populations (91%) (Fig. 6B, inset) and of 49 populations with significant differences (MW: P < 0.05), 46 were female-biased and three male-biased. Grouping for habitat showed accentuated dimorphism in clear water habitats and in creeks (sex: $F_{1,5252} = 299.0$, P < 0.001; sex*clarity: $F_{1,5252} = 7.8$, P < 0.01; sex*water body: $F_{2,5252} = 11.3$, P < 0.001; Fig. 6B).

Bilateral asymmetry of defence apparatus (right side - left side)

We compared the frequencies of fish with plate position asymmetries (LPPASY) between the sexes in populations that contained low or partial morphs. Among 57 populations with these data, 32 had a greater frequency of asymmetric females and 20 had a greater

468



Fig. 6. Mean pelvic girdle size for male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). (A) Residuals of pelvic spine length against SL, (B) residuals of ventral plate length against SL (see Fig. 1). Insets show mean residual pelvic girdle differences (M - F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.

frequency of asymmetric males but only one was statistically significant, and that with a female bias (MW: P < 0.05). When mean plate position asymmetry (LPPASYM) was compared between the sexes instead of frequency, results were similar. Stream populations exhibited significantly greater male left-side bias relative to females than in lake populations (F = 11.4, d.f. = 1, P < 0.001).

We also compared the frequencies of ascending process fork number asymmetries (APFASYF) between the sexes. Of the 48 populations that exhibited some difference between the sexes, 31 had more asymmetric males than females (a significant difference in one lake, MW: P < 0.05), and 17 had more asymmetric females than males ($\chi^2 = 4.08$, P < 0.05). Ascending process fork number is generally right-side-biased across the archipelago (Bergstrom and Reimchen, 2002). Females were slightly more right-side-biased than males overall among populations but the difference was non-significant (paired $t_{63} = 1.614$, P = 0.112).

Principal component for trophic traits

PC1troph accounted for 30% of the variance in head shape with the highest loadings for zHD1HL (0.83) and zHD2HL (0.68). Among the 69 populations, most were male-biased (Fig. 7, inset), of which the dimorphism was marginally accentuated in stained habitats (Fig. 7) (nested ANOVA: sex: $F_{1,1586} = 95.3$, P < 0.001; sex*clarity: $F_{1,1586} = 7.1$, P < 0.01).

Residuals of jaw length on SL and on head length

The majority of populations show a male-biased jaw length for both SL and head length (HL) comparisons (Fig. 8A,B insets). Within-population comparisons yielded significance



Fig. 7. First principal component (PC1) of head structures in male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). Highest loading on PC1 is residuals of head depths against head length. Inset shows mean residual PC1 differences (M - F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.



Fig. 8. Mean residual jaw lengths for male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). (A) Residuals of jaw length against SL, (B) residuals of jaw length against head length. Inset shows mean residual jaw differences (M – F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.

(MW: P < 0.05) for 61 and 35 populations respectively, all with a male bias. For both SL and HL comparisons, the extent of bias was similar among the major habitats (sex: $F_{1,1723} = 670.2$, P < 0.001; sex*clarity: $F_{1,1723} = 2.2$, P = 0.14; sex*water body: $F_{2,1723} = 2.5$, P = 0.08) (Fig. 8A,B).

Residuals of eye diameter on SL and on HL

Eye diameter relative to SL was male-biased in most populations (Fig. 9A, inset), among which 32 within-population comparisons were significant (MW: P < 0.05), all with a male bias, the difference being greater in stained than in clear water habitats (nested ANOVA: sex: $F_{1,1723} = 240.1$, P < 0.001; sex*clarity: F = 5.7, P < 0.02; sex*water body: F = 2.5, P = 0.08) (Fig. 9A). Eye diameter relative to HL showed little consistent dimorphism (Fig. 9B, inset), although of the significant intra-population comparisons (MW: P < 0.05), five had a male bias and a single population a female bias. The male bias was marginally higher in stained habitats (nested ANOVA: sex: $F_{1,1723} = 8.8$, P < 0.01; sex*clarity: F = 4.6, P < 0.04; sex*water body: F = 1.9, P = 0.16).

Number of gill rakers

Gill rakers were male-biased in 39 of 66 populations ($t_{62} = 2.7$, P = 0.007) (no figure provided) but only three of these showed significant differences (MW: P < 0.05) between the sexes (all male bias). The extent and direction of bias did not differ statistically among stream and lake habitats (nested ANOVA: all P > 0.05).



Habitat water clarity

Fig. 9. Mean residual eye diameter for male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). (A) Residuals of eye diameter against SL, (B) residuals of eye diameter against head length. Insets show mean residual eye differences (M - F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.

Reimchen et al.

The classification matrix extracted from a discriminant analysis using the five most informative dimorphic traits (zJAWHD, zEYESL, zHDSL, zVPLATLSL, LLP) showed that among 68 populations, an average of 88% (range 44–100%) and 90% (range 50–100%) for male and female respectively were correctly classified according to gender. There was 100% correct classification in 31 (46%) and 36 (53%) populations for males and females respectively.

Stable isotopes

 δ^{15} N ranged from 6‰ to 16‰ among the 30 populations. Twenty of the populations were isotopically enriched in males (higher trophic level), producing an overall male bias (paired $t_{28} = 3.46$, P < 0.003) (Fig. 10A, inset). The six populations with significant within-locality differences between the sexes (MW: P < 0.05) were all male-biased. The extent of male bias was not statistically associated with habitat type (Fig. 10A).

 δ^{13} C ranged from -47‰ to -15‰ among the 30 populations. There was a similar distribution of populations with male versus female enrichment (N = 17 and 13 respectively), of which four within-lake comparisons were significant (MW: P < 0.05), two with female and two with male enrichment (Fig. 10B, inset). Overall, there was a weak but significant male enrichment (paired $t_{28} = 2.6$, P < 0.02) and a marginal effect of habitat (Fig. 10B), as six of the seven large lakes in the survey had higher enrichment (0.2-1.74) in males (Fisher's exact test: P = 0.08).

We also examined the dual isotope Euclidean distance between the sexes as a proxy for trophic differences. This ranged from 0.08‰, comprising minimal dimorphism, through to a maximum of 1.28‰. There were no differences between streams, small lakes, and



Fig. 10. Mean residual isotopic signatures (A, δ^{15} N; B, δ^{13} C) against SL for male and female stickleback for the six major habitats: creeks (CK), small lakes (SL), and large lakes (LL) for two spectral categories (stained, clear). Insets show isotopic differences (M – F) for all localities. Above mid-horizontal line: male bias; below horizontal: female bias.

472

large lakes ($F_{2,20} = 0.4$, P = 0.67) but a statistically significant greater dimorphism in clear versus stained lakes ($F_{1,16} = 6.0$, P < 0.03). The five large clear water lakes in this data set each had high Euclidean distances relative to stained lakes (no figure provided).

Temporal trends in isotopic signatures for the sexes were examined from two ecologically differentiated localities where multiple year sampling had been undertaken. At Drizzle Lake, adult males were enriched in four of the five years while among sub-adults, females were enriched in three of five years (Fig. 11). ANCOVA using δ^{15} N as the dependent variable, sex and year as fixed factors, and SL as a covariate produced a significant three-way interaction (sex*year*SL: $F_{8,968} = 13.9$, P < 0.001). Consequently, we separated our data by year and undertook additional ANCOVA to test for possible dimorphism in the regression slopes of δ^{15} N against SL. Slopes were statistically homogeneous in 1983 (sex*SL: $F_{1,107} = 0.1$, P = 0.74) and 2011 (sex*SL: $F_{1,353} = 2.0$, P = 0.16) but were significantly male-biased in 1981 (sex*SL: $F_{1,110} = 3.8$, P = 0.05), 1982 (sex*SL: $F_{1,202} = 8.1$, P < 0.01), and 2012 (sex*SL: $F_{1,196} = 16.9$, P < 0.001). The higher slope in males resulted in δ^{15} N depletion among sub-adults but enrichment among adults relative to females. Comparable ANCOVA for δ^{13} C showed no difference for the main effect (sex: $F_{1,968} = 0.04$, P = 0.84) and no significant two-way (all P > 0.2) or three-way interactions (P = 0.16).

At Boulton Lake, where collections of adults had been made over a span of 35 years, for δ^{15} N there was a significant effect of sex ($F_{1,484} = 9.2$, P < 0.01), with males having higher δ^{15} N signatures relative to females, although the individual sample effects were significant only in the summers of 1978, 1981, and 2012 (Fig. 12A). For δ^{13} C, there was no overall effect of sex (P > 0.4) but the within-sample signatures were significantly male-biased in summer and autumn of 1978 and summer of 2012 and female-biased in spring 1981 and summer 2010 (sex*YrMo: $F_{7,485} = 4.3$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 12B).



Fig. 11. Mean residual isotopic signatures for male and female Drizzle Lake stickleback sampled across years. (A) Adult size classes: 70–90 mm SL, (B) sub-adult size classes: 50–60 mm SL.

Reimchen et al.



Fig. 12. Mean residual isotopic signatures (A, δ^{15} N; B, δ^{13} C) against SL for male and female Boulton Lake stickleback sampled across years.

Competition

Examination of potential interspecific competitive effects on sexual dimorphism for each trait showed no significant effects for any of the morphometric or isotopic traits (ANOVA: all P > 0.2). Correlations between the extent of dimorphism for each of 25 traits and the number of competitor species yielded one statistically significant result (r = 0.41, d.f. = 31, P < 0.03) for a single trait (zPSLSL) and the direction was positive rather than negative.

DISCUSSION

Unlike most epigamic traits that develop near the onset of reproduction, we focused our study on a broad diversity of defence and trophic traits of threespine stickleback that develop in early ontogeny to assess whether there was sexual dimorphism. All morphometric and isotopic traits, apart from several measures of pelvic asymmetry, exhibited sexual dimorphism but the extent and, occasionally, directionality was variable among populations. Because these populations represent at least 50 independent colonizations from the marine ancestral stickleback (Deagle *et al.*, 2012) and comprise an exceptional range of morphological diversity (Reimchen *et al.*, 2013), we feel these data will probably be representative of stickleback elsewhere in their circumboreal distribution.

Adult body length was female-biased in the majority of populations, consistent with that observed in other regions of the circumboreal distribution of stickleback (Kitano *et al.*, 2007; Herczeg *et al.*, 2010). This trend is evident in many fishes and can result from higher growth rates of females relative to males and the associated benefits of increased fecundity (Baker, 1994; Moyle and Cech, 2003). The dimorphism could also emerge from reduced growth rate in males due to their nest construction, territoriality, and higher associated metabolic costs (Henderson *et al.*, 2003; Rennie *et al.*, 2008). Another process that will accentuate body size dimorphism is life span. Female stickleback tend to show greater longevity than males, particularly where adult body size in the population is exceptionally large (DeFraipont *et al.*, 1992; Herczeg *et al.*, 2010;

Gambling and Reimchen, 2012; DeFaveri *et al.*, 2014). Extending from analyses of reproductive allocation in fishes, Roff (1983) predicted that species with territoriality and male-dominated parental care would have a male-biased body size dimorphism as a consequence of the benefits to large size in agonistic interactions. The occasional instances of male-biased body size in some stained streams and ponds in our study would be consistent with this prediction, yet the more common pattern of female-biased dimorphism is inconsistent.

Dorsal and anal fin ray numbers were male-biased in the majority of populations, a trend previously reported for limnetic and benthic species pairs of stickleback (McPhail, 1992) and for fin lengths based on body shape landmarks in the Haida Gwaii populations (Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008). The function of this dimorphism is not known but may in part relate to the divergent reproductive behaviours of the sexes. Dorsal and anal fins in stickleback are positioned between the centre of body mass of the fish and the caudal fin (see Fig. 1) and this region influences stability and thrust (Aleev, 1977; Drucker and Lauder, 2001). Consequently, the larger fins in males could be particularly important during nesting activities such as hovering, fanning, gluing, vigilance, and burst acceleration. There were no consistent habitat correlates to population differences in the extent of male-biased fin rays.

Defences against predators tend to be distinctive for each species and reflect different life histories and ecological conditions (Endler, 1991). The extent of sexual dimorphism in defences has received limited attention in the literature, possibly because the focus on sexual selection has emphasized the trade-off between the benefit of conspicuous nuptial displays and increased predation risk or other costs (Kodric-Brown, 1990; Forsman, 1991; Reznick, 1996). Highly sexually dimorphic pigmentation patterns of numerous lepidopterans are generally due to sexual selection, yet some or possibly many of the dimorphisms in this group appear to be associated with ecological adaptations to the different niche space of the two sexes (Allen et al., 2011). The horns of many ungulates are often restricted to males, the consequence of sexual selection, yet when present on both sexes, the horns are used for defence against large carnivores (Packer, 1983; Stankowich, 2011). Dorsal and pelvic spines of stickleback are important for display by males (Symons, 1966; Wilz, 1970; Huntingford, 1976) and for predator defence (Hoogland et al., 1957; Moodie, 1972b), and one might expect the relative length of spines to be similar or larger in males. While there are populations without obvious dimorphism in the spines (McPhail, 1992), a more general trend is for relatively larger spines in females (Reimchen et al., 1985; Kristjánsson et al., 2002; Kitano et al., 2007; Aguirre et al., 2008). We found that pelvic spines and supporting ventral pelvic plate were marginally female-biased in most localities and this effect was accentuated in stained habitats and reduced in clear water habitats. The most divergent expression of this dimorphism occurs in one of the small bog lakes where most males exhibited a highly reduced pelvis and loss of spines relative to females (Reimchen, 1980). The bony lateral plates are an integral component to the defence apparatus of threespine stickleback, as they buttress the dorsal and pelvic spines and protect the trunk from epidermal injury (Reimchen, 1983, 2000). Early studies of stickleback at 25 lakes and streams on Haida Gwaii (Moodie, 1972a; Moodie and Reimchen, 1976; Reimchen et al., 1985) showed slightly higher lateral plate counts in males than in females in most populations. Our current data, from some 100 populations, confirm the earlier trends but also show that the male-biased plate expression is accentuated in stained habitats and is reduced or absent in large clear water lakes. We suspect these population differences in sexual dimorphism and occasional reversal in the spines and the lateral plates are associated with differences in the amount of spatial overlap of the sexes in their use of littoral, limnetic, and benthic habitats with the resulting changes in the predation regime and trophic options (Reimchen et al., 2013).

We observed an unusual difference between the sexes in the positional sequences of lateral plates that are involved in the buttressing of the dorsal and pelvic spines. Although both sexes have the same dominant sequence, females are more likely than males to have secondary sequences that are shifted anteriorly by a single position. This effect was observed for the overall data set as well as within a population where large samples were available. We do not know the significance of this anterior shift in females, although it might increase buttressing of the dorsal spines, as females have a slight anterior positioning of the spines relative to males (Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008). Alternatively, the shift might influence swimming through a slight anterior movement in the centre of mass or an increase in the mid-trunk flexibility (Bergstrom, 2002).

We compared levels of asymmetry between the sexes. Two different predictions have been made regarding sexual dimorphism in asymmetry of non-sexually selected traits. First, the larger sex may have elevated asymmetry due either to reduced developmental stability resulting from directional selection for body size or nutritional stress during periods of rapid growth (Clutton-Brock et al., 1985; Sheldon et al., 1998). While this may be the case (Karvonen et al., 2003; Pokhrel et al., 2013), it is not always detected (Bortolotti and Gabrielson, 1995) and in some cases the smaller sex has elevated asymmetry (Söderman et al., 2007). Second, males may have elevated asymmetry due to physiological stress of antagonistic steroid hormones (Folstad and Karter, 1992; Sheldon et al., 1998). We found no strong overall sexual dimorphism in morphological asymmetries of non-sexually selected traits, although males tended to have asymmetric ascending processes more often than females. While females are larger, and may suffer greater developmental instability as a result, males do all the parental care, thus possibly experiencing more nutritional and temperature stress, and also potentially more steroidal hormonal stress. As a result, both sexes could be expressing comparable levels of asymmetry, albeit for different reasons. In addition, any existing dimorphism in asymmetry may have been too subtle to detect with our modest sample sizes. In studies from one of the Haida Gwaii populations where much larger samples were available, there are spatial and temporal differences in the extent of sexual dimorphism (Reimchen and Nosil, 2001a, 2001b, 2001c, 2004; Reimchen et al., 2008).

Male stickleback have larger heads than females, a dimorphism that is geographically widespread (Aneer, 1973; McPhail, 1992; Reimchen and Nosil, 2005; Kitano et al., 2007; Aguirre and Akinpelu, 2010; Kitano et al., 2012; De Kermoysan, 2013; this study), including those in marine habitats (Aguirre et al., 2008; Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008; McGee and Wainwright, 2013). There could be multiple factors structuring this dimorphism. Larger heads provide greater buccal volume and suction velocity (Caldecutt and Adams, 1998), which, in combination with the larger jaws, will facilitate the capture of macrobenthos (Manzer, 1976; Wootton, 1976; Reimchen, 1980; Gill and Hart, 1994) or handling of vegetation for nest construction (reviewed in Wootton, 1976). Female-biased head size in the marine clingfish (Diademichthys lineatus) is associated with divergence in diet between the sexes (Magurran and Garcia, 2000). Larger heads also involve larger eyes, which, in most vertebrates, are associated with a greater photon-absorbing area of the retina and increased capacity for crepuscular or nocturnal activity (Schmitz and Motani, 2010). Although the relative activity of the sexes in stickleback during dusk and darkness has yet to be quantified, this might be particularly important for adult male stickleback during territorial defence, nest fanning, and tending throughout the day/night cycle. That the relative eye diameter was most dimorphic in large dystrophic stained lakes, all of which have low light levels (Reimchen, 1989), is consistent with some role of spectral regime in selection on eye dimorphism. Larger heads could also be a consequence of selection for increased brain size, possibly functionally associated with the behavioural complexity of the male's reproductive life history relative to females (Kotrschal *et al.*, 2012).

The number and length of gill rakers in fish can be useful predictors of particle sizes in the diet (Helfman et al., 1997). Analyses of species pairs of stickleback show a consistent trend for fewer gill rakers in the benthic forms, including those from parapatric lake-stream habitats (Moodie, 1972a; Reimchen et al., 1985; Kaeuffer et al., 2012) and limnetic-benthic habitats (Lavin and McPhail, 1986; McPhail, 1994). Given the sexual dimorphism in head size, which includes differentiation in the same traits seen in benthic-limnetic forms, one would also predict fewer gill rakers in males than females. Yet, geographical data on raker number between the sexes either show no dimorphism (Gross and Anderson, 1984; McPhail, 1992; Kaeuffer et al., 2012; Millet et al., 2013), a marginal male-biased dimorphism in central British Columbia (Reimchen and Nosil, 2005), opposite to what was predicted, or a combination of both male-biased and female-biased populations on Vancouver Island (Bolnick and Lau, 2008). Our large survey of gill raker number for the sexes across ecologically diverse stream and lake habitats on the Haida Gwaii archipelago shows no obvious dimorphism apart from occasional populations that exhibit a significantly higher raker count in males, similar to the Banks-Estevan archipelago in central British Columbia (Reimchen and Nosil, 2005). It is possible that the general low incidence of sexually dimorphic raker counts reflects the major habitat overlap of the sexes early in ontogeny where, by nature of their smaller gape, the diet of the sexes is more constrained. This is partially supported by our isotopic data (see below).

Assessment of nitrogen and carbon stable isotope signatures of tissues provides insight to animal diet (Fry, 2006). Enrichment of δ^{15} N reflects a higher trophic position, while enriched δ^{13} C in aquatic systems is associated with greater use of littoral rather than limit habitats (Post, 2002; McIntyre et al., 2006). Even within each of these habitats, isotopic signatures differ among zooplankton taxa (Lepak et al., 2006) and among macrobenthos (Grey et al., 2004). Unlike analyses of stomach contents, isotopic data on tissues is a time-integrated signature, which, for muscle tissues in fish, can be 4–6 months (Bearhop et al., 2004). This can reveal small and persistent dietary differences between individuals not identifiable with short-term stomach analyses (for a summary, see Wearmouth and Sims, 2008), yet it can equally mask short-term differences in diet due to the longer integration of the signals (Fry, 2006). Isotopic data comparing the sexes have received only limited attention but yield novel evidence for niche differentiation in pinnipeds (Tucker et al., 2007), songbirds (Mazerolle and Hobson, 2007), temperate but not tropical seabirds (Mancini et al., 2013; Michalik et al., 2013), and juvenile caiman (Margues et al., 2013). Bolnick and Paull (2009) observed significant differences in carbon and nitrogen signatures between male and female stickleback at a small eutrophic lake on southern British Columbia. Our assessment of 30 stickleback populations across ecologically diverse habitats showed variability in both the direction and extent of isotopic differences between the sexes. For $\delta^{15}N$, we detected a male-biased enrichment in the majority of samples from all of the habitats (creeks, small lakes, and large lakes), including the replicated samples within several localities. This is consistent with general isotopic studies in fishes that individuals with larger gape generally can forage on larger and higher trophic level prey (McIntyre et al., 2006). One might anticipate that this isotopic enrichment in male stickleback would be domain general and occur across different size classes within a population, yet at the single locality where we compared size classes, the ontogenetic slopes of $\delta^{15}N$ against SL adjusted for a common mean were more positive in males than in females, suggesting $\delta^{15}N$ depletion in sub-adult males and $\delta^{15}N$ enrichment in adult males relative to females. This larger ontogenetic dietary shift in males than in females could emerge when pre-reproductive males shift from an offshore profundal/water column niche, where females are also found, to an inshore littoral/benthic niche (Reimchen, 1980, 1990). The general similarity in δ^{13} C signatures between the sexes in most localities is consistent with seasonal, possibly winter, overlap of their foraging habitats. Although δ^{13} C enrichment is generally known to characterize increased littoral sources of carbon (Post, 2002), this can be obscured by the relative contribution of autochthonous versus allochthonous carbon sources that structures productivity in dystrophic versus oligotrophic habitats (Carpenter and Pace, 1997). This might account for the atypical isotopic data that we observed in one of the dystrophic streams and small dystrophic lakes where δ^{13} C was enriched in females.

Since isotopic data on muscle tissues is time-integrated, multi-year samples can give insight to potential longer-term trends and changes in the diet (Dalerum and Angerbjörn, 2005). We observed relatively consistent $\delta^{15}N$ enrichment in males spanning a 30 year period at Drizzle Lake, yet at Boulton Lake the sexual dimorphism was variable both seasonally and yearly (from $\delta^{15}N$ enriched through to $\delta^{15}N$ depleted) over a similar period. These isotopic data are interesting because they supplement previous studies at this locality showing that the sexes partition the lake habitat, with adult males in littoral and adult females in limnetic habitats. Yet there are corresponding yearly differences in diet and relative parasitism of the sexes reflective of whole-lake shifts in the relative movement among years (Reimchen, 1980, 1997; Reimchen and Nosil, 2001a).

Extending from the niche-breadth hypothesis (Van Valen, 1965), we evaluated whether the presence or absence of competitor species in the stream and lake habitats was associated with population differences in the extent of sexual dimorphism. Increased dimorphism would be predicted in the absence of interspecific competition, as this can allow ecological release and a resulting expansion of niche space (Bolnick and Doebeli, 2003; Dayan and Simberloff, 2005; Nosil and Reimchen, 2005; Hsu et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2015). However, there has been substantial criticism of this hypothesis based on the lack of any relationships between the extent of sexual dimorphism and species richness in island communities (Meiri et al., 2014). Previous studies of body shape on a subset of the stickleback populations used in the present study showed a reduction in the extent of dimorphism in ponds relative to large lakes, which was interpreted as a general reduction in niche space (Spoljaric and Reimchen, 2008). While pond habitats have reduced diversity of species, there was no explicit comparison of these localities with and without competitors. In the current study, we compared stickleback populations in small lakes with and without other fish species, predicting increased dimorphism in the absence of interspecific competitors. Of 25 traits, we observed a single significant correlation (dimorphism in residual pelvic spine length) but it was positive rather than negative, opposite to our prediction and we would infer that there is no support for the expected increased dimorphism in the absence of competitors, consistent with Meiri et al. (2014). Although this appears to weaken support for the niche-breadth hypothesis, our comparisons of habitats with and without competitors may be much too coarse. The majority of habitats with only stickleback are small shallow ponds with little niche breadth such that ecological differentiation between the sexes is limited. The locality that is a major exception to this is Boulton Lake, which is large enough to include horizontal (inshore-offshore) and vertical (limnetic-benthic) gradients, but stickleback is the only fish species in the lake. Here, stickleback are highly sexually dimorphic in defence and trophic traits as well as life histories, including relative parasitism, exposure to predators, and spatial distribution (Reimchen, 1980, 1997; Reimchen and Nosil, 2001a, 2004). In other words, where there is direct evidence for increased ecological opportunity, intraspecific competition can facilitate differentiation

between the sexes, one of the basic predictions in the niche-width hypothesis (Van Valen, 1965; Bolnick and Doebeli, 2003; Nosil and Reimchen, 2005).

Where 'sexual' selection occurs, 'natural' selection can drive further phenotypic differences between the sexes when they occupy different niche space and are exposed to different selective pressures. Sexual segregation in habitat is widespread in both terrestrial and marine taxa, including teleosts and elasmobranchs, and is frequently associated with size dimorphism (reviewed in Wearmouth and Sims, 2008). Even in a sexually monomorphic species such as the minnow, the sexes have different habitat preferences during non-reproductive periods (Griffiths et al., 2014). Our cumulative data indicate widespread sexual dimorphism in body size, fin ray number, defence and trophic traits. The dimorphism occurs across a broad diversity of pristine habitats, from stained, slow-moving bog streams to large oligotrophic clear water mountain lakes. Some of the traits such as the jaw and the ventral plate of the pelvis are consistently dimorphic in all habitats, while other traits such as number of lateral plates, length of pelvic spines, and isotopic signatures vary in the extent and direction of the dimorphism. Whether these trends are more widespread throughout the circumpolar distribution of threespine stickleback is unclear, as the sexes have frequently not been differentiated in morphological and genetic studies (e.g. Hagen and Gilbertson, 1972; Gross, 1977; Lavin and McPhail, 1985, 1986, 1987; Francis et al., 1986; Bourgeois et al., 1994; Klepaker, 1995; Bell et al., 2004; Hermida et al., 2005; Kitano et al., 2008; Berner et al., 2010; Willacker et al., 2010; Webster et al., 2011; Kaeuffeur et al., 2012; Lescak et al., 2013; Lucek et al., 2013, 2014; Ravinet et al., 2013; Voje et al., 2013). The recent discovery of a sexdetermining linkage group in stickleback (Peichel et al., 2004) facilitates the integration of the explicit genetic expression of multiple dimorphic characters with ecological functionality.

We infer that the extent of sexual dimorphism in defence and trophic traits in threespine stickleback is an adaptive response to divergent life histories between the sexes and the ecological landscape opportunities that vary within and among habitats. While this study is one of the first to examine intraspecific variability in sexual dimorphism in freshwater fish, our conclusions are largely consistent with recent meta-analyses showing widespread habitat sexual segregation in vertebrates (Wearmouth and Sims, 2008) and are important in a species that has become a 'supermodel' for evaluating evolutionary processes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank S.D. Douglas for assistance in the field, M.A. Spoljaric for digital imaging of the fish, H. Ford for head measurements on digital images, A. Bolton, H. Milligan, W. Wilton, T. Ingram, S. Frey, and N. MacDonald for assistance in stable isotope preparation. We also acknowledge Professors D. Kramer, A. Hendry, and anonymous referees for constructive comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by an NSERC operating grant to T.E.R. (NRC2354).

REFERENCES

- Aguirre, W.E. and Akinpelu, O. 2010. Sexual dimorphism of head morphology in three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. J. Fish Biol., 77: 802–821.
- Aguirre, W.E., Ellis, K.E., Kusenda, M. and Bell, M.A. 2008. Phenotypic variation and sexual dimorphism in anadromous threespine stickleback: implications for postglacial adaptive radiation. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, 95: 465–468.

Aleev, I.U.G. 1977. Nekton. The Hague: Junk.

Allen, C.E., Zwaan, B.J. and Brakefield, P.M. 2011. Evolution of sexual dimorphism in the Lepidoptera. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 56: 445-464

- Aneer, G. 1973. Biometric characteristics of the three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L.) from the Northern Baltic Proper. *Zool. Scripta*, **2**: 157–162.
- Baker, J.A. 1994. Life history variation in female threespine stickleback. In *The Evolutionary Biology* of the Threespine Stickleback (M.A. Bell and S.A. Foster, eds.), pp. 144–187. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bearhop, S., Adams, C.E., Waldron, S., Fuller, R.A. and Macleod, H. 2004. Determining trophic niche width: a novel approach using stable isotope analysis. J. Anim. Ecol., 73: 1007–1012.
- Bell, M.A. and Foster, S.A., eds. 1994. *The Evolutionary Biology of the Threespine Stickleback*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bell, M.A., Aguirre, W.E. and Buck, N.J. 2004. Twelve years of contemporary armor evolution in a threespine stickleback population. *Evolution*, **58**: 814–824.
- Bergstrom, C.A. 2002. Fast-start swimming performance and reduction in lateral plate number in threespine stickleback. *Can. J. Zool.*, **80**: 207–213.
- Bergstrom, C.A. and Reimchen, T.E. 2000. Functional implications of fluctuating asymmetry among endemic populations of *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Behaviour*, **137**: 1097–1112.
- Bergstrom, C.A. and Reimchen, T.E. 2002. Geographical variation in asymmetry in *Gasterosteus* aculeatus. Biol. J. Linn. Soc., 77: 9–22.
- Bergstrom, C.A. and Reimchen, T.E. 2003. Asymmetry in structural defenses: insights into predation in the wild. *Evolution*, 57: 2128–2138
- Berner, D., Stutz, E. and Bolnick, D.I. 2010. Foraging trait (co)variances in stickleback evolve deterministically and do not predict trajectories of adaptive diversification. *Evolution*, 64: 2265–2277
- Bolnick, D.I. and Doebeli, M. 2003. Sexual dimorphism and adaptive speciation: two sides of the same ecological coin. *Evolution*, 57: 2433–2449.
- Bolnick, D.I. and Lau, O.L. 2008. Predictable patterns of disruptive selection in stickleback in postglacial lakes. *Am. Nat.*, **172**: 1–11.
- Bolnick, D.I. and Paull, J.S. 2009. Morphological and dietary differences between individuals are weakly but positively correlated within a population of threespine stickleback. *Evol. Ecol. Res.*, 11: 1217–1233.
- Bortolotti, G.R. and Gabrielson, J.R. 1995. Fluctuating asymmetry in the skeleton of the American kestrel, *Falco sparverius*: a test of the consequences of sexual size dimorphism. *Can. J. Zool.*, 73: 141–145.
- Boughman, J. 2001. Divergent sexual selection enhances reproductive isolation in sticklebacks. *Nature*, **411**: 944–948.
- Bourgeois, J.F., Blouw, D.M., Koenings, J.P. and Bell, M.A. 1994. Multivariate analysis of geographic covariance between phenotypes and environments in the threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, from the Cook Inlet area, Alaska. *Can. J. Zool.*, **72**: 1497–1509.
- Butler, M.A., Sawyer, S.A. and Losos, J.B. 2007. Sexual dimorphism and adaptive radiation in *Anolis* lizards. *Nature*, 447: 202–205.
- Caldecutt, W.J. and Adams, D.C. 1998. Morphometrics of trophic osteology in the threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Copeia*, **1998**: 827–838.
- Caldecutt, W.J., Bell, M.A. and Buckland-Nicks, J.A. 2001. Sexual dimorphism and geographic variation in dentition of threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus. Copeia*, **2001**: 936–944.
- Carpenter, S.R. and Pace, M.L. 1997. Dystrophy and eutrophy in lake ecosystems: implications of fluctuating inputs. *Oikos*, **78**: 3–14.
- Clutton-Brock, T.H., Albon, S. and Guinness, F.E. 1985. Parental investment and sex differences in juvenile mortality in birds and mammals. *Nature*, **313**: 131–133.
- Cooper, I.A., Gilman, R.T. and Boughman, J.W. 2011. Sexual dimorphism and speciation on two ecological coins: patterns from nature and theoretical predictions. *Evolution*, **65**: 2553–2571.
- Dalerum, F. and Angerbjörn, A. 2005. Resolving temporal variation in vertebrate diets using naturally occurring stable isotopes. *Oecologia*, **144**: 647–658.

- Dayan, T. and Simberloff, D. 2005. Ecological and community-wide character displacement: the next generation. *Ecol. Lett.*, **8**: 875–894.
- Deagle, B.E., Jones, F.C., Chan, Y.F., Absher, D.B., Kingsley, D.M. and Reimchen, T.E. 2012. Population genomics of parallel phenotypic evolution in stickleback across stream-lake ecological transitions. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci., 279: 1277–1286.
- Deagle, B.E., Jones, F.C., Absher, D.B., Kingsley, D.M. and Reimchen, T.E. 2013. Phylogeography and adaptation genetics of stickleback from the Haida Gwaii archipelago revealed using genomewide single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping. *Mol. Ecol.*, 22: 1917–1932.
- DeFaveri, J., Shikano, T. and Merila, J. 2014. Geographic variation in age structure and longevity in the nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*). *PLoS One*, **9**: e102660.
- DeFraipont, M., Fitzgerald, G.J. and Guderley, H. 1992. Femme fatale the case of the threespine stickleback. *Ethology*, 91: 147–152.
- De Kermoysan, G., Péry, A.R.R., Porcher, J.M. and Beaudouin, R. 2013. A non-invasive method based on head morphology to sex mature three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L.) in rearing conditions. *Math. Biosci.*, 244: 148–153.
- Drucker, E.G. and Lauder, G.V. 2001. Locomotor function of the dorsal fin in teleost fishes: experimental analysis of wake forces in sunfish. J. Exp. Biol., 204: 2943–2958.
- Endler, J.A. 1991. Interactions between predators and prey. In *Behavioural Ecology: An Evolutionary Approach*, 3rd edn. (J.R. Krebs and N.B. Davies, eds.), pp. 169–196. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Fernandez, C., Hermida, M., Amarao, R. and San Miguel, E. 2000. Lateral plate variation in Galician stickleback populations in the rivers Miño and Limia, NW Spain. *Behaviour*, 137: 965–979.
- Folstad, I. and Karter, A. 1992. Parasites, bright males, and the immunocompetence handicap. *Am. Nat.*, **139**: 603–622.
- Forsman, A. 1991. Variation in sexual size dimorphism and maximum body size among adder populations – effects of prey size. J. Anim. Ecol., 60: 253–267.
- Francis, R.C., Baumgartner, J.V., Havens, A.C. and Bell, M.A. 1986. Historical and ecological sources of variation among lake populations of threespine sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, near Cook Inlet, Alaska. *Can. J. Zool.*, 64: 2257–2265.
- Fry, B. 2006. Stable Isotope Ecology. New York: Springer.
- Gambling, S.J. and Reimchen, T.E. 2012. Prolonged life span among endemic *Gasterosteus* populations. *Can. J. Zool.*, **90**: 284–290.
- Gill, A.B. and Hart, P.J.B. 1994. Feeding-behavior and prey choice of the threespine stickleback: the interacting effects of prey size, fish size and stomach fullness. *Anim. Behav.*, **47**: 921–932.
- Grant, P.R. and Grant, B.R. 2003. Reversed sexual dimorphism in the beak of a finch. *Ibis*, **145**: 341–343.
- Grey, J., Kelly, A. and Jones, R.I. 2004. High intraspecific variability in carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios of lake chironomid larvae. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 49: 239–244.
- Griffiths, S.W., Orpwood, J., Ojanguren, A.F., Armstrong, J.D. and Magurran, A.E. 2014. Sexual segregation in monomorphic minnows. *Anim. Behav.*, 88: 7–12.
- Gross, H.P. 1977. Adaptive trends of environmentally sensitive traits in 3-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus. Z. Zool. Syst. Evol.*, **15**: 252–278.
- Gross, H.P. and Anderson, J.M. 1984. Geographic variation in the gill rakers and diet of European threespine sticklebacks, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Copeia*, **1984**: 87–97.
- Hagen, D.W. and Gilbertson, L. 1972. Geographic variation and environmental selection in *Gasterosteus aculeatus* in Pacific Northwest, America. *Evolution*, **26**: 32–51.
- Helfman, G., Collette, B. and Facey, D. 1997. The Diversity of Fishes. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.
- Henderson, B.A., Collins, R., Morgan, G.E. and Vaillancourt, A. 2003. Sexual size dimorphism of walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **60**: 1345–1352.
- Herczeg, G., Gonda, A. and Merila, J. 2010. Rensch's rule inverted female-driven gigantism in nine-spined stickleback (*Pungitius pungitius*). J. Anim. Ecol., 79: 581–588.

- Hermida, M., Fernandez, C., Amaroa, R. and San Miguel, E. 2005. Morphometric and meristic variation in Galician threespine stickleback populations, northwest Spain. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 73: 189–200.
- Hobson, K.A. 1995. Reconstructing avian diets using stable-carbon and nitrogen isotope analysis of egg components: patterns of isotopic fractionation and turnover. *Condor*, **97**: 752–762.
- Hoogland, R.D., Morris, D. and Tinbergen, N. 1957. The spines of sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus* and *Pygosteus*) as a means of defence against predators (*Perca* and *Esox*). *Behaviour*, **10**: 205–237.
- Hsu, Y.C., Shaner, P.J., Chang, C.I., Ke, L. and Kao, S.J. 2014. Trophic niche width increases with bill-size variation in a generalist passerine: a test of niche variation hypothesis. J. Anim. Ecol., 83: 450–459.
- Huntingford, F.A. 1976. Relationship between anti-predator behavior and aggression among conspecifics in 3-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Anim. Behav., 24: 245–260.
- Kaeuffer, R., Peichel, C.L., Bolnick, D.I. and Hendry, A.P. 2012. Parallel and nonparallel aspects of ecological, phenotypic, and genetic divergence across replicate population pairs of lake and stream stickleback. *Evolution*, 66: 402–418.
- Karvonen, E., Merilä, J., Rintamäki, P.T. and van Dongen, S. 2003. Geography of fluctuating asymmetry in the greenfinch, *Carduelis chloris. Oikos*, 100: 507–516.
- Kitano, J., Mori, S. and Peichel, C.L. 2007. Sexual dimorphism in the external morphology of the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). Copeia, 2007: 336–349.
- Kitano, J.M., Bolnick, D.I., Beauchamp, D.A., Mazur, M.M., Seiichi, M., Nakano, T. *et al.* 2008. Reverse evolution of armor plates in the threespine stickleback. *Evol. Ecol. Res.*, **15**: 155–170
- Kitano, J.S., Mori, S. and Peichel, C.L. 2012. Reduction of sexual dimorphism in stream-resident forms of three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. J. Fish Biol., **80**: 131–146.
- Klepaker, T.O. 1995. Postglacial evolution in lateral plate morphs in Norwegian fresh-water populations of the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). Can. J. Zool., **73**: 898–906
- Kodric-Brown, A. 1990. Mechanisms of sexual selection: insights from fishes. *Ann. Zool. Fenn.*, **27**: 87–100.
- Kotrschal, A., Räsänen, K., Kristjánsson, B.K., Senn, M. and Kolm, N. 2012. Extreme sexual brain size dimorphism in sticklebacks: a consequence of the cognitive challenges of sex and parenting? *PLoS One*, 7: e30055.
- Kristjánsson, B.K., Skúlason, S. and Noakes, D.L.G. 2002. Rapid divergence in a recently isolated population of threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L.). Evol. Ecol. Res., 4: 659–672.
- Lavin, P.A. and McPhail, J.D. 1985. The evolution of freshwater diversity in the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*): site-specific differentiation of trophic morphology. *Can. J. Zool.*, **63**: 2632–2638.
- Lavin, P.A. and McPhail, J.D. 1986. Adaptive divergence of trophic phenotype among fresh-water populations of the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 43: 2455–2463.
- Lavin, P.A. and McPhail, J.D. 1987. Morphological divergence and the organization of trophic characters among lacustrine populations of the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 44: 1820–1829.
- Lepak, J.M., Kraft, C.E. and Weldel, B.C. 2006. Rapid food web recovery in response to removal of an introduced apex predator. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **63**: 569–575.
- Lescak, E.A., von Hippel, F.A., Bernhardt, R.R. and Bell, M.A. 2013. Pelvic girdle reduction and asymmetry in threespine stickleback from Wallace Lake, Alaska. *Evol. Ecol. Res.*, **15**:155–170.
- Lucek, K., Sivasundar, A., Roy, D. and Seehausen, O. 2013. Repeated and predictable patterns of ecotypic differentiation during a biological invasion: lake-stream divergence in parapatric Swiss stickleback. J. Evol. Biol., 26: 2691–2709.
- Lucek, K., Sivasundar, A. and Seehausen, O. 2014. Disentangling the role of phenotypic plasticity and genetic divergence in contemporary ecotype formation during a biological invasion. *Evolution*, **68**: 2619–2632.

- Magurran, A.E. and Garcia, C.M. 2000. Sex differences in behaviour as an indirect consequence of mating system. J. Fish Biol., 57: 839–857.
- Mancini, P.L., Bond, A.L., Hobson, K.A., Duarte, L.S. and Bugoni, L. 2013. Foraging segregation in tropical and polar seabirds: testing the intersexual competition hypothesis. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 449: 186–193.
- Manzer, J.I. 1976. Distribution, food, and feeding of the threespine stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus, in Great Central Lake, Vancouver island, with comments on competition for food with juvenile sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka. Fish. Bull., 74: 647–668.
- Marques, T.S., Lara, N.R.F., Bassetti, L.A.B., Piña, C.I., Camargo, P.B. and Verdade, L.M. 2013. Intraspecific isotopic niche variation in broad-snouted caiman (*Caiman latirostris*). *Isotopes Environ. Health Stud.*, 49: 325–335.
- Martin, A.M., Festa-Bianchet, M., Coltman, D.W. and Pelletier, F. 2015. Comparing measures of breeding inequality and opportunity for selection with sexual selection on a quantitative character in bighorn rams. *J. Evol. Biol.*, **28**: 223–230.
- Mazerolle, D.F. and Hobson, K.A. 2007. Patterns of differential migration in white-throated sparrows evaluated with isotopic measurements of feathers. *Can. J. Zool.*, **85**: 413–420.
- McGee, M.D. and Wainwright, P.C. 2013. Sexual dimorphism in the feeding mechanism of threespine stickleback. J. Exp. Biol., 216: 835–840.
- McIntyre, D.A., Beauchamp, M., Mazur, M. and Overman, N.C. 2006. Ontogenetic trophic interactions and benthopelagic coupling in Lake Washington: evidence from stable isotopes and diet analysis. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.*, 135: 1312–1328.
- McPhail, J.D. 1992. Ecology and evolution of sympatric sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus*): evidence for a species-pair in Paxton Lake, Texada Island, British Columbia. *Can. J. Zool.*, **70**: 361–369.
- McPhail, J.D. 1994. Speciation and the evolution of reproductive isolation in the sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus*) of south-western British Columbia. In *The Evolutionary Biology of the Threespine Stickleback* (M.A. Bell and S.A. Foster, eds.), pp. 399–437. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Meiri, S., Kadison, A.E., Novosolov, M., Panayiotis, P., Foufopoulos, J., Itescu, Y. et al. 2014. The number of competitor species is unlinked to sexual dimorphism. J. Anim. Ecol., 83: 1302–1312.
- Michalik, A., McGill, R.A.R., van Noordwijk, H.J., Masello, J.F., Furness, R.W., Eggers, T. et al. 2013. Stable isotopes reveal variable foraging behaviour in a colony of the Imperial Shag *Phalacrocorax atriceps*: differences between ages, sexes and years. J. Ornithol., 154: 239–249.
- Millet, A., Kristjansson, B.K., Einarsson, A. and Rasane, K. 2013. Spatial phenotypic and genetic structure of threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) in a heterogeneous natural system, Lake Myvatn, Iceland. *Ecol. Evol.*, 3: 3219–3232.
- Moodie, G.E.E. 1972a. Morphology, life-history, and ecology of an unusual stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) in Queen Charlotte Islands, Canada. *Can. J. Zool.*, **50**: 721–732.
- Moodie, G.E.E. 1972b. Predation, natural selection and adaptation in an unusual threespine stickleback. *Heredity*, **28**: 155–167.
- Moodie, G.E.E. and Reimchen, T.E. 1976. Phenetic variation and habitat differences in *Gasterosteus* populations of Queen Charlotte Islands. *Syst. Zool.*, **25**: 49–61.
- Moyle, P.B. and Cech, J.J. 2003. *Fishes: An Introduction to Ichthyology*, 5th edn. San Francisco, CA: Benjamin Cummings.
- Mucientes, G.R., Queiroz, N., Sousa, L.L., Tarroso, P. and Sims, D.W. 2009. Sexual segregation of pelagic sharks and the potential threat from fisheries. *Biol. Lett.*, **5**: 156–159.
- Nebel, S. and Thompson, G.J. 2011. The evolution of sexual bill-size dimorphism in shorebirds: a morphometric test of the resource partitioning hypothesis. *Evol. Ecol. Res.*, **13**: 35–44.
- Nosil, P. and Reimchen, T.E. 2005. Ecological opportunity and levels of morphological variance within freshwater stickleback populations. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, **86**: 297–308.
- Olafsdottir, G.A., Snorrason, S.S. and Ritchie, M.G. 2007. Morphological and genetic divergence of intra-lacustrine stickleback morphs in Iceland: a case for selective differentiation? J. Evol. Biol., 20: 603–616.

Packer, C. 1983. Sexual dimorphism: the horns of African antelopes. Science, 221: 1191–1193.

- Peichel, C.L., Ross, J.A., Matson, C.K., Dickson, M., Grimwood, J., Schmutz, J. et al. 2004. The master sex-determination locus in threespine sticklebacks is on a nascent Y chromosome. Curr. Biol., 14: 1416–1424.
- Pokhrel, L.R., Karsai, I., Hamed, M.K. and Laughlin, T.F. 2013. Dorsal body pigmentation and sexual dimorphism in the marbled salamander (*Ambystoma opacum*). *Ethol. Ecol. Evol.*, 25: 214–226.
- Post, D.M. 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. *Ecology*, **83**: 703–718
- Ravinet, M., Prodoehl, P.A. and Harrod, C. 2013. On Irish stickleback: morphological diversification in a secondary contact zone. *Evol. Ecol. Res.*, **15**: 271–294.
- Reimchen, T.E. 1980. Spine-deficiency and polymorphism in a population of *Gasterosteus aculeatus*: an adaptation to predators? *Can. J. Zool.*, **58**: 1232–1244.
- Reimchen, T.E. 1983. Structural relationships between spines and lateral plates in threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). Evolution, 37: 931–946.
- Reimchen, T.E. 1989. Loss of nuptial color in threespine sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Evolution*, **43**: 450–460.
- Reimchen, T.E. 1990. Size-structured mortality in a threespine stickleback cutthroat trout community. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **47**: 1194–1205.
- Reimchen, T.E. 1994. Predators and morphological evolution in threespine stickleback. In *The Evolutionary Biology of the Threespine Stickleback* (M.A. Bell and S.A. Foster, eds.), pp. 240–276. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Reimchen, T.E. 1997. Parasitism of asymmetric pelvic phenotypes in stickleback. *Can. J. Zool.*, **75**: 2084–2094.
- Reimchen, T.E. 2000. Predator handling failures of lateral plate morphs in *Gasterosteus aculeatus*: implications for stasis and distribution of the ancestral plate condition. *Behaviour*, **137**: 1081–1096.
- Reimchen, T.E. and Nosil, P. 2001a. Ecological causes of sex-biased parasitism in three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, 73: 51–63.
- Reimchen, T.E. and Nosil, P. 2001b. Dietary differences between symmetrical and asymmetrical pelvic phenotypes in stickleback. *Can. J. Zool.*, **79**: 53–539.
- Reimchen, T.E. and Nosil, P. 2001c. Lateral plate asymmetry, diet and parasitism in threespine stickleback. J. Evol. Biol., 14: 632–645.
- Reimchen, T.E. and Nosil, P. 2002. Temporal cycles in divergent selection on spine number in threespine stickleback. *Evolution*, **56**: 2472–2483.
- Reimchen, T.E. and Nosil, P. 2004. Variable predation regimes predict the evolution of sexual dimorphism in a population of threespine stickleback. *Evolution*, **58**: 1274–1281.
- Reimchen, T.E. and Nosil, P. 2005. Replicated ecological landscapes and the evolution of morphological diversity among *Gasterosteus* populations from an archipelago on the west coast of Canada. *Can. J. Zool.*, 84: 643–654.
- Reimchen, T.E., Stinson, E.M. and Nelson, J.S. 1985. Multivariate differentiation of parapatric and allopatric populations of threespine stickleback in the Sangan River watershed, Queen Charlotte Islands. *Can. J. Zool.*, 63: 2944–2951.
- Reimchen, T.E., Ingram, T. and Hansen, S.C. 2008. Assessing niche differences of sex, armour and asymmetry phenotypes using stable isotope analyses in Haida Gwaii sticklebacks. *Behaviour*, 145: 561–577.
- Reimchen, T.E., Bergstrom, C.A. and Nosil, P. 2013. Natural selection and the adaptive radiation of Haida Gwaii stickleback. *Evol. Ecol. Res.*, 15: 241–269.
- Rennie, M.D., Purchase, C.F., Lester, N., Collins, N.C., Shuter, B.J. and Abrams, P.A. 2008. Lazy males? Bioenergetic differences in energy acquisition and metabolism help to explain sexual size dimorphism in percids. J. Anim. Ecol., 77: 916–926.

484

- Reznick, D. 1996. Life history evolution in guppies: a model system for the empirical study of adaptation. *Neth. J. Zool.*, **46**: 172–190.
- Roff, D.A. 1983. An allocation model of growth and reproduction in fish. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, **40**: 1395–1404.
- Schmitz, L. and Motani, R. 2010. Morphological differences between the eyeballs of nocturnal and diurnal amniotes revisited from optical perspectives of visual environments. *Vision Res.*, 10: 936–946.
- Selander, R.K. 1966. Sexual dimorphism and differential niche utilization in birds. *Condor*, **68**: 113–151.
- Sheldon, B.C., Merilä, J., Lindgren, G. and Ellegren, H. 1998. Gender and environmental sensitivity in nestling collared flycatchers. *Ecology*, **79**: 1939–1948.
- Shine, R. 1991. Intersexual dietary divergence and the evolution of sexual dimorphism in snakes. *Am. Nat.*, **138**: 103–122.
- Soderman, F., van Dongen, S., Pakkasmaa, S. and Merila, J. 2007. Environmental stress increases skeletal fluctuating asymmetry in the moor frog *Rana arvalis. Oecologia*, 151: 593–604.
- Spoljaric, M.A. and Reimchen, T.E. 2008. Habitat-dependent reduction of sexual dimorphism in geometric body shape of Haida Gwaii threespine stickleback. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, 95: 505–516.
- Stankowich, T. 2011. Armed and dangerous: predicting the presence and function of defensive weaponry in mammals. *Adapt. Behav.*, 20: 32–43.
- Symons, P.E.K. 1966. Analysis of spine-raising in the male three-spined stckleback. *Behaviour*, **26**: 1–75.
- Tucker, S., Bowen, W.D. and Iverson, S.J. 2007. Dimensions of diet segregation in grey seals *Halichoerus grypus* revealed through stable isotopes of carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen (δ^{15} N). *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, **339**: 271–282.
- Van Valen, L. 1965. Morphological variation and width of ecological niche. Am. Nat., 99: 377-390.
- Voje, K.L., Mazzarella, A.B. and Hansen, T.F. 2013. Adaptation and constraint in a stickleback radiation. J. Evol. Biol., 26: 2396–2414.
- Wearmouth, V.J. and Sims, D.W. 2008. Sexual segregation in marine fish, reptiles, birds and mammals: behaviour patterns, mechanisms and conservation implications. *Adv. Mar. Biol.*, 54: 107–170.
- Webster, N.A., Hart, P.J.B. and Ward, A.J.W. 2011. Habitat-specific morphological variation among threespine sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) within a drainage basin. *PLoS One*, 6: e21060.
- Willacker, J.J., von Hippel, F.A., Wilton, P.R. and Walton, K.M. 2010. Classification of threespine stickleback along the benthic–limnetic axis, *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, 101: 595–608.
- Wilz, K.J. 1970. Causal and functional analysis of dorsal pricking and nest activity in courtship of 3-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Anim. Behav., 18: 115–124.
- Wootton, R.J. 1976. The Biology of the Sticklebacks. New York: Academic Press.