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ABSTRACT

This paper examines J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., a British Columbia family-owned and run fish packing company during the years 1881 through 1954. The research presented in this paper outlines the contribution of individual Todd members to both the success and eventual demise of the company. Also examined is the history of the B. C. salmon canning industry, the evolution of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., the role of the company in the broader context of the B. C. fishing industry; and the factors that led to the demise of the company. The research relies on documentary primary sources as well as extensive oral history collection obtained from Todd family members and employees.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the rise and demise of one of the British Columbia’s commercial fishing industry’s pioneer and family-owned fish packing companies. Prior to entering the commercial salmon canning industry in 1881, J.H. Todd, owned and operated wholesale grocery stores in Victoria and Barkerville. Upon moving into the fishing industry, J.H. Todd & Sons’ canneries, cold storage facilities, fish traps, and fish fleet provided thousands of British Columbians with employment during its seventy-three year existence. By the 1920s, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. fish packing empire stretched from the Fraser River, to Vancouver Island, and the Skeena River. The company also generated millions of dollars for BC’s economy, as well for numerous BC communities.

The history of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. is unique and significant. By 1954, this fishing and packing firm was the oldest privately-held processing company in BC. When other family firms consolidated in two major rounds of concentration in 1902 and 1928, Todd & Sons remained independent. It also differed in comparison to other salmon canning companies because after 1922 it was the only BC fish packing company permitted to operate fish traps in salt water.

Studying Todd & Sons’ history, role and involvement in the BC commercial fishing industry, and the key individuals who made it succeed and ultimately fail, adds a missing component to the history of one of BC’s most important industries.

The Todd story is a classic example of interplay of structure and agency. On the one side we have the industry structure: the erratic business cycle of the industry which followed the cycle of salmon returns; the 1946 collapse of world markets and subsequent financially crippling large pack carryovers; a unionizing labour market etc. which devastated most of the competition, and on the other side – human agency. J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. prospered where others failed on account of the personal characteristics of their leadership. In this paper, I am going to look at the personal elements that really expose human agency in the BC commercial canning industry.

The British Columbia commercial fishing industry can trace its start back to 1829 when the Hudson’s Bay Company first shipped barrels of salted salmon from the Fraser River to Hawaii. The commercial salmon canning industry in BC began on an industrial level in 1870 when a cannery at Annieville, near New Westminster canned Fraser River salmon. Canning in the north, on the Skeena River, began in 1876 with the construction of Inverness Cannery, and in 1882 the first salmon cannery was built in Rivers Inlet. By that time canneries were situated and processing next to all the major salmon producing rivers along the BC coast. The salmon canning industry attracted countless individuals, and syndicates who dreamed of making their fortune. Unfortunately for the majority their dreams would not come true.
Since its inception as a province in 1871 four major primary resource-based industries have existed in British Columbia, and the commercial fishing and the salmon canning industry until quite recently played a very prominent role. The subsequent information dealing with cannery numbers, pack numbers, and numbers of people still able to earn a living in the salmon canning industry will reveal how insignificant, in comparison to the Todd era, the salmon canning industry has become (Figure 1). Three canneries located on the Fraser River processed 27,900 cases of salmon worth an estimated $204,000 in 1874.1 The export value of this pack was 19 percent of the total BC exports.2 By 1877 six canneries employed approximately 840 shore workers, and 480 fishermen.3 When Jacob Hunter Todd began processing salmon in 1882 twelve canneries in BC produced a pack of 156,000 cases of salmon with an estimated value of $897,000 (Value of BC Export as Percentage of Total=100%).4 From 1870 to 1900 the main staple export of the BC economy was canned salmon5. Eighty-two canneries6 processed salmon in 1919, employing more than 9,000.7

By 1953 the British Columbia commercial fishing industry ranked fourth behind the forest industry, agriculture, and mining.8 Twenty canneries operated and produced a total pack of 1,826,588 cases of salmon.9 1953 sales of fish totalled $65,455,000, salmon being dominant with sales of $47,936,000.10 Regarding BC fishing industry employment numbers, 18,000 persons were directly engaged in the industry, this total being made up of approximately 6,000 shore workers and the remainder fishermen and their crew.11 By 1993 the fishing industry generated over $1 billion in wholesale economic activity with nearly 25,000 employed in the industry, and over 5,900 vessels involved in the harvest of salmon, herring etc.12

1 David J. Reid, The Development of the Fraser River Salmon Canning Industry, 1885 to 1913 (Vancouver: Environment Canada, Pacific Region, 1973), 76.
2 Ibid.
3 Duncan A. Stacey, Sockeye & Tinplate: Technological Change in the Fraser River Canning Industry (Victoria: BC Provincial Museum, 1982), 8.
4 Reid, The Development of the Fraser River Salmon Canning Industry, 76.
8 “Brief with respect to a Conciliation Board on Shoreworker Agreements,” 12 July 1954, 2, private collection of Mickey Fitzgerald.
9 Lyons, Salmon, 507.
10 “Brief with respect to a Conciliation Board on Shoreworker Agreements,” 12July 1954, 2, private collection of Mickey Fitzgerald.
11 Ibid.
Eight canneries produced an average pack of “1 million 48-lb cases of salmon by 1999,”\textsuperscript{13} and “canned salmon accounted for 40% of the total wholesale value of BC’s salmon products, down from 80% in 1955.”\textsuperscript{14} By 2011 it was evident that the BC salmon canning industry was a mere shell of its former self and its ‘glory days.’ Only 188,419 cases of salmon\textsuperscript{15} were produced worth an estimated $27 million,\textsuperscript{16} less than 1/5th of the BC seafood fishing industry which generated a combined wholesale value of $1.4 billion (equivalent to .4% of the total GDP).\textsuperscript{17} With the decrease in the fishing industry since

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{BC Salmon Canneries and Salmon Pack (1870-2011)}
\end{figure}

\textbf{Sources:} C. Lyons, \textit{Salmon: Our Heritage and Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries.}

*Note: Prior to 1876 fish were packed in various cans (eg. 1 lb. squats). After 1876 all salmon packs were packed in standardized 48 lb. cases, for instance 96 -1/2 lb. flats.

\textsuperscript{14} Ibid., 626.
\textsuperscript{16} Carmen Matthews, (Manager, Corporate Statistics & Research, Ministry of Agriculture), email to author, 13 March 2015.
2000, there has been a noticeable decrease of 66% in the number of workers employed in the industry, from 4,100 in 2000 to only 1,400 in 2011.\textsuperscript{18} Today only three salmon canneries still operate, the Canadian Fishing Company’s Oceanside Cannery in Prince Rupert, Terry Babcock’s Coastwise Cannery in Surrey, and the Nanaimo-based, St. Jean’s Cannery & Smokehouse owned by the St. Jean family. The Oceanside Cannery employs between 550 and 700 workers depending on a pink or sockeye season\textsuperscript{19}; the Coastwise Cannery employs about 10-12 workers\textsuperscript{20} and the St. Jean’s Cannery employs 160.\textsuperscript{21} These numbers reveal how few are still employed in the industry. In 2014, the maximum number of shore workers employed in the salmon canning industry stood at approximately 880. These employment numbers reveal two major points. First, few plants still can salmon, and second, limited work is available. These numbers clearly indicate that the once-powerful BC fishing industry has been steadily declining since the 1950s.

The fishing industry not only provided employment, but gave rise to coastal communities in BC. Towns such as Steveston, Prince Rupert, Ucluelet, and Port Hardy owe much of their existence and identity to the commercial fishing industry. A 1991 report identified approximately one hundred coastal communities that had a substantial involvement with the British Columbia commercial fishing industry.\textsuperscript{22}

Culturally, the BC commercial fishing industry has always been important for British Columbians. For First Nations communities, salmon has always been of the utmost importance. The salmon canning industry provided employment for First Nations coast wide. Typically the men fished, while the women and children worked at various jobs in the canneries. Asian immigrants and Europeans also worked in various capacities in the industry. Chinese were employed as cannery labourers. The Japanese, many of whom came from fishing backgrounds, primarily worked as fishermen and some inside the canneries. Europeans generally worked as either fishermen, or as cannery workers. Due to the fact that fishing is a seasonal industry individuals such as students, and teachers were able to supplement their incomes. Until the 1990s their seasonal labour was easy to come by, but with the slowdown in the salmon and herring sectors seasonal job opportunities have diminished.

In 1900 the fishing community of Steveston had 15 canneries which processed fish.\textsuperscript{23} Today, only two former Steveston canneries still exist, but only as memorials to

\textsuperscript{18} Ibid., 19.
\textsuperscript{19} Lori Wilson, (Manager of Oceanside Cannery), email to author, 9 March, 2015.
\textsuperscript{20} Ed Kirsch, personal interview, 8 August 2014.
\textsuperscript{21} Gerard St. Jean, (President of St. Jean’s Cannery & Smokehouse), email to author, 2 March, 2015.
the industry as National Historical sites. Today, the salmon canning industry is a mere
shell of its former self, and how much longer salmon will be canned in BC is anyone’s
guess. This paper captures an era when the salmon canning industry was vibrant,
providing employment to thousands, and whose economic contributions helped make the
BC economy into a viable one.

My interest in the fishing industry is also personal and stems from growing up in
Prince Rupert. In the 100 years since Prince Rupert began as a community, it has been
intimately associated with the BC commercial fishing industry, and until quite recently,
was known as the halibut capital of the world. Since the commencement of the canning of
salmon on the Skeena River in 1876 roughly thirty-five canneries have operated in this
region, and since that time the salmon canning industry has played a prominent role in
the area’s economy as well as providing employment for countless thousands down to the
present day. With the fishing industry being so prominent, it was not unusual for whole
families such as mine to have worked in some capacity in the fishing industry, either as
shore workers in one of the local salmon canneries, or as commercial fishermen. Well
known fish companies, such as BC Packers Ltd., and the Fishermen’s Co-op provided my
father, Brian with work as a cannery lineman for a number of years. My younger brother
Terry’s entire working career has been involved in the fishing industry, both in canneries,
and for the past fifteen years as a gillnetter. When I was fifteen, I was brought into BC
Packer’s Port Edward cannery by my father. During my ten-year seasonal involvement in
the fishing industry I also worked for J. S. McMillan Fisheries Ltd., and the Aero Trading
Co. Ltd. in the Prince Rupert area. These years ignited a passion for the fishing industry
and its history.

Much has been written on the salmon canning industry in BC. Historians have
focussed on the large corporations, the environmental and labour history. However,
scholars have not focussed on family-run firms, nor the business perspective of family-
run companies, such as J.H. Todd & Sons, in spite of the fact that it was one of the top
processors in the fishing industry by the start of World War II.

This examination of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., starts from a different place from the
existing literature, drawing heavily on interviews on family members, former Todd &
Sons employees, and industry insiders. Fourteen individuals were interviewed, including
well-known cannery owners, senior fish company executives, including two prominent
vice presidents, well-respected cannery managers, cannery employees who have lengthy
careers, and a professional sports fishing guide. Many of the interviewees were
interviewed multiple times. Moreover, my documentary sources are unique, and varied.
For instance, access to J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. minute books housed at the Canadian

Fishing Company’s headquarters allowed me the rare privilege to examine the inner workings of this pioneer fish company. In life timing is everything. I started this project 15 years ago, and since then some whom I have interviewed have died, and the rest are elderly. Such a study would be impossible in a few years from now. The usage of oral history allows a look at the human element, and personal impacts and as such, it offers a perspective on the industry that is seldom seen. By studying J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’s involvement in the BC commercial fishing industry, and the key individuals who made it successful and presided over its demise, I will add further understanding to the history of the BC commercial fishing industry.

A number of books provide an excellent overview on the BC commercial fishing industry. Cicely Lyon’s *Salmon: Our Heritage* (1969) is a comprehensive study for people who enjoy empirical methodology. This book is a treasure chest filled with information dealing with nearly every aspect of the BC commercial fishing industry. Lyons worked as a secretary for BC Packers, and so for all of its strengths, her historical perspective has bias towards that company. Lyon’s book contains numerous references to J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., including the sale of the company in 1954 to BC Packers Ltd., and the Canadian Fishing Co. which is of interest. This book also reveals that BC Packers and its management had tremendous respect for Todd & Sons’ president, C.F. Todd.

David Reid’s 1973 work, *The Development of the Fraser River Salmon Canning Industry, 1885 to 1913*, reveals how the head of Todd & Sons avoided an amalgamation attempt by BC Packers’ Association in 1902. The correspondence reveals how frustrated the BC Packers’ Association leaders were with the Todds and their position of strength in comparison to other companies that were taken over by BC Packers’ Association in 1902. Reid’s work clearly indicates that C.F. Todd was a formidable competitor, a survivor and the “Pillar” behind the Todd’s fish packing operation until his death, in 1941.

Geoff Megg’s 1991 book *Salmon: The Decline of the British Columbia Fishery*. brings a union perspective to the history of the industry, balancing Lyon’s corporate point of view. He worked as the editor of *The Fisherman*, the United and Allied Worker’s union newspaper for a number of years. He touches on numerous aspects of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., confirming that the firm was among the top four in the industry by World War II.

Ken Campbell’s 2004 book, *Cannery Village: Company Town*. (2004) brings a rare insider’s perspective on the industry. Campbell worked his entire career in the industry with the Canadian Fishing Co. and as a former head of the Fisheries Council of BC. Although much of his book deals with northern BC operations it does cover several aspects of the Todd’s fishing operations. In one section, for example, he includes a section on the Hogan family and their running of the Todd’s Klemtu cannery. He also deals with Todd’s fishing operations in Rivers Inlet, and on the Skeena River as well as with the sale of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. and the splitting up of its assets in 1954.
Victoria based historian Valerie Green’s 1990 book *Excelsior! The Story of The Todd Family*, devotes much of its attention to J.H. Todd, and his son C.F. Todd. She reveals how J.H. Todd established very successful businesses in both Victoria and Barkerville. As J.H. Todd was one of the most successful pioneer salmon canners in BC, Green devotes an entire chapter to the family’s involvement in the industry. Her book also reveals how the firm developed into one of the “powerhouses” of the industry under the very capable leadership of C.F. Todd.

*The Sooke Story – The History and the Heartbeat* (1999) is a comprehensive history of the Sooke area. A portion of the book is dedicated to the Sooke Harbour Fishing & Packing Co. Ltd., which between 1922 and 1954 operated as a wholly-owned subsidiary of J.H. Todd & Sons. Sections of the book also focus on the Todd fish-trap operations; but surprisingly little attention is given to the Todd family, which operated fish traps in the Sooke area for over 50 years, and was a major employer in this region.

Masako and Stan Fukawa’s 2009 *Spirit of The Nikkei Fleet* focusses on the role of the Japanese and Japanese Canadians within the BC commercial fishing industry. The authors show how the uprooting of the Japanese fishermen, the top fishermen on the coast had a decisive impact on the BC fishing industry. I will show that their internment dealt a blow to the Todd company when they could least afford it. Not until 1949 were these fishermen permitted to return to the coast, but the majority did not return due to lack of money and the fact that their confiscated fish boats were sold by the Canadian government in 1942. While there was initial public resistance when these fishermen came back to the coast the authors show that canners such as the Todds soon welcomed them back with open arms.

Norman Safarik, author of *Bluebacks and Silver Brights – A Lifetime in the BC Fisheries*. (2012) worked in the BC commercial fishing industry for over sixty years as the owner-operator of a Vancouver fish plant, and as such his insight, knowledge and understanding of the industry is impressive. Particularly relevant is his discussion of the difficulties the fish packing companies faced after World War II. Challenges identified by Safarik include a changing world market, independent fishermen, and a strong union. Furthermore, he discusses the takeover of Todd & Sons by BC Packers Ltd., and the Canadian Fishing Co., and the splitting of the company’s assets.

Dr. Dianne Newell’s book *The Pacific Salmon Canning Industry – A Grown Man’s Game* (1989) examines Henry Doyle’s papers to highlight a perspective from one of the most dominant and influential men in the salmon canning industry. In 1902 Doyle master-minded the creation of BC Packers’ Association, the largest fish packing company during the twentieth century and the antecedent of BC Packers Ltd. Newell’s research identifies the main players, their plants, and the issues that they faced. As Todd & Sons was one of the top canners, Doyle gives very pertinent information on all of Todd’s canneries, number of canning lines, number of fishermen, and number of boats. He also
dedicates a sizeable section to how formidable he felt Todd & Sons was as a competitor. He attributes much of the company’s success to C.F. Todd, who he identifies as a very shrewd and capable businessman. Doyle’s writings reveal that he feared and at the same time respected the Todd firm. He also states that due to its leadership and independent wealth that Todd & Sons would not easily be taken over, unlike many of the fish packing companies of his time. One other point useful to my research is Doyle’s discussion of how dominant and very skilled the Japanese and Japanese Canadians were as fishermen.

Henry Doyle, drafted an insightful 400-plus page manuscript in 1957 dealing with the fishing industry entitled *The Rise and Decline of the Pacific Fisheries*, which is at the BC Archives. The manuscript contains an incredible wealth of information on various aspects of the BC commercial fishing industry, including J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. Doyle’s career in the fishing industry spanned over 60 years, and he had firsthand and intimate knowledge of the industry. For example, Doyle’s manuscript clarifies the mystery as to how C.F. Todd, through shrewd political maneuvering, was able to secure fish trap sites in the Sooke region while other major competitors were not.

W. M. Ross’s 1967 “Salmon Cannery Distribution on the Nass and Skeena Rivers of British Columbia 1877-1926” deals specifically with canneries in northern BC, and he touches on aspects of the Todd’s Skeena River cannery, Inverness. His Inverness canned salmon pack numbers from 1877-1950 show a declining pack in the 1940s due, I believe to the deportation of the Japanese from the coast in 1942 and their slow return to the BC commercial fishing industry starting in 1949.

Patricia Marchak, Neil Guppy, and John McMullan’s 1984 *Uncommon Property: The Fishing and Fish-Processing Industries in British Columbia* examines the history and evolution of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., within the BC commercial fishing industry. More importantly, the authors highlight issues that they felt forced the Todds to sell their company.

What sets this paper apart from this extensive literature is that oral history plays a very prominent role. The research was only possible through personal contacts and friendships developed over the past fifteen years. How I was able to meet the people that I did, how I gained their trust, and belief in this research can be attributed to the following: my family’s involvement in the canning business, friends I made during this period, and the ten years in the canning business that instilled in me a passion and love for the industry which I feel won over people I interviewed. For years prior to starting on this journey I had been visiting fish plants throughout the province taking photographs, video, and doing interviews whenever possible, and occasionally I was able to gain access to key other key individuals in the industry. An example of just such a meeting occurred in 1999 when Ed Kirsch, a close friend from my cannery days in Port Edward in the 1970s, introduced me to Mr. Don Millerd, his former boss at Great Northern Cannery. The Millerd family’s involvement in the fishing industry is legendary and goes back to
1909 when his grandfather, Francis first began processing fish. Don came to my school and did a presentation on the fishing industry, and his family’s role in the industry. Don saw the passion and interest I had for the fishing industry and invited me to a luncheon for the ‘Movers and Shakers’ of the BC commercial fishing industry which happens annually in Vancouver. When I heard that such well known people such as the Nelsons from Nelson Bros. Fisheries, Bruce Buchanan former BC Packers Ltd. president, and Jack Elsey president of Millbanke Resources Ltd. would be in attendance I realized this was a golden chance. After attending for a couple of years of and videoing the luncheons I was appointed the club’s ‘unofficial historian’. Don Millerd and Jack Elsey knew that I was researching J.H. Todd & Sons and introduced me to various people within the industry who they knew would be helpful. One very important introduction which made this research complete was an introduction to Mr. Don McLeod, senior Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co. Ltd., which would have not come about had I not have been invited to this luncheon by Don Millerd. Since our first meeting in 2000 Don McLeod has been following the progress of my research. In 2013 I received a call from Don McLeod and he said “Mickey I have opened up the company’s vault, and I have found information which will be useful for your research.” He went onto say “You need to get over here as soon as possible.” Within a couple of weeks I made my way to the Canadian Fishing Co. located at the Foot of Gore Avenue in Vancouver. When Don took me into the company’s board room I was overwhelmed to see a variety of documents, including countless Todd’s minute books laid out on a table. The access to materials such as the minute books, previously not open to the public, completed the research. This would not have been possible without Don’s generosity and belief in what I was doing. It may be a small point, but almost immediately upon meeting Don, a special bond was established, and I believe it is because both Don and I were born in Prince Rupert. Connections such as with Don McLeod as I have shown do not occur over night, and to establish such relationships takes time and perseverance to build.

Although luck played a ‘role’ in my success as a researcher it was helped along by a method that I used throughout the years. Whenever I met strangers I would mention that I was doing research on the BC commercial fishing industry, and occasionally this led to introduction to key people or important information that I was able to incorporate in my research. One such example occurred when one of my vehicles needed repairs and during a conversation with the shop’s owner I mentioned that was doing research on the fishing industry. This conversation eventually resulted in an introduction to his brother, Richard, who was the senior Vice President of BC Packers Ltd. Since that introduction back in 2000 Richard I have become friends, and he has been very supportive of my work, providing me with many answers to questions. My good luck continued as when I informed the owner of the radiator shop that the focus of my research was on J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. he happened to know Mr. Jack Todd and gave me Jack’s telephone number. I gave Jack a call back in 2000 and he invited me to his home. Jack and I hit it off; he
believed in my research, and gave me invaluable family albums which dealt with his family’s fishing business. Over the years until his death in 2009 I visited Jack’s home multiple times.

Jack also put me in contact his cousin Derek Todd who was also involved with J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. during its final years. After meeting with Derek and gaining his trust and support over the years, and after multiple luncheons and dinners, Derek introduced me to his friend, Dick Pollock, a former Todd & Sons’ accountant, and the Todd firm’s last employee. Since that first introduction Dick and I have become friends as well, and Dick has also been very supportive of my research. It is bizarre how these events worked out, but enthusiasm, hard work, perseverance, and determination, at least in my case play a role in controlling one’s luck or destiny. One thing I learned as a researcher is that if an opportunity arises you cannot hesitate, and that you must grasp it or it might never materialize again.
2. HISTORY OF THE BRITISH COLUMBIA SALMON CANNING INDUSTRY

The waters off of British Columbia have an abundance of five species of Pacific salmon. Until just after the turn of the twentieth century, sockeye was the primary salmon canned by processors. With the 1913 Hell’s Gate slide sockeye numbers plummeted and processors were forced to turn their attention to the other species. Sockeye salmon live four to six years, and weigh between four to seven pounds. 25 Sockeye has the highest oil content and the second highest fat content of all species. 26 The Pink salmon is the smallest of all the species, and weigh between four or five pounds, although some can reach ten pounds. 27 Pinks are only used for canning 28 and have a lifespan of only two years. 29 Chum salmon live between three to five years, and weigh between ten and fourteen pounds, however they have been known to reach as much as thirty-three pounds. 30 During the time the Todd family operated their fish packing business, chum salmon were only used for canning. 31 Coho live usually live three years and weigh between three to fifteen pounds 32 and has a good red meat colour and good fat content. 33 Coho are canned, smoked, and sold on the fresh and frozen market. The chinook or spring salmon live between three to seven years, and they are the largest and fattest of all salmon. 34 Spring salmon average about thirty to forty pounds, however there are records of some springs weighing over one hundred pounds. 35 This salmon is sold on the fresh and frozen market, and small amounts are canned. 36 The largest spring due to their size, fat and oil content are processed as smoked salmon as well. 37 Due to a number of uncontrollable and unpredictable variables one could never predict with certainty the returning numbers of salmon. For instance, during years of hot weather and drought, salmon mortality rises significantly as the low waters impede the salmon from successfully reaching their spawning beds. High flooding during the fall also occasionally results in a ‘washout’ (a disruption of the spawning beds) which can also significantly impact the numbers of returning salmon.

In British Columbia salmon has played an integral role in the lives of First
Nations. Much of coastal First Nation’s diet is centered around the salmon. Without the abundance of salmon many First Nations groups would not have been able to develop highly complex and artistic cultures. First Nations had developed sophisticated methods of catching many varieties of fish including salmon and therefore, when Europeans arrived in BC they often sought their expertise in obtaining fish. First Nations groups in the Skeena and Fraser River regions “were the exclusive suppliers of salmon to the Hudson’s Bay Company who had a monopoly in what was then a significant trade in dried and salted salmon.”

With the abundance of salmon in BC it is not surprising that the Hudson’s Bay Company saw an economic opportunity and developed one of the region’s earliest industries. In 1829, the Hudson’s Bay Company initiated the BC commercial fishing industry, exporting barrels of salted salmon to Hawaii. An 1891 edition of *Victoria Illustrated* offers an explanation as to why Hawaii (Sandwich Islands) was the market for this trade:

*The Sandwich Islands were then the principal market for the salmon caught in British Columbia waters. The fish were pickled, and then used to provision the whalers, which made those Islands their rendezvous. There being no cattle on either the islands or the coast of the Pacific, the pickled salmon were made to do duty for salt beef.*

It would be another 40 years before BC canners made the decision to switch from barrels to tins. The canning of food dates from 1795, when Frenchman Nicholas Appert processed food in glass containers and won a contest sponsored by general Napoleon Bonaparte. Bonaparte realized that military success required a well-fed army, and a method to get food to his troops, and thus sponsored this contest. In 1808, Englishman Humphrey Davey further improved upon Appert’s process by adding calcium chloride to the water, which raised its boiling temperature to 240 degrees Fahrenheit, thereby ensuring sterilization and making the canning process much safer. According to Richard Gregory:

*The invention of the “canning” process allowed the fishing industry to process (stabilize) larger and larger quantities fish very quickly. The “can” is the ULTIMATE container because once the salmon is in the can all it needs is a label and it can be shipped anywhere in the world and delivered one (1) can at a time to the consumer without any further processing / handling constraints other than to*

---

39 *Victoria Illustrated* (Victoria: Ellis & Co., 1891), 44.  
keep the can dry so it won’t rust….41

T. Halliday canned the first Atlantic salmon in North America in 1839 at his cannery in Saint John, New Brunswick.42 The first person to recognize a potential canning industry in British Columbia was Dr. Matthew Macfie who spent five years on Vancouver Island. In 1865 he published a book dealing with BC’s resources, noting prospects for a future canning industry:

*The prices current of Melbourne show the cost of imported salmon preserved in lb tins to be 1s.6d to 1s8d per lb (wholesale). To a large firm going into the business of catching and exporting salmon in our part of the world, the cost stock would simply consist of the labour of fishing. Yet no house of importance has yet embarked in that lucrative enterprise. At certain times the canons (or gorges) of the rivers are so crowded with salmon, that the navigation of canoes is virtually impeded.*43

The first tins of BC salmon were processed in 1867 by Scot J. Symes out of a former salmon curing facility in Annieville, near New Westminster. He purchased this facility from A. Inglefield in 1865.44 Although Symes is generally not recognized as having run a commercial canning operation, he must be credited for introducing BC canned salmon to the world. This fact is supported by The *Victoria Colonist* which wrote on January 15, 1869: “We were favoured with a can of Mr. James Symes’ fresh salmon…samples sent were superior to anything received in that market.”45 Why Symes decided to try his hand at canning salmon is a mystery. What is known is that due to financial reasons he was eventually forced to close his canning efforts in 1869.46

The canning of salmon on an industrial level began in 1870 at Annieville on the Fraser River.47 This operation was headed by a Scot, Alexander Ewen with three other partners. Ewen, prior to arriving in Victoria in 186448 had salmon trap fishing experience back in Burghead, Scotland. His expertise in the fishing industry is revealed by the following recommendation letter from his boss, John Geils, dated November 10th, 1863:

*The Bearer Alexander Ewen Salmon fisher has been in my employment for the last six years in the Capacity of overseer over part of my fishings,...he is thourough*
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master of his profession in all its Branches....I have every confidence in recommending him as a fit and proper person to undertake the management of Salmon fishing in a considerable extent.  

Alexander travelled to the mainland where he ran a fish curing facility located in New Westminster. The cannery at Annieville was a rudimentary wooden structure built over pilings. Because the science of canning of salmon was not well understood at this time, the cannery windows and doors were kept closed on the assumption that a draft might spoil the fish. In its first year Ewen and his partners packed 300 cases of one-pound squats (cans), the first sold to the British market.

In the first nine years of the BC industry all salmon canneries were located on or near the Fraser River in order to take advantage of the abundant salmon runs. For the first few years of the BC salmon canning industry canners followed the lead of Columbia canners and exclusively processed spring salmon, as overseas European markets were not particularly fond of the sockeye salmon’s dark red colour. It is likely that the European buyers would have been more accustomed to a lighter coloured salmon arriving from the earlier U.S. market. However, the buyers in these markets soon realized that this was just the natural, and desirable, colour of the Fraser River sockeye. Additionally, these fish had a higher oil content, which contributes to the flavour of the fish, and they subsequently opened their markets to sockeye canned salmon.

Due to abundant runs of sockeye salmon in northern BC, a second phase of salmon cannery expansion occurred in 1876, with the construction of Inverness Cannery near the mouth of the Skeena River. The third phase of cannery expansion began in 1882 in the Rivers Inlet region when Rivers Inlet Cannery was built. At that time canneries were strategically located to take advantage of the major salmon runs in British Columbia.

Between 1870 and today, well over 200 canneries processed fish up and down the BC coast. Historically a cannery had two roles: first “to handle and process raw fish in accordance with the market requirements; second, to provide the necessary services to the
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fishermen from one end of the coast to the other.”

Throughout the history of the BC commercial salmon canning industry a number of cannery operations on the coast were family owned and run businesses. Some of the more notable families during the period of this study, 1881 to 1954 include: the Bell-Irvings (ABC Packing Co. Ltd. 1891 – 1969), the Macmillans (Cassiar Packing Co. 1903-1983), and the Millerds (Involved since 1909 initially as canners and today in other processing areas of the industry). Among these family owned and operated fish packing companies, J.H. Todd and family members stand out as leaders in the industry.

The canning industry since its inception has always being an extremely risky and competitive business, and this is backed by the fact that in the 40 years between 1896 and 1936, 73 companies had tried to make a go of it and had failed. B. Payne, a former Canadian Fish Co. upper level employee, and Managing Director of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. after it was bought by BC Packers and the Canadian Fish Co. added “In the fish business it is difficult to make profits at any time.” In order to succeed in this industry, a canner needed to have the necessary capital to build a cannery and to do the annual repairs needed to keep it in top shape. Additional key challenges included: labour supply, constant need for technological innovations, changing regulations and market variability.

Perhaps most important, one could never predict with any certainty the numbers of returning salmon from year to year. In some years it was not economically viable to operate specific canneries. This was particularly true after the devastating 1913 Fraser River Hell’s Gate slide, was precipitated by the Canadian Northern Pacific Railway’s blasting efforts. What made this slide particularly devastating was it happened during the fourth year of the Fraser’s peak sockeye runs when the runs are their strongest. After this slide the sockeye numbers plummeted dramatically; the slide forced canners to switch from strictly packing sockeye to other species of salmon. It took over thirty-three years before fish ways were constructed in 1946, finally permitting salmon to pass this part of the river. One advantage of this move is that the canning of other varieties of salmon extended the length of the canning season. One source estimated that between 1913 and 1946 15,000,000 cases of sockeye worth $180,000,000 had been lost. The unpredictability of returning salmon stocks also added to a canner’s capital costs as he could not predict with certainty how many fish they were going to can, and subsequently,
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how much tin plate (in the early days) or number of cans to order.

Fish stocks were also impacted by a variety of other environmental issues. These include low rainfall levels, which could affect the numbers of fish being able to return to spawn in a river (and limit a canner’s intake of fish years later thus limiting their profits) and problematic logging practices which have the potential to damage fish creeks and the ecosystem. The scourge which every canner feared was fire, countless canneries falling victim to fire. The Todds and their fish plants were not immune, two notable twentieth century fires damaging their Richmond and Inverness canneries.

Strategically, it was important for a canner to build his cannery near a river (s) which had strong runs of salmon. A reliable source of fresh water was crucial for every canner, in order to clean and wash fish, and operate a cannery’s boilers. Securing a labour force was absolutely crucial to a cannery’s success as every phase of operation was reliant on manual labour, and because of this many canners often built their canneries near First Nation’s reserves or villages. The Todd’s Klemtu, and Empire canneries were such examples. A canner also needed a sufficient number of fishermen to supply his plant. Other canners were constantly attempting to ‘lure’ fishermen to come and fish for them, and thus it was imperative to retain good fishermen, especially ‘high liners’ (top producing fishermen). During this period a cannery required between 60-70 gillnetters to supply it.63

Since the start of the BC commercial salmon canning industry in 1870 salmon have been harvested by three methods: gillnetters, trollers, and seiners. Gillnetters generally fish at the mouths of rivers and inlets, and they fish for all salmon species. Gillnetters were very important to canners, supplying much of the product that they processed. The earliest gillnetters were 16 to 18 foot double ended skiffs, usually manned by two men, a net puller and an oarsman. Until the advent of powered driven boats in the early 1900s, gillnet boats were oar and sail powered. Gillnetters fish with a 1200 foot net resembling a fence with corks at the top, and a lead line at the bottom to keep the mesh stretched to catch salmon. When a salmon swims into the net its gills are caught in the mesh and it drowns. In the 1930s a Sointula fisherman had developed the power drum, allowing fishermen to make more sets and catch more fish which meant added pressure on BC salmon stocks.64 Gillnetters by the late 1940s had increased in length from 28-30 feet to 36-38 feet.65

Trolling for salmon has a long history dating back to when Native fishermen trolled with lures behind their canoes. A troller ranges in length from around 30 to 40
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feet, and is usually manned by one or two men. The troller fishes by dropping down two long poles on either side of its mast, including a number of lines with lures trolling behind. Trollers target salmon such as coho and spring salmon. Because the fish are in pristine shape, unlike gillnet caught fish with net marks, they are usually sold on the fresh fish market for top dollar prices.

The most effective method of harvesting salmon is by purse seining. Seiners are considerably larger than gillnetters or trollers, during the Todd era ranging between 50 to 70 feet in length. Seiners encircle fish with a large net, using a secondary skiff to bring the two ends of the net together in order to trap the fish. When the fish are encircled, a string at the bottom of the net is drawn shut, closing the bottom and trapping the salmon. With the invention of the power block in the 1950s,66 seine boats were able to make more sets and catch significantly more fish. An example highlighting how efficient the seine fleet had become is backed up by the fact that by the 1950s a few hundred seine boats caught as much as 5,000 gillnetters.67 By the time the Todd's left the industry, larger boats with diesel engines, improved technology such as sonar and mechanized drums significantly increased the annual catch. This has led to significant conservations issues that continue to present time.

Getting sufficient labourers to the remote cannery locations was also an issue. An example highlighting just how desperate canners could be for labourers occurred in northwestern BC in 1936, when a major flood stranded First Nations workers, preventing them from reaching canneries located on the lower part of the Skeena River. This situation caused panic amongst the canners in the area until they were able to arrange for an alternate route to get their workers to their plants.68 Early canners also relied on Chinese labour to make their tins, butcher their fish, and until World War II arranging with Chinese contractors to bring them to work in their canneries.69

Labour supply was frequently interrupted by strikes. British Columbia’s first strike action, in 1881 took place in two Fraser River canneries, and was headed successfully by disgruntled Chinese workers.70 Salmon canners in 1888, in an effort to assert their place in the industry, organized themselves into the B. C. Fisheries Association.71 This significant move foreshadows future labour tensions that would arise throughout the history of the industry.
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Throughout the history of the salmon canning industry shore workers from a variety of ethnic groups have played an important role in the success of the canning industry. The ethnic makeup of four ethnic shore worker groups employed at the Windsor Cannery on the Skeena River in 1891 highlights these differences. In late March Chinese men made cans, and Native women hung and repaired gill nets. Japanese shipwrights made and repaired skiffs. Once canning began Chinese butchered the fish, and Native women put the fish into the cans. The cans were cooked, labeled and put into wooden boxes by Chinese. Europeans managed the cannery. This cannery had a crew of approximately 100 (Figure 2). Why the division of labour fell along these lines is likely a complex mix of pre-existing skill sets, interracial prejudice, language issues as well as chosen and enforced segregation. It is no wonder that efforts to unionize in this diverse, seasonal, and racially-mixed industry especially with vast language and cultural differences, took so long. One other barrier which hampered unionization efforts within shore workers groups, and which is not often discussed, is attributed to the cannery layout itself. As explained by Harvey Taylor, who worked in canneries for over forty years, and whose father was a foreman at Cassiar Cannery on the Skeena River, “At Cassiar Cannery the Indians had their living quarters, the Chinese their bunk houses, the Japanese their living quarters, and the white management their separate living quarters.” Harvey added “Due to this layout there was not a lot of intermingling between the people that worked at the cannery.”

In 1893 Fraser River gillnetters struck due to low prices being paid for sockeye salmon (they demanded 10 cents a fish). The strike was short lived and in the end, the fishermen did not get what they wanted. However, this strike was historically important as it established trade unionism in the B. C. fishing industry; and the fishermen formed the fishing industry’s first organization called The Fraser River Fishermen’s Protective and Benevolent Association of British Columbia in order to fight for their rights. From 1893 onwards the canners and the fishermen fought over the price of fish, and the fishermen’s weapon of choice was to strike.
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Salmon are brought from the fishing grounds to the cannery by a packer. They are brought inside the cannery where they are sorted out by species. Next they are stored temporarily in bins filled with ice and water. Then they are put through the “iron chink” where their heads, tails, fins and innards are removed. The fish are moved to the “washing/slimming tables” where they are washed, and inspected for any irregularities. The fish are sent through the “filling-machines” which automatically puts the fish into the empty cans. A small amount of salt is added to each can by the salter. The cans are then weighed by automatic weighing-machine and if any cans are under-weight patchers will add a sufficient amount of fish to bring the can up to its proper weight. The cans then pass through the “clincher” which puts a lid on the cans. The cans next proceed through the “vacuum-closing machine” where they are hermetically sealed under vacuum. The cans are properly sealed. The cans are placed on trays and sent into “retorts” (huge pressure cookers) where they are cooked at 249 degrees Fahrenheit for approximately 90 minutes depending on can size. The cooked cans are checked for any leaks, cooled, labeled, and are shipped to market.


Figure 2: The Salmon Canning Process

Since 1893 there have been countless, short-lived attempts, primarily by fishermen, to organize themselves into unions against the salmon processors. Between
1893 and 1945 well over twenty different union groups existed. There are a number of factors which hampered efforts to unionize fishermen, both historically and in contemporary labour relations. The first factor is the tension, and frequently animosity, that exists between the different fishing groups gillnetters, trolls, and seiners which are competing for the same limited resource. The second factor, involved the diversity of ethnic groups that fished for a living including, First Nations, Scandinavians, Croats, and Japanese to name a few. An additional barrier to the unionization of the various fishing groups is the issue of trust, or lack thereof. My brother, Terry a Prince Rupert gillnetter explains: “Gillnetters, and ‘company owned’ seiners have issues working together as we compete for the same resource and therefore some animosity exists between us.”

A tremendous amount of racial tension existed during these years, very evident during the 1900 and 1901 strikes on the Fraser River. These two strikes, where white and native fishermen clashed with Japanese fishermen, were classified as being “the most violent of the numerous disputes in the entire history of the fishing industry.” By that time the Japanese held 1,958 licenses out of a total of 4,722, and other fishermen feared this competition. After the brutal strike of 1900, Japanese set up the Japanese Association to look after their fishermen. In isolated communities such as Steveston, and Port Essington, where large numbers of Japanese lived and worked, independent Japanese fishermen organizations appeared in the early 1920s. With about 1,500 members the Steveston Fishermen’s Association was formed in Steveston, and in northern BC, the Skeena Fishermen’s Association with about 2,200 Japanese members was established.

First Nations groups soon followed suit, and in 1922 the Native Fishermen’s Association formed. From the hardships of the Great Depression emerged the Native Brotherhood in 1931. This organization, “spoke on all questions confronting Native people.” Although the genesis of Native Brotherhood arises primarily from the fishing industry, it must be noted that it is not a union, but a registered society which is devoted to improving the welfare of its people. The Fisheries Association of British Columbia
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referred to the Native Brotherhood in a 1954 brief in the following manner: “... their representatives are respected by the companies and agreements are made with them similar to those made with the United Fishermen and Allied Workers’ Union.”

Due to conflicting interests, as well a variety of social tensions, cannery shore workers never organized themselves into a union between 1893 and 1945. This is not surprising given the massive organizational challenge of bringing the wide range of distinct racial identities that existed within the plants which only operated seasonally. In many ways, it is remarkable that any organization was possible at all. The only outstanding example of solidarity occurred in August 1913, when a one-day strike took place, the shore workers joining the fishermen in their fight to receive a better price for their salmon. However, it was not until 1945 that all interested parties (including fishermen, shore workers etc.) finally agreed to be members of one union, the United Fishermen and Allied Workers’ union. By 1954 the union had an approximate membership of 8,000 – 4,000 fishermen the remaining balance being made up of shore workers and tendermen. The idea of “an industrial union had become the reality.”

In 1867 Canada’s fisheries were placed under the federal government’s control by the authority of the BNA act. When BC entered confederation in 1871 the province agreed to Dominion jurisdiction over the ocean and its resources, which of course included salmon. The federal government kept salmon as a common property but defined who was allowed to compete for it, and the conditions under which they could compete.

Six years after BC’s commercial fishing and salmon canning industry had begun on May 8, 1876, the Canadian government extended the Fisheries Act to BC. Between 1877 and 1911 five individuals headed the federal government’s fisheries department in BC beginning with A. C. Anderson (1877-1882) and ending with C.B. Sword (1900-1911). During this period, the fisheries department was significantly under staffed, and not very effective. In one particular area, only two fishery officers, in rowboats patrolled a region of 110 kilometers.
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Until the late 1870s, processors and their gillnet fleets ignored public complaints regarding the amounts of dead fish that were being thrown back, the discharge of offal (fish waste – head & guts) and the numbers of fish that were being caught. Eventually processors realized that these complaints would catch the federal government’s attention and in 1882, canners voluntarily limited cannery and boat numbers. Realization that overfishing was depleting fish stocks, canners appealed to Ottawa to construct hatcheries in an effort to increase salmon numbers. In 1884 the Bon Accord hatchery near New Westminster opened. BC had eight hatcheries in operation by 1910. A royal commission in 1922 revealed that hatcheries were not beneficial for salmon stocks, and by 1937 BC’s fourteen hatcheries were closed.

In 1901 BC established its own fisheries department headed by J. P. Babcock. Babcock, an American authority who had spent time with the US Bureau of Fisheries, was very much interested in salmon conservation and supported the installation of hatcheries. From 1874 until 1929 there was continual bickering between British Columbia and Ottawa over who controlled the licensing of canneries, and fishing rights but neither government imposed many restrictions. An example of such squabbling occurred in 1908, when BC established its own ‘Canneries Revenue Act’, which gave it the right to control the licensing of canneries and boats, and of course the revenue that was brought in. Prior to 1908 anyone could obtain a canny license. Finally the issue of who controlled what was decided in 1913 when the Privy Council ruled that the federal government ultimately had control in these matters. From 1918 onwards all restrictions on cannery licensing was lifted, and canners were to ‘voluntarily’ control the number of boats they used. This was not effective as more canneries were built, and more boats needed. Further reorganization occurred when Ottawa created a singular
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and separate Department of Fisheries in 1930.\textsuperscript{113} Prior to 1930 fisheries was under the jurisdiction of the Department of Marine and Fisheries.\textsuperscript{114} During the 1930s Dominion fishery officers struggled to regulate fishing along the coast. The main methods of control of the fishery were fishing areas, judgemental use of boundaries and fishing closures.\textsuperscript{115} Their mandate included patrolling and clearing creeks and rivers as well as monitoring runs and spawning areas.\textsuperscript{116}

The Department of Fisheries struggled with the issue of leadership turnover, until 1952 when James Sinclair became head of Fisheries. Not content just to sit in Ottawa, he came out to the coast, went out on fishing boats, and tackled issues head on.\textsuperscript{117} His tenure lasted until 1957, and during that time he earned a reputation as a dynamic and well respected minister.\textsuperscript{118} Sinclair had the foresight to appoint A. J. Whitmore to head of federal fisheries operations in BC.\textsuperscript{119} One of his first policies was to hire sixty Fishery Officers to set up a close monitoring and control system.\textsuperscript{120} Soon, Whitmore gained the respect of all in the industry. For instance, at one meeting between fishermen and processors, he announced “I am the only man here who’s paid to look after the fish.”\textsuperscript{121} During his time in BC he earned the title “architect of modern salmon management.”\textsuperscript{122} The dynamic leadership during this time represents a high point in the history of BC fisheries resource management. Not only were they effectively managing policies but their hands-on approach to leadership gained Sinclair and Whitmore respect and acclaim throughout the industry.

Until 1912 BC canneries used very little automation, with most operations being manually performed. Some of the more notable technological innovations in the industry included steam retorts in 1877 which sped up the cooking process and reduced spoilage due to faulty cooking,\textsuperscript{123} and the sanitary can in 1912 which made the canning process much safer as airtight cans were less susceptible to contamination.\textsuperscript{124} However, the machine which was to have the greatest impact on the industry was the “Iron Chink”,

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{113} Lyons, \textit{Salmon}, 395.
  \item \textsuperscript{114} Ibid., 161.
  \item \textsuperscript{115} Gough, \textit{Managing Canada’s Fisheries}, 210
  \item \textsuperscript{116} Ibid., 210.
  \item \textsuperscript{117} Ibid., 223.
  \item \textsuperscript{118} Ibid., 223.
  \item \textsuperscript{119} Ibid., 281.
  \item \textsuperscript{120} Ibid., 281.
  \item \textsuperscript{121} Ibid., 281.
  \item \textsuperscript{122} Ibid., 281.
  \item \textsuperscript{123} Duncan, \textit{Sockeye & Tinplate}, 4-5.
\end{itemize}
patented by Canadian E. A. Smith in 1905. This machine revolutionized the North American canning industry as it allowed the canning of fish on levels that were not possible prior to its invention. The Iron Chink was able to head, tail, and de-fin 60 to 75 salmon a minute. One Iron Chink replaced a Chinese crew of 30. The impact of the Iron Chink, the model K version, is still fresh in Dick Pollock’s memory some 60 plus years later; Pollock worked as a Todd accountant at Inverness Cannery on the Skeena River in the 1940s. Pollock pointed out that the Iron Chink actually used more of the salmon than could be achieved by hand butchering. R. Gregory explains the importance of this:

Cost of fish is the number one cost for a company. If you have 100 lbs, a packer is hoping to recover 75 lbs out of the 100 lbs per case of canned salmon. What this means is that after a fish is butchered, gone through an Iron Butcher...a canner is hoping to recover 75 to 80 lbs of fish. If it goes below 75 lbs of fish then this is trouble for the canner. This is crucial for any canner!

A 1949 Todd board internal memo noted the financial benefits of a leased “Iron Chink” from the Smith Cannery Machines Co. even with its steep rental charge:

Statement was given to the Board, showing the saving in fish per case by using the rented type of iron chink at Inverness. In Sockeye this showed a saving in cost per case of $2.43. The Board was most interested in these figures and thought possibly it might be advisable to rent another of these machines, which cost in the neighbourhood of $3,000.00 per year,...

By 1945, there were also notable technological advances within the fishing fleet. Two of the most important were faster and stronger diesel engines and brine refrigeration. This meant the fleet could travel faster and farther away, and keep their catch in pristine shape. Fishing boats were much larger and therefore, could pack much larger loads. These technological advances helped to “facilitate the shifting of the salmon-packing industry to a small number of integrated, centrally located plants.” In order to keep up with their competitors in the 1940s the Todds built several larger and faster vessels, including the C.F. Todd, a 68’ packer, equipped with a 135 h.p. Atlas diesel engine, and
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the *Invercan 4*, a 57' combination seiner and halibut boat equipped with a 75 h.p. diesel engine which enabled the vessel to reach speeds of 10 knots. In 1941 the Todds converted two former canneries, Beaver and Provincial into fish camps, and consolidated their processing efforts at their newly renovated Klemtu plant.

The problematic nature of the fishing industry requires major fixed investments in the form of canneries and fish boats, but frequently insecure and variable returns. In order to survive this unstable relationship between risk and reward, amalgamation has frequently been a strategy employed among fishing companies. From 1884 until the 1950s there were roughly five major amalgamations. The first amalgamation involved Victoria businessman, R. P. Rithet, and his partner T. E. Ladner, when they established the Victoria Canning Co. Ltd. in 1884 with interests in nine canneries from Friday Harbour on San Juan Island to the Nass River. The establishment of ABC Packing Co. Ltd. in 1891, by Scottish businessman, Henry Bell-Irving was the industry’s first truly notable amalgamation. This nine-cannery syndicate controlled “70 per cent of the Fraser production, making ABC the largest salmon producer in the world.” The third, and largest amalgamation to that point, occurred in 1902 when the British Columbia Packers Association absorbed twenty-nine canneries, twenty-two firms, including the Victoria Canning Co. – the product of the original amalgamation – and in its first year controlled 50 percent of the Fraser River sockeye pack. The same company led another major amalgamation in 1928, taking over forty-two canneries, their cold storage plants, shipyards, meal and oil plants, boats etc., establishing itself as the dominant player in the industry – from this point forward this firm was called BC Packers Limited. The Canadian Fishing Co. asserted its position as one of the top salmon canners between 1923 and 1926, acquiring twelve canneries. The most recent of these amalgamations happened in 1999 when the majority of operating assets of BC Packers and Nelbro Packing Co were purchased by the Canadian Fishing Company and its U. S. subsidiary. R. Gregory, senior vice president of B. C. Packers Ltd. at the time of its end in 1999 presents a rare perspective on this event.

*We knew that there wasn’t enough fish for the four processors, and that someone was going to go, but I never thought it was going to be BC Packers and its predecessor companies have always been the survivor of consolidation in the*
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What happens is the world markets change as resources change. As the economic times changed you saw companies come and go.\textsuperscript{141}

Today the only major player left is the Canadian Fishing Co. a division of Jim Pattison Enterprises Ltd.

The greatest worry and stress for all canners was the marketing of their pack. Often markets were quite unpredictable. The usual canning markets during this time period were primarily England, other parts of Europe and domestic markets. With the start of World War I came a demand for large amounts of BC canned salmon, especially after the British military added canned salmon as one of its rations for its soldiers.\textsuperscript{142} Additionally, new markets for pink and chum salmon opened up in Europe, and the southern United States.\textsuperscript{143} All, however was not completely ‘rosy’ for canners during this time as they loss crucial labour, including office staff, and fishermen to the war effort\textsuperscript{144} Second, essential materials such as imported nets increased in price 50-60 percent as did the price of tin used to make cans, which resulted in additional pack production costs.\textsuperscript{145} Still the canning business must have been a profitable one during World War I, the number of canneries peaking in 1917 when 84 facilities processed fish.\textsuperscript{146}

The decade of the 1920s brought with it a number of difficulties which challenged all involved in the BC fishing industry, including fish packing companies. Issues such as depressed overseas markets, and disappointing runs, instigated both the industry’s largest amalgamation to that point in time and two industry-wide recessions. In 1920 the collapse of the Continental Europe Pink and Chum market, along with a terrible rate of foreign exchange, meant that canners, especially smaller processors, had issues marketing their pack.\textsuperscript{147} Northern BC Fisheries Ltd. was one casualty of a recession that persisted into 1923.\textsuperscript{148} However for a few in the industry the mid-1920s was a time of expansion, for example, between 1923 and 1926 the Canadian Fishing Co. Ltd. purchased 12 canneries.\textsuperscript{149} BC processors, who had rebuilt their European Pink market, were dealt another devastating blow in 1927, when the Pinks did not return in expected numbers which amounted to another major recession.\textsuperscript{150} These trying times called for desperate measures and processors such as Gosse Packing Co. Ltd., Millerd Packing Co. Ltd. and
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BC Fishing & Packing Co. Ltd. were absorbed by BC Packers Ltd., in 1928.\textsuperscript{151}

The economic challenges of the Great Depression brought the ruin of many a fish packer. The decline in markets, beginning in 1930 led to staggering losses for canneries. Some canned salmon from 1930 was unsold for four years.\textsuperscript{152} Every major processor, but one suffered a crisis.\textsuperscript{153} Even the industry’s dominant, and most powerful canner, BC Packers Ltd. came very close to going broke in the early 1930s.\textsuperscript{154} The only major canner to survive the Great Depression virtually unscathed was J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.\textsuperscript{155} B. Payne, one time managing director of J.H. Todd & Sons, explains that they were able to thrive while others floundered because during the 1930s the Todd's did not expand operations, unlike other canners.\textsuperscript{156} As a result of retained earnings the Todd family kept its wealth.\textsuperscript{157}

For BC salmon canners, World War II was for the most part a time of economic prosperity. First, canners had an assurance of unlimited markets such as the United Kingdom and Canada with Japanese and Siberian competition eliminated. Second, war time legislation fixed prices, thereby guaranteeing profits and third, salmon runs were strong to the point that the largest pack in the industry’s history was produced in 1941.\textsuperscript{158} This is supported by J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’ president, W. C. Todd who noted on March 25\textsuperscript{th} 1942:

\textit{For the first time since we started the Cannery business, as far as the writer is aware, we have not a tin of Salmon at any of our Canneries. The reason for this being, the Government took so much Canned Salmon for England last year, and as they are going to take all the Canned Salmon which we pack in Season 1942, we decided there was no use holding Canned Salmon for Canadian Customers.}\textsuperscript{159}

A concerned W. C. Todd, in the same memo, wondered about his company’s salmon pack going strictly to the overseas market when he states, “Time alone will tell what the result will be, our labels being off the Canadian market this time for how long a period no one can tell.”\textsuperscript{160}

The post war years brought a number of challenges to the BC fishing industry.
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With the lifting of the wartime embargo on the exports of raw product competition for fish increased and sometimes American processors outbid BC processors. Second, the US placed a 25 percent duty on BC canned salmon, constricting the American market. Then in 1947 and 1948, the British canned salmon market collapsed, shutting off a crucial overseas market. Having ignored the domestic market during the war years, canners now began an advertising campaign to get the Canadian public to consume their product, launching a ‘no name’ campaign stating that canned salmon was nutritious, versatile and cheap.\(^{161}\) By the end of the decade canned salmon still dominated overseas and domestic markets, however, fresh and frozen salmon sales had increased.\(^{162}\)

Canned salmon is subject to many factors that influence its supply and demand. Since its inception in 1870, the BC canned salmon industry has been burdened with a number of uncontrollable changes, including two world wars and a series of world-wide depressions. The two twentieth century world wars fueled demand for BC canned salmon to feed the Allied troops, however these events also took a heavy toll on the world’s former dominant imperial power and primary market for BC’s canned salmon, Great Britain. As a result of the considerable financial stresses incurred due to World War II, “BC salmon became a “luxury” item in Britain by 1948.”\(^{163}\) The collapse of this traditional market, always dependable since the inception of the BC salmon canning industry, ultimately caused the ruination of a number of BC fish packers.

Currently twenty one former and current canneries exist in one form or another. Today only three canneries still can salmon: the world’s largest salmon cannery in Prince Rupert, a family-run micro cannery located in Surrey, and a substantial family-owned cannery/custom processor which primarily processes sports caught fish situated in Nanaimo. Six former canneries now operate as custom processors. All that remains of the once Prince Rupert Fishermen’s Co-op plant, at one time the second largest fish processing facility in BC\(^{164}\) is a reduction plant (processes fish meal, fish fertilizer and oil from fish and fish offal), and some pilings. Two former iconic canneries now function primarily as storage facilities. Three canneries are slowly being reclaimed by the coastal rainforest. One cannery in Bella Coola now operates as a sight-seeing destination, another is owned by a group of fire fighters, and a former ABC Packing Co. Ltd.’s cannery is unique since 1970 it has operated as a world class sports fishing facility.\(^{165}\) The last active cannery on the Skeena River is now owned by a young couple who supplement their income by renting out former employee living quarters. Three canneries now earn their

\(^{161}\) Gough, *Managing Canada’s Fisheries*, 278.

\(^{162}\) Ibid.

\(^{163}\) McGillivray, *Geography of British Columbia*, 129.


keep as National Historic sites, and in order to supplement revenue have hosted events such as Antiques Roadshows, weddings, and have been used as backdrops for movies.

The majority of the canneries that once dotted the BC coast have either been dismantled or they have been left to return to nature, and all that one can see of many of these once impressive facilities is the odd piling or rusty boiler. With passing years soon all that will remain are fading memories of days gone by. Mr. Ken Olding who worked forty-two years in the fishing industry in varying capacities, lamented the state of the industry today “… and now it is all wiped out! What a shame!”

166 Ken Olding, personal interview, 2 February 2014.
3. EVOLUTION OF J.H. TODD & SONS LTD.

The narrative of J.H. Todd, and his family’s involvement in the BC salmon canning industry is a classic “Rags to Riches” story. When J.H. Todd came west in 1862 he was not a wealthy man, but through hard work, determination, and perseverance he and his son Charlie were able to build a fishing empire that lasted for seventy-three years. The reasons why the Todds were able to survive for as long as they did in this highly risky and unpredictable industry are complex. Realizing that it was a mistake to focus only on the fishing business, they quickly diversified their business interests into wholesale and retail sales, real estate, and the stock market. This diversification allowed them to accumulate the necessary capital to survive the lean and difficult times of the fishing industry. Their leadership and business savvy elevated their fishing company, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. into one of the top fish processing companies in BC by the start of World War II. Eventually though, a convergence of issues starting in the early 1940s and continuing into the early 1950s, finally forced the family to close the curtain on their business and step off the stage of the BC fishing industry.

John Todd, originally from Omagh, Ireland, brought his family to Eastern Canada in 1820 and found employment as a butler.\textsuperscript{167} His son, Jacob Hunter Todd, the founder of the canning firm, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., was born in Brampton, Ontario in 1827. Jacob’s entrepreneurial roots can be traced to his youth, when he sold sewing machines.\textsuperscript{168} Due to Ontario’s poor economy and because “he had heard of the wonderful opportunities...in the western part of the continent,”\textsuperscript{169} Jacob headed west to Victoria in 1862. He partnered with respected Victoria businessman, J.H. Turner, and opened a wholesale grocery store, Todd, Turner & Co.\textsuperscript{170} In 1863 Jacob, sensing a golden opportunity to strike it rich, headed up to Barkerville where he opened another successful store, J.H. Todd & Co., which sold a variety of goods to miners and mining communities throughout the Cariboo area.\textsuperscript{171} This store burnt down in 1868; Jacob rebuilt it, and continued to operate it until 1874, when due to a declining Cariboo gold rush he sold the store.\textsuperscript{172}

In the mining business it was not unusual for miners to incur debt at a store, putting up one of their claims in payment. One such claim at Lightning Creek made Jacob a great deal of money.\textsuperscript{173} In 1879, Jacob became secretary of the Pioneer Quartz Mill and Mining Co. He also “purchased the interest of one, Ralph Anderson in a mining claim
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After Jacob sold his store in Barkerville, he returned to Victoria in 1874, and opened two stores, one a ship chandlers which sold goods to the local sealing fleet, and the second a wholesale grocery store. In 1877 Jacob brought his twenty-one year old son Charlie, into J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. with him, thereby ensuring that he had a competent successor to head the firm. Jacob had two other sons, Jack and Albert, and when he died in 1899 “the business was left in equal shares to his three sons.” Albert’s role during the time he was involved in the family’s fish operations was limited. When he left the fish business in 1910 he focussed on politics as both a Victoria city alderman, and as Mayor of Victoria from 1917-1918. Jack was not involved in J.H. Todd & Sons at all and instead focussed his attention on medicine.

C.F. Todd married in 1884 and by 1887 his family consisted of two boys, William Charles born 1885, and Ernest Dain born in 1887. In 1906 C.F. Todd brought his eldest son, W.C. Todd, who was 21 at the time into the company alongside him. Why he decided to bring William into J.H. Todd & Sons and not Ernest, is unclear, but it could be due to William being the eldest son. C.F. helped set up Ernest in Gillespie, Hart & Todd Co., a real estate and insurance firm where Ernest was president. When his brother, William died in 1942, Ernest came in as president of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., and took control of the company (see Figure 3).

Jacob Todd & his son, Charlie Fox Todd, were unusual in comparison to other canniers. First they were loners, as was noted by T. E. Ladner who said that even though Jacob Todd operated a cannery on the Fraser, he did not fraternize with other canniers. Until about World War I, most canniers kept their headquarters in Victoria, and shortly after the war relocated their offices to Vancouver; the Todds chose to remain in Victoria.
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Figure 3: Key Todd family members involved in J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.

Source: Margaret Todd, personal archives.

From left to right: Derek Todd, President Ernie Todd and Jack Todd
Source: “Fish Packer for Over 70 Years.” Island Events: Graphic Weekly of Vancouver Island, 1953.

Company letterhead, circa 1910.
Source: Author’s collection.

Figure 3: Key Todd family members involved in J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.
Another Todd characteristic, which other canners occasionally made fun of was the family’s frugality. In order to survive in this highly competitive industry all canners had to be somewhat frugal, but Jacob and his son Charlie were ridiculed for being extremely cheap. Jacob did not mind this, as is supported by this well-known story: Jacob was outside a Fraser River cannery, and a fellow canner approached Jacob, and said “I hear there is someone over there just as mean and tight as you are?” Jacob replied “Well now, I wonder who on earth that could be?” “Your son, Charlie,” replied the other canner. At this point others on the dock laughed at Jacob Todd.182 Canner H. Doyle finished the story:

Old Todd’s figure straightened and a look of real unaffected pleasure and delight replaced the mask of mirth it possessed... All resentment departed from him and it was patent to everyone present that he felt complimented... If son Charlie had that reputation in Victoria it was well. The lessons he had inculcated had been learned; the fortune he had spent his lifetime in accumulating would be left in safe hands...183

This story reveals Jacob’s strong character and how he did not care or worry about what others thought of him. This story may suggest that “mean” and “tight” are not looked as negative characteristics in which case he could be thought of as simply embracing the common, positive social values of a leader: “frugal” and “steadfast.”

Due to his drive, foresight and business sense Jacob acquired enough capital to expand into other businesses, such as the BC fishing industry. In 1881, his Horseshoe salmon label, the trademark of his fishing company, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. became the first to be registered in the British Empire.184 In 1882 he bought the Richmond Cannery which produced fish under the Horseshoe label (Figure 4). The importance of a canner’s labels cannot be overstated as it was generally the labels on the cans that caught the attention of the consumer. By the 1880s and 1890s Todd’s Horseshoe salmon label was gaining world attention as its tins of salmon won prizes at world fairs such as London’s Crystal Palace Exhibition.185 For many years the company labels were lithographed by the Colonist in Victoria. D. Pollock remembers the Vancouver based, Fleetha Services Ltd. doing the J.H. Todds & Sons Ltd.’s labelling of their cans.186

183 BCA, Doyle, The Rise and Decline of the Pacific Salmon Fisheries, 153.
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A very early example of a one pound Horseshoe salmon label (circa 1900).

Early example of label highlighting graphic design and marketing strategies used by Todd company (circa early 1900s).

Hand-packed label for ‘specialty pack’ indicating a top quality of product (circa 1940s).

Figure 4: J.H. Todd & Sons’ Salmon Labels
Source: Author’s collection.
Other well-known Todd labels included: “Tiger”, Cohoe, “Sunflower”, Pinks, and “Cute”, Chum.\(^{187}\) The firm also had a special label, embossed with a gold salmon on a white background that went on hand-packed cans of number one sockeye salmon for gifts to family, close friends or business associates on special occasions such as Christmas.\(^{188}\)

D. Pollock also talked about how proud Todd employees were of the company’s labels, especially the Horseshoe label.\(^{189}\) Jack Elsey, an acquaintance of mine, and who worked with Nelson Bros. Fisheries Ltd. in the late 1940s promoting Paramount salmon at the retail level told me, “He was discouraged when calling on the trade in Victoria, and Vancouver Island. Horseshoe brand, J.H. Todd’s foremost sockeye label was so prominent a brand, marketing anything else seemed hopeless”\(^{190}\) (Figure 4).

Like all successful fish packing companies trying to remain competitive in this challenging business a firm had to diversify its fish products. J.H. Todd & Sons expanded their canned fish products to include herring, tuna, clams, clam nectar, and smoked spring salmon (see Table 1). The company even experimented with canning abalone, and a sandwich spread.\(^{191}\) The company’s fresh and frozen products included halibut as well as a variety of ground fish.\(^{192}\)

A company’s flag was used to identify a company’s packer or their fleet’s boats. J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’s company flag was typically a white horseshoe with a white salmon running through it set on a red background.\(^{193}\) Other variations include a red pennant with no symbols. An interesting side note is that many Todd fishermen, being superstitious, refused to fly the Todd flag with the horseshoe pointed upside down as they believed their luck would drop out. As a result, most Todd’s fishermen, flew the flag upside down with the horseshoe pointed so that their luck would not run out. This angered many a Todd manager.\(^{194}\)

During the seventy-three years that J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was under the family’s control, they owned eleven processing plants in B.C. and one in Washington State. The firm also had two substantial cold storage facilities (Table 2 and Figure 5).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brands</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Can Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salmon / Steelhead</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseshoe</td>
<td>Fancy Red Sockeye</td>
<td>1-lb Tall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseshoe</td>
<td>Fancy Red Sockeye</td>
<td>½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Sockeye (Tips &amp; Tails)</td>
<td>½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Springs (Red)</td>
<td>½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Springs (White)</td>
<td>½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Springs (Smoked White)</td>
<td>½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Cross</td>
<td>Fancy Cohoe – Red Salmon</td>
<td>1-lb Tall &amp; ½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger</td>
<td>Fancy Cohoe – Red Salmon</td>
<td>1-lb Tall &amp; ½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Rupert</td>
<td>Fancy Pink Salmon</td>
<td>1-lb Tall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower</td>
<td>Fancy Pink Salmon</td>
<td>1-lb Tall &amp; ½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cute</td>
<td>Fancy Keta Salmon</td>
<td>1-lb Tall &amp; ½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Steelhead</td>
<td>½ lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Herring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empire</td>
<td>Herring (natural oil &amp; tomato sauce)</td>
<td>1-lb ovals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tuna</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Tuna (Solid Pack)</td>
<td>7 oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Tuna (Flaked White &amp; Dark Meat)</td>
<td>6.5 oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clams</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Whole Butter Clams</td>
<td>1-lb Tall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Clam Nectar</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abalone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todd’s Brand</td>
<td>Abalone (small amounts canned)</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: J.H. Todd & Sons, Ltd. Corporate Structure

J.H. TODD & SONS LIMITED
Head Office: 1306 Wharf Street, Victoria, BC.

**Subsidiary Companies**
The BC Ice and Cold Storage Co. Ltd.
The Klemtu Canning Co. Ltd.
The Provincial Canning Co. Ltd.
The Richmond Canning Co. Ltd.
The Sooke Harbour Packing and Fishing Co. Ltd.
The Western Fishing Co. Ltd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Plants in British Columbia</strong></th>
<th><strong>Cold Storage Plants in British Columbia</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Richmond, Fraser River</td>
<td>BC Ice &amp; Cold Storage Co. Ltd., Vancouver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver, Fraser River</td>
<td>Klemtu Cannery, Klemtu Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Fishing Co. Ltd., Victoria</td>
<td>Fish Traps, Sooke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empire, Esquimalt</td>
<td>Coal Creek Trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sooke Harbour Packing &amp; Fishing Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>Gordon’s Trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver, Rivers Inlet</td>
<td>Otter Point Trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincial, Rivers Inlet</td>
<td>Sooke Trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name unknown, Jarvis Inlet</td>
<td>Beechey Head Trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klemtu, Klemtu Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverness, Skeena River</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plants in United States**
Name Unknown, Anacortes, WA
J.H. Todd purchased the newly built Richmond Cannery from Angus Fraser and a partner in 1882 (Figure 6). This cannery was located on the North Arm of the Fraser River. Will Todd, J.H. Todd’s grandson, revealed the precise location of this plant in a 1940 J.H. Todd and Sons, Ltd. Minute Book when he wrote: “This cannery was located on a little island situated between Sea Island and the Mainland west of the Main Highway Bridge.” J.H. Todd’s Richmond Cannery in its early years was not one of the major salmon processors in BC. In 1885 nine canneries produced 108,517 cases of canned salmon; the largest company produced 23,000 cases, and Todd’s Richmond Cannery produced 7,850 cases, which ranked it eighth out of nine processors. Despite its small canned salmon pack, it was said that J.H. Todd ran a very efficient operation. When J.H. Todd entered the BC salmon canning industry in 1882 there were twelve companies which operated twenty canneries along the BC coast, with most being situated on or near the Fraser River.

---
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Richmond Cannery, Fraser River, 1890.

Inverness Cannery, Skeena River, early 1900s. Operated by the Todds from 1902-1954.

Klemtu Cannery, Klemtu Pass, 1940s. Renovated with cold storage, early 1940s.

*Figure 6: Photographs of J.H. Todd & Sons’ Canneries*

*Source: Author’s collection.*
After purchasing land from Steveston settler E. Gerard, J.H. Todd in 1889 erected his second cannery, which he named Beaver Cannery, the first cannery built in the classic ‘L-shaped design’ in Steveston. In 1905 when the original Richmond Cannery was closed Beaver Cannery was re-named the Richmond Cannery. On May 11th, 1924 the new Richmond Cannery was burnt down and was not rebuilt. It was converted into a fish camp, complete with machine shop in order to service Todd & Sons’ gillnet, troll, seine, and packer fleet. In 1948 the company added a new seine loft – a 120’×60’ building, 29’ feet high with a 60’×20’ wharf in front, and this building was used for the storage of seine nets, halibut gear, and for the manufacture of seine nets.

J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was unique in comparison to other BC fish packing companies, holding exclusive trap fishing licenses in the Sooke region for 32 years; the only company in British Columbia and Canada permitted to operate fish traps in salt water. While under Todd ownership these fish traps operated continuously from 1904 until 1954, and were instrumental in helping the firm to become one of the top canning firms in the province.

By 1901, a total of 47 companies operated 73 plants in British Columbia. That year 1,236,156 cases of sockeye were processed, and J.H. Todd & Sons canned 41,775 cases (3.4% of the total pack), which ranked it sixth among canning companies. The top firm ABC Packing Co. Ltd. canned 174,375 cases, or 14.1% of the total pack.

In 1902, the shrewd Todds realized they needed to expand their operations in the north and purchased Inverness Cannery on the Skeena River from Turner, Beeton & Co. for $28,000. The acquisition of this cannery was crucial for several reasons. First, its location on the Skeena River gave Todd & Sons access to BC’s second largest salmon producing river, as well as to the Nass River, Haida Gwaii rivers and Alaska waters. Second, the cannery had access to a labour force from several nearby First Nations villages. Third, its location on the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway offered shipping advantages after the line’s construction.

---
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Sociology, Northern Operations Branch, Fisheries & Marine Service, Department of the Environment points out a fourth and not well-known reason:

*Ownership of the northern plants was vital to the material strength of the new corporations. For the northern canneries had shown historically much larger profits per case than those on the Fraser. Any company, therefore, wishing to control the Fraser River fishery would be financially stronger if it also possessed northern plants.*

Why did a case of salmon, historically yield more profit in the north than in the south? One piece to this puzzle was provided by J. Ward, a long time northern BC cannery manager, who explained “It is a well-known fact within the B.C. commercial fishing industry that the Skeena River sockeye, is without a doubt the best sockeye found in the province.”

One other reason for the superiority of Skeena River fish is provided by twenty-five year Haida Gwaii Sports Fishing guide, S. Gray who noted “the texture of the flesh is noticeably softer in salmon in the south in comparison to the north. The water temperature in the north is slightly colder which makes the salmon flesh there firmer.”

E. Kirsch, a former cannery lineman and filler man, who spent twenty plus years in northern and southern canneries adds further clarity to the issue, noting:

*All the special packs for the Westons, Nelsons and all the ‘big wigs’ were always Skeena Sockeye. These fish were firmer which meant a nicer cut and a better looking fill, which meant there was no fish, no bones, no skin hanging over the edge of the can (when speciality packs were hand packed the fill of the can was always perfect). As a filler man, I would often go into the lab to check on our weigh sheets, and see how our fill was. At times I would see the lab technician opening up a can of sockeye and then pour the oil into a beaker. Skeena River sockeye oil, was bright orange, and... Premium buyers like John West, were looking for the bright orange oil.*

The Inverness Cannery processed salmon continuously for 74 years (48 years while under Todd’s ownership) prior to being converted to a fish camp for Todd & Sons’ northern fishing fleet in 1951.

After careful examination and study of an Anacortes fish trap operation in 1904, the Todd brothers, led by Charlie (C.F. Todd), decided to venture into the fish trap business at Sooke. Fish traps were effective in very specific locations where large percentages of the Fraser River salmon stock passed close to the shore, and Sooke was
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one of the few Canadian locations. Fish traps were expensive to build each year, but once built made the canner independent of fishermen. Needing to process fish from these traps they erected their Empire Cannery in Esquimalt Harbour in 1905 on land leased from the Esquimalt Indian Reserve, which ensured access to a crucial labour force. Even so, at least during the early years of the cannery’s history, the reserve could not provide enough labour; thus, First Nations women from around southern Vancouver Island were recruited.

How C.F. Todd was able to secure a license to operate fish traps, a license that the federal government had expressly stated it would not give out, is an interesting puzzle. Who could C.F. Todd, lobby, or bribe…to do his bidding in Ottawa, a man with both provincial AND federal connections? Edward Gawler Prior, a one-time BC premier (1902-1903) and lieutenant-governor (1919-20) fit the bill perfectly. During his time as premier he barely survived a railway scandal (two of his ministers resigned), and eventually he was forced to step down as premier by Lieutenant-Governor Joly because he had been caught issuing a government contract to his own company. Henry Doyle, a prominent fishing industry insider, provides more credence to this possibility when he stated:

*Just at this time a vacancy occurred in Victoria’s representation in the Provincial Legislature and in the course of our conversation Charlie Todd told me he favoured the candidacy of E. V. Bodwell…I returned to Victoria the day after the election and learned that Bodwell’s opponent, Col. E. G. Prior, had won the seat and that one of the most active workers for the latter’s election had been Charles F. Todd…. Shortly afterwards ugly rumours began floating around Victoria to the effect that Todd’s change of candidates had been influenced by the promise of salmon traps locations along the Vancouver Island shores, in Strait of Juan de Fuca. Despite the Ottawa’s government’s assurances that traps would not be licensed there, it was learned it intended doing so and that certain politically powerful Victorians would be given first pick of the available locations. It was asserted that Todd’s switch from Bodwell to Prior was owing to having been*
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215 “Derek Todd reported that his grandfather, C. F. Todd built his fish traps at Sooke in order to get even with two canners who had convinced his father to go in as a partner at an Anacortes cannery, and as a result caused his father great stress and worry: with his traps in Sooke he intercepted fish first before they went through the Anacortes area.” Derek Todd, personal interview 18 May 2005
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promised some of the more favourable locations.\textsuperscript{218}

One could only imagine how this upset Todd’s BC’s competition. For the Todds the possession of the Sooke fish trap licenses formed a cornerstone of their empire. While there may be additional contributing factors that have been lost to historical records, the recollections of Henry Doyle do offer a compelling possibility as to why C.F. Todd was able to secure these sites when others were not.

After securing permits from the Canadian government on May 2, 1904,\textsuperscript{219} Charlie ordered the construction of traps in 1904. Eventually, five impressive traps were built between Coal Creek and Beechey Head operating every year from 1904 until 1958, except for one year in 1957 (Figure 7).\textsuperscript{220}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Sooke fish trap sites}
\end{figure}

The Todds also operated an additional trap up in the Alert Bay region.\textsuperscript{221} Due to winter storms the traps had to be rebuilt every year with the pilings being stored at nearby Whiffen Spit. The driving of piles began in March; about 1600 fir pilings varying in
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length between 30’ to 145’ were needed, with another 1000 rolls of wire gauge.\textsuperscript{222} The five traps varied in length from 600 to 2800 feet.\textsuperscript{223} The most productive and largest out of the five built was the Gordon trap.\textsuperscript{224} The cost of putting in these traps annually was approximately $225,000, and this was even before a fish was caught.\textsuperscript{225} Fishing commenced in May and usually ended in mid-October.\textsuperscript{226} The traps fished 5 days a week, and closed from Friday 6:00 p.m. until Sunday 6:00 p. m. for conservation purposes.\textsuperscript{227} Typically 50 men worked the traps, providing the Sooke community with needed employment.\textsuperscript{228}

The fish traps were very effective and efficient because of their placement and by their design. Migrating salmon travel close to the shore, and once they encounter the lead instinctively they swim out to deeper water which leads to the heart. From the heart, they would eventually make their way through a narrow gap into the spiller. Once a significant number of salmon were trapped in the spiller, and the tide had changed the trap man shut the gap trapping the fish.\textsuperscript{229} A scow would then be brought alongside the trap and the netting would be raised and the fish would be bailed by a large net into the scow. The fish would then be transferred to a waiting packer, and from there to the nearby Empire Cannery or to other markets for processing.\textsuperscript{230}

To see how a fish trap works see Figure 8. The traps were very effective at catching fish, as is clearly shown by the following quote:

\begin{quote}
The Sooke traps rarely took more than 10 to 15 percent of the annual Fraser Sockeye pack. Trap catches throughout the ‘20s, ‘30s and ‘40s averaged around 40,000 pieces with a few seasons breaking the 100,000 mark and even going as high as 130,000 (1941), but never approaching the bumper harvests of 500,000 to 700,000 sockeye that were taken prior to the Hell’s Gate slide in 1913.\textsuperscript{231}
\end{quote}
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Taking salmon from a trap, 1907 (Source: Author’s collection)

Diagram of fish trap operation.

Figure 8: Photograph and diagram showing fish trap operation

Fishing by traps was an efficient and economical method of obtaining fish. First, they cut costs; one did not need to employ an expensive fishing fleet to catch ones’ fish. Second, fish could be retrieved from the traps on demand. Third, the quality of the fish was unsurpassed. They were not damaged by the nets and the fish did not sit in a
fisherman’s boat or a company’s packer for days. The fish canned at the Empire Cannery were fresher, and therefore a better product.232

Jack Todd, a Todd family president of the Victoria branch of the Western Fishing Co., from 1945 onwards noted:

*The traps provided DFO with a lot of information. We supplied scientific information on the catch as a percentage of the catch was tagged, so they were able to follow up on where they went. Second, a lot of people criticized the traps saying “They took too many fish.” but if one was to compare with today’s ... they were a very stable way of catching fish, and we didn’t catch the fish that couldn’t be used.*233

B. Payne, who took over as General Manager of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. on March 1, 1955,234 added that by the end the traps were from another era, and the main tragedy of their passing was the disappearance of the families who worked on the traps.235 It is important to remember the impact the traps had, not only on the company, but on the Sooke community and its residents as well.

The sockeye and chum salmon taken from the traps236 were sent to the Empire Cannery in Esquimalt Harbour while springs, coho and any other bycatch, such as halibut, or cod went to fresh fish markets in Seattle, Vancouver and Victoria.237 In the very early years, mild cured spring salmon were also shipped by the Lindenberger & Co., based in Astoria, Oregon to markets in Germany.238

There should be no surprise that there was opposition to the Sooke fish traps as these traps were taking fish that other competing fishing groups, primarily commercial fishermen, may otherwise harvest. The first notable opposition to the traps occurred in 1935 when Washington State banned traps altogether. This decision encouraged BC fishermen to begin efforts to abolish the Sooke fish traps.239 The following major concerns that commercial fishermen had with the Sooke fish traps were brought by representatives on behalf of the fishermen before the Fisheries Committee of the Federal Parliament (1) that former Washington State trap owners would use the Sooke traps in an argument to having their traps reinstated; (2) that the Sooke trap owners would not be satisfied with just five sites, and would want more and that this would mean the complete
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elimination of the fishermen from the industry; and (3) that the traps are the most harmful and wasteful method of taking fish that we have in operation.240 The Todds countered the fishermen’s accusation by stating the following: (1) that fish traps operations does not affect the trollers, gillnetters, and seiners; (2) that the traps only take 2% of the yearly catch, and (3) that if the traps were shut down that the forty-one workers employed in the trap operations would be deprived of employment.241 Eventually a commission led by Gordon Sloan was undertaken, and in 1940 his findings were released. Sloan did not feel that the fish traps should be abolished: “the slight benefit to be conferred on each gill-netter and purse seine fisherman … would be outweighed by the irretrievable loss suffered by the fishermen and the general community of Sooke.”242 His report also recommended that the opening to the lead be increased in order to increase escapement during periods when the traps were not fishing.243

A contemporary account in 1905 described the Empire Cannery at Esquimalt: “220 feet long by 80 feet wide…[with] a warehouse, 150 feet by 50 feet…”244 During peak season it employed roughly 250 people, and with the latest machinery it was one of the most modern canneries in BC.245 What constituted modern machinery in 1905 was an adjustable elevator which brought fish up from the scows, and gang knives which cut the fish in the desired size for the cans being cooked.246 Initially, everything was done by hand, from the making of the cans, to the filling of the cans, to the crimping and soldering the cans, and finally to the labelling of the cans.247 Expectations were that this cannery would produce approximately 1,500 cases of salmon per day on three canning lines.248

The Todds partnered with Mr. William Munsie (his share of this cannery was 1/3), a wealthy businessman from Victoria on this cannery.

In the winter of 1905-1906, the company’s fourth cannery, the Beaver Plant located in Rivers Inlet was built to take advantage of the region’s salmon runs.249 Soon after Todd’s Beaver cannery on the Fraser was renamed the Richmond cannery. The Rivers Inlet cannery processed salmon for thirty five years until 1941 when it was converted into a fish camp to service their central coast fishing fleet. Considerable investments had been made upgrading the Todds nearby Klemtu Cannery into a year-
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round operation, and from a financial perspective it made sense to consolidate their fish processing efforts there.

The year 1910 was pivotal for the Todds and J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. Charlie Todd took complete control of the fishing side of the business. As Charlie explained in his ledger, “C.F. Todd takes over all four Canneries as they shared (accounts) in our Books…C.F. Todd now owns all interests in the Canneries Labels & Trade Marks, Trap Licenses & Foreshores.” The Todds also decided to sell their Victoria stores, a decision prompted by Charlie’s brother, A. E. Todd’s desire to retire from the business. Charlie made it very clear that he was in complete control of the Todd’s fishing enterprise when he stated, underlining in his ledger in red, that “…William Todd and Ernest Dane Todd are to have no right to take any part in the management of the said business.” After 1910, the two brother’s role in the firm was simply as shareholders.

In 1916 a fifth salmon cannery, Provincial Cannery, located in Rivers Inlet was built. This decision proved to be a wise move on the firm’s part as within one season this cannery paid for itself. Provincial Cannery was owned by the Provincial Canning Co. Ltd., which was a subsidiary of J.H. Todd & Sons. It was not until January of 1940 that J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. bought all the shares of this company from family and a former company employee.

Due to the growth of the fish packing firm, and for liability reasons J.H. Todd & Sons, Limited was incorporated on June 30, 1921. The share capital of the company was $4,000,000, divided into 40,000 shares of $100.00. The shareholders were six Todd family members, headed by Charles Fox Todd. By the 1920s some canners were having difficulties, however not Todd & Sons. C.F. Todd, ever the cautious business owner, “refrained from the competitive race of the 1920s” and consequently his firm remained strong. Since its inception as an industry in 1870 the BC fishing commercial salmon canning has been an extremely competitive industry with many players losing, and by the 1920s a few gaining dominance within the industry. By the 1920s the Canadian Fishing Co. expanded its canning operations to include twelve plants. In 1928 BC Packers Ltd. became the dominant player when it merged with Gosse Packing
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Co. Ltd., and Millerd Packing Co. Ltd. With this merger this new company, formerly the BC Fishing and Packing Co., now controlled over forty plants.\textsuperscript{260} Todd & Sons, having multi-plant operations, and access to the family’s personal wealth, had independence from broker domination.\textsuperscript{261} Processors often had two options: hire a regional broker, who would exclusively handle all canned salmon sales for that area for a fixed term. However, this limits the processor’s flexibility if they are not happy with the broker’s work; or a processor could develop their own sales team and be independent, which would give them more flexibility but not necessarily more sales.\textsuperscript{262}

The Todd's independence from brokers also enabled the company to withstand events, such as an area’s failure of one or two salmon runs in a year.\textsuperscript{263} By the 1940s J.H. Todd & Sons had hired brokerage firms to market their product. How well the brokers were able to market product had a direct impact on the success and future of a processor. The Todds used several brokers, both domestically and overseas. From the 1940s onward the Todds contracted Swift Canadian Co., based out of Toronto to manage their domestic sales. Brokers for their overseas market included Industrial Sales Ltd., based out of Montreal, and Swift Canadian’s representative in Great Britain, Lane & Co., London.\textsuperscript{264} Corroborating the importance of the brokers for J.H. Todd and Sons during this time, Richard Gregory reflected back on the similar importance that brokers played for BC Packers:

\textit{BCP used a number of different selling structures. We had our own sales and marketing department. In addition to being located at our head Office here in Vancouver / Richmond, we had a number of sales offices in major Canadian cities, Montreal, Toronto, for example. In smaller areas, where the market itself, or our market share was not large enough to support the expense of our own “Full Time” salesmen we would hire a “broker” to sell / handle / represent us in the sale of our product…A “broker” in most cases will represent many different companies and even a wider range of products.}\textsuperscript{265}

In 1922 the Todds amalgamated their Sooke operations with the Sooke Harbour Fishing and Packing Co. Ltd., which was owned by a Port Townsend group.\textsuperscript{266} The Todds retained the name, and operated this company as a separate entity. After this
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amalgamation the Sooke Harbour Fishing and Packing Company’s canning operations were moved to the Empire Cannery, located in Esquimalt harbour. In January of 1940, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. bought up all remaining shares of this company from one of their employees.

In 1927, the Klemtu Canning Co. Ltd., a subsidiary of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. constructed Klemtu Cannery, their sixth and last salmon cannery on Swindle Island, in Klemtu Pass. Like the firm’s Empire Cannery in Esquimalt, Klemtu Cannery was strategically located near the Klemtu First Nation’s reserve in order to secure a labour force.

The Great Depression had a devastating impact on most processors, however the Todds were one of the lucky ones to survive it virtually unblemished. One example, which explained how the Todds were able to survive during the Great Depression, is shown by an incident labelled ‘The Coup’ by one author. This ‘Coup’ was masterminded by the shrewd and business savvy, C.F. Todd. In 1931 due to pressure from the banks, many canners were forced to produce small packs, and in order to maximize profits, can only sockeye salmon. How and why this situation occurred is explained by D. McLeod, “The bankers of the day did limit credit lines in difficult times. The canneries may have limited their pack size due to the lack of funds and as a result, focussed on sockeye which had a much better chance of turning a profit.” C.F. Todd seized upon the opportunities provided by this unstable period and made a substantial amount of money. The brilliance behind this ‘Coup’ is described by Mr. Bob Payne, a one-time managing director of J.H. Todd & Sons:

Todd…was able to purchase raw pinks and chums at distress prices which were sometimes less than the cost of transporting the fish from the grounds to the plants. Through this action…its share of pack increased 16.6% and his costs were insignificant…By this counter cyclical action it further disturbed the chaotic market and simultaneously made substantial profits.

In January of 1940, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. bought the remaining shares of the Klemtu Canning Co. Ltd. By this time J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. had six salmon canneries
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that processed fish coast-wide. The decision was made in 1941 to consolidate their mid coast processing operations at this facility, and to convert Beaver and Provincial canneries into fish camps. The Todds hired Lew Hogan as their new manager of Klemtu Cannery in January 1942. Shortly afterwards Hogan undertook a complete overhaul of Klemtu. Three canning lines were installed as well as a large, modern cold storage and ice plant. This cold storage facility brought additional business from the halibut fishermen and salmon trollers, and live herring bait ponds were set up for the halibut boats.

After this overhaul was completed Klemtu Cannery was quite unique in that it not only canned salmon but was able to process halibut, herring, abalone, and clams. A small reduction plant to handle their offal was also added. With this overhaul Klemtu became a multi-purpose, year-round operation for the Todds. According to Jack McMillan a Todd employee and J.S. McMillan Fisheries Ltd. founder, Klemtu Cannery now rivaled B. C. Packers' Namu plant, which was also situated nearby. In the 1950 season Klemtu Cannery handled nearly one million lbs of halibut, and fillet freezers were installed, expanding the filleting operation.

In 1944, with the wartime need for canned herring to feed Allied troops, the Todds added four more canning lines at their Empire Cannery to process herring. Todd & Sons’ Sales Manager, F. Dawson pointed out that during the war 300,000 cases of herring were packed to help the war efforts. “Regarding herring most of our cans went to Italy. It was a ’50-50’ proposition with the Canadian Fishing Co. We shared the profits and the cost.” Pollock added.

In 1945, in an effort to diversify its processing operations and reduce risk, the Todds went into partnership with Mr. E. Moir and his Western Fishing Company which specialized in the fresh and frozen fish market. To accommodate this expansion the Todds expanded their Victoria plant, located on Wharf Street, to include an ice house with a 30 ton capacity, and a small freezer. Salmon, tuna and ground fish, such as halibut were filleted and shipped for export, and this facility could handle 2500 lbs of fish daily.
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Depending on the time of year, between 15 and 30 workers were employed.  

In July of 1949 the Directors of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. travelled over to Vancouver to have a look at the BC Ice and Cold Storage plant. The Directors were very impressed by “the size of the building, and also the quantity of storage space…This space will take 24,000,000 pounds to fill.” The Todds must have liked what they saw in this cold storage because they invested $490,137.91 in the facility. From this point forward BC Ice and Cold Storage was the one good facility they owned, and that they should have tried to expand operations there. One hidden advantage of owning the cold storage plant was that, according to long-time fish company owner N. Safarik, it gave the owner “invaluable information about frozen fish inventories. It was essentially a window into the inventories and business practices of the many independent companies that stored their fish in BC Ice.”

One of the major reasons for the company’s success was due to the fact that the Todds had made sure to diversify their business interests and not just focus on the fishing industry. The Todd families, dating back to Jacob Hunter Todd’s reign were very careful to diversify into a variety of other businesses, including, real estate, real estate management, the logging industry, and the stock market. Their real estate properties, mortgages, and stock investments helped finance their fish business, and made them very wealthy. An example of one of the Todd’s earliest real estate acquisitions took place in 1884 when J.H. Todd purchased 132 acres in North Saanich for $165.00. The wealth that was to be made from their real estate holdings is highlighted by the sale of two Todd properties in 1950. The Todds sold their Georgia Street property in Vancouver for $150,000, and the sale of their Hudson’s Bay property in Victoria netted the firm $109,725.00. The Todd families held extensive properties in Victoria, Vancouver, Winnipeg as well as large holdings on Haida Gwaii.

Further examples of the family’s real estate holdings include, the Kilshaw Building in Victoria owned by Bert Todd, and the Derek Building in Vancouver owned
by Derek Todd’s family. Today, Derek Todd and his family own considerable acreage around the Pike Lake area, just outside of Victoria. Jack Todd and his family at one time owned Sidney Island.

The Todds also held countless mortgages, including J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. employee mortgages. Long-time Todd & Sons employee, D. Pollock’s first house in Oak Bay was financed by the Todds. The last Todd president of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., Ernie was also involved in Gillespie, Hart & Co., a real estate, and insurance company. This company took care of insurance premiums which covered plants and buildings belonging to J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.

The Todds even dabbled in the logging industry, partnering with the R. P. Butchart family in the Cathels & Sorenson operation in the Gordon River region, of Vancouver Island in the mid-1920s. In 1949, Ernest D. Todd, J.H. Todd president ordered his staff to look into the possibility of opening a seafood restaurant next to his Wharf Street fish plant. The Todds established another company in 1952 called “Todd and Food Products Ltd.” These last two examples high light that the Todds, were not just focussing their business efforts on the fishing industry.

It is very clear that the Todds were major players in the stock market, investing considerable capital in stocks. The variety of stocks that they invested in was impressive and profitable. Their portfolio included: British American Oil Co. Ltd., Imperial Oil Ltd., International Petroleum Co. Ltd., Loblaw Groceterias Co. Ltd., Ogilvie Flour Mills Co. Ltd., Imperial Tobacco Company of Canada Ltd., Doreva Gold Mines Ltd., B. C. Cement Co. Ltd., B. C. Telephone Company, and the Ford Motor Company of Canada Ltd. They even owned stocks / bonds in two prominent competing fishing companies, B. C. Packers Ltd., and the New England Fishing Co. 1940 records reveal that the Todds had bought stocks in twenty-one Canadian companies, and had invested in ten foreign companies. The diversification of the Todd’s stock investments reflects devotion to minimizing risk.

The fact that the Todds made sure not only to focus on the fishing side of the

296 Derek Todd, personal interview, 15 July 2001.
297 Derek Todd, personal interview, 23 November 2008.
298 Dick Pollock, personal interview, 29 August 2006.
300 Documents gathered by Derek Todd detailing the operations of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., source unknown, 1926, private collection of Derek Todd.
304 CFCA, J.H. Todd Minute Book, 31 December 1940.
305 Ibid.
business, which was in itself very risky, but to branch out into other areas such as real estate and the stock market shows the business savvy of the family. This approach made the family financially strong, and put it in a position to survive difficult times like the 1930s, or aggressive takeover attempts from competitors several times in their history. An example highlighting the strength of the firm occurred in 1921 when W. E. Barker, the president of the British Columbia Fishing & Packing Co. Ltd. (predecessor of BC Packers Ltd.) was asked what he thought about approaching C.F. Todd to see if he would sell his business. Barker, already realizing the futility of the idea, replied “Mr. Todd is the one packer who does not have to bother about bank credits.”

A further example of the family’s wealth is shown by a conversation between H. Doyle and C.F. Todd in his office:

...he told me his firm made it a point of business policy to always have $100,000 in liquid assets...to provide for any unforeseen contingencies or to take advantage of unusual opportunities requiring immediate action. An estate must be large indeed that can afford to set aside from its normal business requirements such a large amount of capital.

The Todds and their company, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. had survived both World War I, and the Great Depression in relatively good shape. The reason for this must be attributed to the very competent leadership of C.F. Todd. By the start of the Second World War the company had reached its zenith, and was counted as being one of the top processors in the province. J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. by 1939 directly and through five subsidiary companies, the Richmond Canning Co., the Klemtu Canning Co. Ltd., the Provincial Canning Co. Ltd., the Western Fishing Co., and the Sooke Harbour Fishing and Packing Co. Ltd., had seven plants which canned fish: Richmond (Fraser River) and Beaver (Fraser River); Empire (Esquimalt Harbour); Beaver (Rivers Inlet); Provincial (Rivers Inlet); Klemtu (Swindle Island, Klemtu Pass), and Inverness (Skeena River). In addition, J.H. Todd & Sons operated five substantial fish traps out in Sooke. The number of shore workers, fishermen, and fish trap employees employed by J.H. Todds by this time is estimated to have being approximately 1,500. By December of 1939 J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., was valued at $3,700,350.95 (see Table 2).

The Victoria based Royal Trust Co. had a particularly close relationship with the Todds, especially W. C. Todd’s family. At one time W. C. Todd, President of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was a member of the Royal Trust Company’s Victoria Advisory Board while the Royal Trust also held shares in J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. After W.C. Todd’s death,
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F.E. Winslow, a Royal Trust Co. manager was appointed as Vice-President of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd on November 5th 1942\(^{310}\) and, when he retired, Royal Trust manager B. Phipps replaced him.\(^{311}\) On December 8, 1942 W.C. Todd’s shares in J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. were transferred to the Royal Trust Co., who then set up trust accounts for W. C. Todd’s three children, Terence, Mary and Derek.\(^{312}, 313\)

While the war years were relatively good years for the BC fishing industry, the post war years were not as kind. With the onset of the late 1940s came one of the most challenging and difficult economic periods for BC canners. England in 1948 and again in 1950 did not purchase a single can of BC canned salmon! The loss of the English market was devastating as canners’ warehouses were bursting with product worth hundreds of thousands of dollars that they could not sell. The economic ramifications of the collapse of the English market meant that from 1951 to 1953 canners had to deal with the largest pack carryovers in the history of the BC salmon canning history, ultimately crippling a number of canners. Until this time, the Todds and their fish company had been able to survive such ills, but this time it was different as they were put under tremendous pressure, and the company’s very future and survival were questioned.

\(^{310}\) CFCA, J.H. Todd Minute Book, 5 November 1942.  
\(^{311}\) CFCA, J.H. Todd Minute Book, 10 March 1949.  
\(^{312}\) CFCA, J.H. Todd Minute Book, 8 December 1942.  
\(^{313}\) When Derek Todd’s mother died, Bob Phipps attended her funeral, again highlighting the close relationship between the two. Derek Todd, personal interview, 15 July 2001.
4. THE DEMISE OF J.H. TODD AND SONS LTD.

On March 7th 1956, the Todd’s involvement in the BC commercial fishing industry was finished forever when, Ernest D. Todd penned the following declaration “I, E. D. Todd, hereby resign as Director and President of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.” A relationship spanning well more than seventy-five years between J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. and the city of Victoria officially ended when the company, by then half owned by its major competitors, moved its office to 1104 Hornby Street, Vancouver, BC.

Leadership issues, specifically the deaths and retirement of family members, and key managers long associated with J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. figure prominently in the Todd’s decision to finally leave the fishing industry and sell their company in the spring of 1954. In a span of fourteen years, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was stricken by four key deaths; two critical family members who built the empire, and two prominent managers. To worsen matters the firm was hit with the unexpected retirement of a managing director who had been with the company for thirty-two years, just four years prior to the company’s capitulation. It must have been extremely difficult for a private, family-owned and operated company, never mind any company to survive the loss of key leadership in such a relatively short time period.

In 1937, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. lost its long time and very well-known northern production manager, Robert Johnston. Johnston had been with the firm for over thirty years and had played an instrumental role in developing Todd & Sons into one of the industry’s top fish packing firms, overseeing the 1916 construction of Todd’s Provincial cannery in Rivers Inlet. Johnston was very well known in northern BC. In the Skeena River area he was known as the “Dean of the Skeena”, and on the Nass River he was called the “King of the Nass”. He was also the prominent Inverness Cannery manager on the Skeena for a number of years. Just how devastating his loss was to the firm is supported by Howie Chambers (who worked at Inverness Cannery, and whose father was a long time Todd & Sons employee) who stated: “Seemed like the cannery died with him…They lost their fleet, let it run down, had too many old boats. Bob would never have let that happen.”

Just four short years later, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was struck with perhaps its most devastating and crippling blow, when C.F. Todd, the ‘Pillar’ behind the Todd’s fishing empire died. His death shook the company to its very inner core, and the company’s future was uncertain after his death.

316 North Pacific Cannery Museum Archives, Port Edward, BC.
317 Skogan, Skeena, 30.
C.F. Todd had officially stepped aside as head of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.\textsuperscript{318} the year before. In a letter addressed to Messrs. J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., on February 16\textsuperscript{th} 1940, he stated his reasons for stepping aside from the firm “On account of advancing age and inability to give close attention to business, I hereby tender my resignation as Director of the firm of J.H. Todd & Sons, Ltd.”\textsuperscript{319} C.F. Todd, died on December 23\textsuperscript{rd} 1941 at the age of 85.\textsuperscript{320} He had been with J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., since 1877, a total of sixty-four years.

The importance of C.F. Todd to the success of the firm cannot be overstated. Under his forty plus years as head of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. it had blossomed from having two Fraser River canneries to owning seven canneries located in: Rivers Inlet, Klemtu Pass, Jervis Inlet, the Skeena River, Esquimalt, along with a plant in Sooke, and five substantial fish traps in Sooke. Through C.F. Todd’s skillful leadership his fish packing business was able to survive in this challenging and competitive industry while many other canners could not. On at least three occasions beginning in 1891, 1902 and 1928, while C.F. Todd was at the helm of the firm, major competitors attempted, unsuccessfully, to take over his company; but due to the strength of the company and personal wealth of the Todds, these attempts were turned aside, unlike numerous others who were taken over. Bob Payne, who became Managing Director, of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. in March 1955, just after the company was bought by BC Packers Ltd. and the Canadian Fishing Co. in 1954, recalled that “Charlie was a very capable operator. He ran the operation. He was someone who survived. He was reputable and capable.”\textsuperscript{321} By the start of World War II C.F. Todd’s company had evolved into one of the top fish packing companies in British Columbia.\textsuperscript{322}

The death of a leader with C.F. Todd’s ability, experience, and leadership qualities would have been crippling for any company. J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., never fully recovered from the void he left upon his death.

Another factor, pointed out by D. McLeod, Senior Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co. that no doubt affected J.H. Todd & Sons’ future in the industry was after C.F. Todd’s death the Bank of Montreal, which was the primary lender, did not seem to have had the same confidence in the management.\textsuperscript{323}

On the morning of February 19, 1942, at a J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. Annual General meeting, Mr. Wm. C. Todd was officially appointed President and Managing
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Director of the Company.\textsuperscript{324} At the time of his appointment he was fifty-seven years old and had been with the firm since 1906.\textsuperscript{325} W. C. Todd had worked with, and under his father for thirty five years prior to his death, and the readjustment to not having him there to guide must have been challenging to say the least. It would have been very difficult for anyone to replace a leader of C.F. Todd’s ability and experience.

Much of the pressure of running this large fish packing business now fell on Will’s shoulders, and unfortunately he did not possess the leadership qualities of his father. This difference between the two men was pointed out by a Todd employee who knew Will, and when asked “What Will was like as a leader?” He replied “Will was a meek and mild man, a man who was not decisive, and one who could not make decisions.”\textsuperscript{326} The pressures of leading J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., took its toll on Will, and his health declined. In October of 1942, just ten months after his father’s passing, Will died. When asked about his father Will’s death Derek Todd said:

\textit{He never told us the bad parts about the business, and how difficult it was. How he had this great empire of fish canneries and the number of workers and there must have been 5 or 6,000 and he controlled all the...it was too much for him, and ... it finally killed him.}\textsuperscript{327}

In his son’s view, the pressures of heading this company were just too great.

J.H. Todd & Sons in a very short period had lost two key family members, who together over a combined ninety-six years had built the Todd & Sons’ empire. While Will’s inherent leadership qualities may not have equalled those of his father, his inside knowledge of C.F. Todd’s business acumen, personal contacts within the industry and his overall experience in the industry, would undoubtedly have been an invaluable asset should he have lived for a longer period. One can only imagine how difficult it was to overcome, not only the personal and familial loss of these two individuals, but also of the collective experience and history. According to Jack Todd, manager of the Western Fishing Co. Ltd., Victoria branch, and nephew to Will Todd:

\textit{Two key players in our operation passed on, C.F. Todd in 1941, and his son, Will in 1942. C.F. Todd was the backbone of Todds, and he didn’t take any guff! The death of these two individuals helped to hasten the decline of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.}\textsuperscript{328}

In 1948, the Todds and their fishing company were struck by another catastrophic
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blow with the unexpected and accidental death of one of their most capable cannery managers, Lew Hogan.

In the early 1940s, the Todds made a decision to consolidate their fish processing efforts at Klemtu, requiring a major overhaul of the cannery. The Todds went outside of their managerial pool, and aggressively ‘Head Hunted’, L. M. Hogan a well-respected cannery man in the area to do the job. Hogan was hired by the Todds on January 10th 1942.\textsuperscript{329} As part of Hogan’s hiring, the Todds had to agree to purchase Hogan’s Saltery Bay operation.\textsuperscript{330} Prior to Hogan’s time as manager at Klemtu Cannery, this plant solely processed salmon. Hogan headed the transition that saw Klemtu Cannery, become the Todd’s main year-round fish processing facility in the central coast region. Then, during a trip on one of Todd’s seine boats, the \textit{Louisa Todd}, the craft rolled over and he drowned. The loss of a manager of Hogan’s caliber would have been devastating to the Todds and their company. Second, replacing a man of Hogan’s ability and experience would not have been easy.

In 1943 L.B. Bing, was appointed Managing Director of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. Bing began his thirty-two year career with J.H. Todd & Sons in 1919, starting as C.F. Todd’s personal aid. Bing remained Managing Director and Secretary of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. until July 11th 1950\textsuperscript{331} when he tendered his resignation due to prolonged ill health.\textsuperscript{332} The pressures of heading the company played a major role in his subsequent retirement from the company.\textsuperscript{333} During his distinguished time in the fishing industry Bing built up an impressive resume, Managing Director and Secretary of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., and of Sooke Harbour Fishing & Packing Company, Ltd., Director of Western Fishing Co. Ltd., and Director of the Fisheries Council of Canada.\textsuperscript{334} Once again to lose and replace a man of Bing’s ability, experience and knowledge would have been extremely difficult. L.B. Bing’s resignation would have, no doubt, compounded the management issues facing the firm, particularly after the recent deaths of C.F. Todd, W.C. Todd and L. Hogan.

Between 1937 and 1950, a period of only 13 years the family and its company had been plagued with the deaths and retirement of five key individuals. For any privately, family owned-operated company it would have been extremely difficult to survive and cope with the loss of key leaders/management in such a relatively short period of time. In desperation the Todds turned to Reg Sinclair, their ‘Head Cannery Man of all the Todd’s
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canneries’. Unfortunately, by this time Sinclair, was too old to take-over the management of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. in 1950 when L. B. Bing stepped down, preferring instead an advisory position. How the Todds got themselves into this predicament could be due to bad luck and timing, but a good portion of the blame must be attributed to poor succession planning. R. Gregory, former, long time BC Packers’ Vice President believes that the main reason for the demise of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was “That they did not plan for the future leadership of the company, which is unacceptable in good business! You are only as good as your last decision.”

The last Todd family member to lead J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was Ernest D. Todd, president from 1942 until the company was sold in 1954.

According to Marchak et al., the “new Todd generation appears to have taken little interest in the fishing industry.” This idea is supported by the son of E. D. Todd, Jack Todd who remarked “My father didn’t really care, or show much interest in the fishing industry.” Often times there is more to the story than meets the eye, and further explanation from Derek Todd adds another perspective explaining why after the death of his father his interest in the company waned. Derek Todd was being groomed to take over the helm of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. from his father, William Todd who headed the company after December 1941. Derek himself also had strong personal ambitions to eventually become the president of J.H. Todd and Sons. However, with his father’s unexpected passing in 1942 everything changed for Derek: “My family could not pay for the succession dues accrued from my father’s death. My uncle, Ernie Todd came in and took control of J.H. Todd & Sons when he bought most of the shares.”

Jack Todd, Ernie’s son had the same explanation:

_I can only go by what my father told me...when both Charles and Will Todd died, Derek’s mother, couldn’t pay for the inheritance tax, so she turned to my father, Ernest Todd. Derek’s mother sold “Preferred Shares” to Ernest, and then Ernest became president of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd._

Soon afterwards Derek was informed by a Royal Trust Co. manager and close friend of the family, B. Phipps, that Ernie Todd wanted his son, Jack to come in and have a place in the company as well. It was very apparent that once Jack came in that Derek was no
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longer going to realize his dreams of heading the company. Derek’s anger and resentment was still evident some 67 years later when he told me “And it was going to come down to me, and the fishing business was mine! It was definitely mine and nobody elses!” This fact would explain, in Derek’s case at least in part, why he no longer had the same ambition, interest or heart in running the company. With the ascension of Ernie to the presidency of J.H. Todd and Sons, Derek’s dreams unexpectedly evaporated. See fig. 3 Key Todd Family Members involved in J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.

By 1951 and 1952, the Todds and their fish packing firm, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., struggled with serious economic issues, such as declining markets, and the major headache of large pack carryover, which severely impacted the company financially. In addition, the company was hampered by lack of capable leadership to lead the firm through these difficult times. In desperation, the Todds brought in outside management from BC Packers Ltd. and the Canadian Fishing Co. “to straighten things up.”

The first individual that the Todds brought in was R. J. Gosse, who had a very distinguished career in the BC salmon canning industry. The Gosse family had a lengthy connection with the Todds and J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd, dating back to 1897 when R. J. Gosse’s father, Captain R. E. Gosse operated a Todd cannery. Captain Gosse also built Todd’s Beaver Cannery in 1905, and readied it to process fish by the 1906 season. The Todds hired R. J. Gosse in January of 1952 and appointed him Managing Director of the firm in March 1952. Gosse, had an impressive track record within the industry dating back to 1928 when he helped create BC Packers Ltd. Gosse was BC Packer’s first Managing Director, and he helped to establish the Clover Leaf merchandising program. After just over a year with the Todds, Gosse stepped aside, stating that being in charge of both J.H. Todd & Sons & BC Ice & Cold Storage was too much for him, and that he wanted to focus his efforts on the latter enterprise.

On May 13, 1953, P.E. Paulson, from the Canadian Fishing Co. replaced R.J. Gosse as Managing Director of the firm with a five year contract. Paulson, in the short time that he was at the helm of the firm did try his best to improve the company’s situation. He hired two new brokers to market the Todd’s pack in Canada, but, by this
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point it was too little, too late. Paulson’s tenure as Managing Director of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was brief, lasting just under a year when the company was sold.

It is plain that the Todds and their fish company struggled with managerial issues, and that perhaps a better succession plan should have been put in place. The Todds cannot be completely faulted for having ‘no’ succession plan as they did attempt to rectify this situation with the hiring of ‘outside’ people, beginning with the hiring of L. Hogan, an outside man, to head their Klemtu plant in 1942. In desperation, near the end, the Todds, and in a last ditch effort to straighten things up and turn events around did hire R.J. Gosse, and P.E. Paulson, both respectable outside fishing industry men. R. Gregory, also a long time BC fishing upper level management man offered his insight on this issue:

_Same variables and risks faced by all participants, it was how they planned and executed their plans and adapted to change in a timely manner that separated the winners from the losers. Luck played a part, but good management is the key._

Dick Pollock, the last J.H. Todd & Sons’ employee when J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was sold in the spring of 1954 when asked “What he thought was the major reason (s) for the demise of J.H. Todd & Sons?” replied:

_The business got hit with two bum years. The Bank of Montreal froze the Todd’s pack in Vancouver. How could we survive if the bank wouldn’t release our pack? The reason why the bank froze our pack was because we owed them so much money._

The ‘Two Bum Years’ that Pollock referred to were 1951, and 1952. Indeed they were extremely difficult years not only for the Todds and their fish packing company, but for all BC processors. How J.H. Todd & Sons, a well-financed company had come to be in financial troubles (a nearly $2 million over draught to the Bank of Montreal) can be attributed to the fact that the firm was spending and not selling its production. With good fishing, weak sales, and large pack carryover, it is very easy to spend your cash reserves and more. Arguably the Todds could have put more money into the company “but after 2 or more bad years, it is understandable that they were reluctant to take more risk.”

Before discussing why these two years were so devastating for processors it is first important to track and identify some of the issues that led to these years being so problematic for all processors, including J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.
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By 1947 Great Britain lacked hard currency to buy canned salmon; the newly formed UFAWU, was looking for higher salmon prices and wages for its shore workers, and large salmon packs (1951 and 1952) created a litany of major problems for all processors. Don McLeod, Senior Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co. reflects on this particular situation:

_During this time, I believe most, if not all, BC fishing companies were having some level of difficulty. You were lucky to have a friendly banker... in difficult times, size does count. Todd’s 2 major competitors: BC Packers and Canfisco, were both much larger and had parents with deep pockets. This allowed them to manage through difficult times._\(^{356}\)

The first hints of the difficult years surfaced in 1947, when the British Ministry of Food announced it intended to buy 40% of BC’s canned salmon pack, however “Owing to the shortage of dollars...the Ministry purchased only 5%.”\(^{357}\) The following year, Great Britain, again because of monetary issues did not, to the shock and dismay of BC processors, purchase a single can of BC salmon.\(^{358}\) England, once again due to monetary problems, did not buy any BC canned salmon in 1952.\(^{359}\) This market drove sales volumes and prices, and even today this is true.\(^{360}\) The negative financial ramifications were enormous and immediate on all canners, as they had a lot of money tied up in product that in years past they would have sold, but now had difficulty selling.

The other factor that impacted all aspects of the BC commercial fishing industry, including the BC salmon canning industry, was the establishment of the United Fishermen and Allied Workers union in 1945. The UFAWU fought for increased wages for shore workers, and for higher salmon prices for its fishermen. If, in the union’s eyes the companies did not give fair compensation then it used its most crippling weapon, job action in the form of a strike to obtain what it felt was a fair deal. The President of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., Mr. E. Todd noted the influence of the UFAWU and its negative impact on J.H. Todd & Sons’ fishing operations in 1946, when he stated “again very careful consideration must be given to operational costs which have alarmingly increased to the demands of the labour unions.”\(^{361}\) In 1952 President E. Todd, once again noted his concerns over the prospect of the UFAWU wanting higher wages and fish prices for its members, thereby increasing its operating cost.\(^{362}\)

The Todds and J.H. Todd & Sons survived the years 1949 and 1950 in relatively
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good shape as the company had been able to sell substantial amounts of its canned salmon pack to Belgium. All was not as good as it seemed, as there was an ominous sign that the firm was struggling with monetary issues as is revealed in the following:

Mr. Todd intimated that he considered our gill-net boats at Inverness were certainly out of date and too slow; ... Mr. Bing advised ... and it was thought the most economical method would be to have independent fishermen and the Company not own their own gill-net boats.

Jack Elsey, with over sixty-seven years in the BC fishing industry, pointed out two revealing issues from Todd’s Director’s memo: first, because a processor had to supply their fishermen with nets, gas etc. that he wanted to have the best fishermen possible fishing for him in order to maximize the company’s profits. Second, with J.H. Todd’s Inverness Cannery’s gillnet fleet being ‘old and slow’, and the company not willing to invest money into its fleet, as a result the good fishermen would not have wanted to fish for the J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., and would have moved on. There was definitely something amiss as just a few months later at J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’s Richmond plant, the plant manager had reported “that no less than nine of his better fishermen had decided to quit fishing and dispose of their boats.” Was there a connection between the Inverness Cannery situation and what had happened at the Richmond plant?

Signs that the Western Fishing Co. was having troubles were noted in June of 1949 when Todd’s managing director Bing reported to the Directors that he was having “difficulty in getting co-operation from Mr. Moir of the Western Fishing Co.” Bing eventually arranged a meeting with Mr. Moir and was astonished to hear that as of June 30th 1949 that the Western Fish Co., Vancouver branch had losses of $49,336.54. The situation was far worse than first imagined, and that by December 31st, 1949 the Western Fishing Co. had losses which totalled $100,496.21. D. Pollock, a long time Todd employee when asked about the Western Fishing Co. and Mr. Moir replied that E. Moir “was a bad connection.” And “eventually the Todds got rid of Eddie Moir.” At a Todd’s board meeting, in early spring an announcement regarding the future of J.H. Todd & Sons and the Western Fishing Co. was made “The joint operation of Todds and
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Western Fishing Co. have proved unsatisfactory, it was decided to discontinue this tie-up and operate separately.”\(^{372}\) J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. did continue on with its Fresh and Frozen operations at their Victoria and Klemtu plants.

Jack Todd, president of the Victoria based Western Fishing Company during this period, hinted that they should not have gone into partnership with Mr. Moir when he stated:

\textit{The focus of the Western Fishing Co. was on fresh and frozen fish products. It was a mistake getting involved with Mr. Moir; we had overextended ourselves. And J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. had its hands full just running the canned side of its operations. The canned salmon industry was very competitive.}^{373}

Don McLeod, senior vice president of the Canadian Fishing Co. offered insight as to why Jack Todd’s statement might have been correct. McLeod noted:

\textit{First, the Fresh and Frozen is a very difficult business. Second, there is a problem with spoilage, as shelf life is limited. Third, facilities were not as good as today. Four, population in the west is relatively small which means a limited market. Five, the quality of transportation for handling fresh products wouldn’t be as good as today. Six, carry cost and cash flow.}^{374}

Todd’s three canneries, Inverness, Klemtu, and Empire, produced a total canned salmon pack of 113,213 cases in 1950. Sales from this pack earned the firm \$2,218,088, however the company was unable to sell all of its pack and at year’s end had unsold inventory valued at \$443,204.80.\(^{375}\) It was noted, by the Todd board, that for 1949, and 1950 that J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. had very substantial canned sales in Belgium.\(^{376}\) Even so, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’s president, E. Todd commented “It is pleasing to note the improvement in the Profit & Loss Statement covering the 1950 operations as compared to the previous year.”\(^{377}\) In 1950 due to “interest of economy” the decision was made to halt processing fish at Inverness Cannery, and consolidate their northern processing operations at their Klemtu plant.\(^{378}\)

Evidence from a Todd minute book sheds some new light on why the Inverness Cannery stopped its canning operations. Processing issues were first discovered at Inverness Cannery in 1947 when the plant only produced a pack of 13,981 cases (the smallest ever), whereas over the last approximately twenty years the average pack was
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The other factor which affected a processor’s pack was unpredictable salmon runs, and in 1950 the pink and chum salmon runs did not show up as expected at the Queen Charlotte Islands, and as a result Inverness Cannery ceased canning operations forever on September 2, 1950. In its final year of production Inverness produced a pack of 18,473 cases. In March of 1951 there was discussion of operating Inverness rather than Klemtu, but with a pending land purchase of Klemtu the Todd & Sons’ board feared that if the Klemtu First Nations found out they might cancel the deal, consequently they decided to operate Klemtu, and use Inverness as a fish camp. The directors of Todd & Sons stated that: “Considerable saving in cannery costs should result from operation of only one plant in the north.” In the 1953 season, an arrangement was made for ABC Packing Co. to custom pack Todd’s Skeena River sockeye at their North Pacific Cannery.

As well, dissatisfaction was noted with their Canadian broker, Swift Canadian because of poor results in selling their canned salmon domestically. These were signs that all was not well with the Todds, and their fish packing firm.

In 1951, all processors in British Columbia, including the Todds, experienced the worse crisis that the industry had gone through in its eighty-one history. BC canners processed a canned salmon pack of 1,957,520 cases, the largest pack produced in ten years. Due to a shrinking overseas market a large percentage of the pack could not be sold. One of the biggest problems “with a large pack carryover is one has thousands of dollars tied up,” added D. McLeod, Executive Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co.

The Todds sensed the severity of the crisis which enveloped their fish packing company and came up with a strategy to deal with it. First, they consolidated their processing efforts to two canneries, Klemtu and Empire canneries. The total pack for the two canneries was 121,903 cases. In any typical year a pack of this size should have normally meant good profits for canners, however in 1951 canned salmon sales
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were disappointing because the Belgium market did not materialize.\(^{392}\) The Todds ended up with a large pack carryover of 50,000 cases of salmon, which they could not market.\(^{393}\) This was a nightmare for the Todds as they had already laid out a considerable amount of money just in the operation of their plants. For the 1951 season the total cost to operate their Inverness, Klemtu, Beaver, Richmond and Victoria plants was $2,543,847.88.\(^{394}\) The three most expensive items being: fish, cans and boat expenses. To add to their problems a “softening market, resulted in a very poor profit loss picture for the Fresh and Frozen Division.”\(^{395}\) A sign that J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was not having a good time of it, occurred in the fall of 1951, when the Canadian Fishing Co. approached Todd’s top manager R. W. Sinclair about the possibility of carrying out a joint herring pack at their Empire Cannery. The proposal was turned down as “the board considers it inadvisable at this time to gamble on herring, especially in view of the large holding of unsold salmon on hand.”\(^{396}\) During usual operational years one would have thought that the Todds would have jumped at this opportunity, especially as the two companies had already carried out a joint herring operation at their Empire Cannery during World War II. The president of J.H. Todd & Sons noted his concern about prospects for the 1952 season due to the following reasons:

\textit{The British Ministry order was less than the previous year. Domestic business, while fair, did not come up to expectations. The industry as a whole carried into the New Year the largest inventory on record...with the large inventory of Canned Salmon and possibilities of increased wage demands from canny workers and increased price for fish from the fishermen.}\(^{397}\)

The 1952 season was another difficult year for all canners, as once again they started off the year with large pack carryover due to diminished markets.\(^{398}\) The monetary ramifications of not being able to move ones’ pack were very serious as “interest charges began from both banks and warehouse charges. Most salmon processors had some warehouse capacity, but in years of large packs they required outside storage on public (private) warehousing which would add to the cost of the salmon in storage.”\(^{399}\) noted D. McLeod, Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co. Regarding J.H. Todd & Son’s pack “we stored all of our salmon at Ballantyne Pier.”\(^{400}\) In reaction to these two years, and the economic hardships that followed, the Todds were forced to implement even more drastic
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cost savings measures. First, they consolidated all of their canning efforts to just one cannery, Klemtu. Second, they entered into a joint fish packing deal and custom packing arrangement with two companies, North Shore Packing Co. Ltd., and Queen Charlotte Fisheries Ltd. as a cost saving measure.\(^{401}\) Having Queen Charlotte Fisheries Ltd. can their pack was a good economic move as explained by Don McLeod, Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co.

\[\text{On a given year, if Todd's were to operate their Empire Cannery they would have had to expend funds in the following: (1) annual maintenance, (2) hiring a qualified crew, and (3) ordering cans, salt and other supplies based on a pre-season forecast by DFO, which from time to time could miss the target by a great deal. This led to a very high risk.}^{402}\]

It is also important to point out that during 1951 and 1952, salmon prices worldwide decreased.\(^{403}\) D. McLeod, a Canadian Fishing Co. long-time manager believes the financial impact and ramifications of two large pack carryovers in 1951, and 1952 “was the tipping stone for the firm.”\(^{404}\) To further complicate matters during the 1952 fishing season, the fishing industry was crippled by five strikes.\(^{405}\)

By the start of 1952, the Todds, and their firm, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. had amassed an overdraft to the amount of $1,951,338.58. On March 3\(^{rd}\) 1952, the Bank of Montreal, the firm’s main backer, seized much of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.‘s assets.\(^{406}\) This drastic move on the bank’s part revealed how serious the situation had become, and that the bank was in control. D. McLeod, of the Canadian Fishing Co. thought: “the Todds were in serious trouble.”\(^{407}\) Seized assets included: all canned salmon, totaling 75,266 cases stored at the Ballantyne and La Pointe Piers, in Vancouver, and at a Swift Canadian Co. Ltd. facility in Victoria. At the company’s main cold facility, B. C. Ice and Cold Storage Ltd., in Vancouver, BC, all frozen fish stocks including varieties of salmon, black cod, halibut, herring, and clams etc. worth $239,130.88. From two of their canneries, Inverness on the Skeena River, and Empire cannery in Esquimalt Harbour a total of 21,939 cases of salmon were seized. In addition, the following cannery items were seized: all boxes, cans, fuel, oil, etc. labels, materials, nets and fishing gear, salt and
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supplies, store stocks, stock-in-trade.\textsuperscript{408}

One would believe that for 1952, that an overdraft of approximately $2 million was a considerable debt, and indicated that J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. faced serious financial problems. Soon after seizing the Todd’s assets the manager of the Bank of Montreal, Mr. MacGregor, sent a letter to E. Todd, which stated:

\textit{It is our understanding that all securities were to be deposited and pledged to us as general collateral. If the purchasers complete their obligations as set out in the agreement...the Bank agrees to release the securities listed in Exhibit “A”}\textsuperscript{409}

The Royal Trust Co., on behalf of the Todd family, and J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., sent a letter back to Mr. MacGregor which stated “All these securities and shares are deposited with you...to further secure moneys now owing by J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd...that the proceeds of the sale of the securities...shall be applied first in reduction of the said indebtedness of $1,843,338.58.”\textsuperscript{410} Examples of some of the assets released included: 1000 shares in both the British American Oil Co. Ltd., and Imperial Tobacco Co. of Canada Ltd. and 240 shares in the B. C. Cement Co. Ltd. (valued at $24,000) as well as preferred shares of the B.C. Ice & Cold Storage Co. Ltd. valued at $264,735.\textsuperscript{411} The importance of B. C. Ice and Cold Storage to Todd's & Sons operations was reflected in a letter written to the Bank of Montreal when it is stated “It is understood...that as soon as the sum of $1,843,338.58, ... have been repaid all the said shares of the B.C. Ice & Cold Storage Company Ltd. ... will be released to by the Bank to J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd....”\textsuperscript{412} It was very apparent the company faced serious problems, but the Todds and their fishing company were not quite finished yet.

A fascinating internal memo written in the fall of 1952 provides some clues as to the Todd’s wealth. The memo states “the bank still has substantial margin, and that the Company has many other assets over and above those shown in the bank’s collateral with the net value of $3,178,047.53.”\textsuperscript{413} Some of the assets listed included, fixed assets: plants, equipment and boats worth about $2 million, and an investment of $490,137 in B.C. Ice and Cold Storage. There was also mention of an additional $500,000 set aside for the costly advances needed for their fishermen.\textsuperscript{414} These numbers reveal that the Todds and their company were financially sound and secure at least for the moment. However, financial pressures were mounting, and just how much longer the family and their firm
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could endure was uncertain. That the memo was written suggests that the Directors needed assurance that all was well and not to panic.

Another sign that the Todd’s fish packing firm was struggling occurred with a May 1952 decision not to process fish at their Empire Cannery for the coming season as a cost saving measure. The Empire Cannery which had processed fish in the Esquimalt Harbour for over forty years never operated again. With the consolidation of all their processing efforts to just one plant, many fishermen may have seen this as a sign that J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was in danger of going out of business, and therefore it was time to go and fish for another company. Consolidation of one’s plants had additional consequences, as noted at a Todd board meeting back in 1949. Once a large cannery ceased operations it would be difficult to re-open, and to obtain canning equipment from the American Can Co.

Addressing the J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’s board of directors in September of 1952, top sales manager F. Dawson expressed his concern, declaring that “With the very large carry-over of last year’s pack, plus the heavy production this season, the situation is very serious.” The firm believed they would be able to sell 25,000 cases of salmon, worth $625,000 to the U.K. but this did not materialize. Due to too much supply market prices dropped 20-25 percent, reducing sales value of Todd’s product to $800,000. Adding to the firm’s problems, production of the Sooke fish traps, traditionally a money maker for the firm, was very poor for the 1952 season to the point that the traps were shut down a month earlier than usual. One of the benefits was noted by a board member who added “This would mean savings of wages and boat charters.” It was also mentioned that the company’s joint venture with the Whiz Fish Company of Seattle during 1952 produced further losses. In learning of the Todd’s predicament, long time BC Packer Ltd., executive, R. Gregory pointed out “Nothing Happens in Isolation.”

In a letter to the Bank of Montreal in March of 1953, the new managing director of J.H. Todd & Sons, R.J. Gosse, revealed that for year 1952 J.H. Todd & Sons had a substantial loss of $770,740.72. He pointed out that the firm had a pack carryover from 1951 of 76,145 cases, valued at $1,367,679.84, and a total of 153,756 cases of unsold
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salmon in its inventory.\textsuperscript{425} He also mentioned that the company lost $134,018.34 in their Fresh and Frozen operations,\textsuperscript{426} but identified the company’s major problem as canned salmon sales.\textsuperscript{427} He then went onto say that “The Company has made decided progress to improve its canned salmon sales position by terminating the Swift contract and by beginning to establish a Canadian Sales organization of its own.”\textsuperscript{428}

Derek Todd, who was a sales manager for J.H. Todd & Sons, mentioned that after the war “we had to regroup, and we went to Swift Canadian and they said “They’d take 70 percent of our pack of salmon.” But after a year or two we found out they weren’t selling any fish at all!”\textsuperscript{429} The Todds had hired Swift Canadian, a brokerage firm, during the early 1940s to handle the sales of their fish products. By the early 1950s it turned out that Swift Canadian Co. Ltd. was doing a poor job of marketing Todd’s canned salmon in their domestic market. President E. Todd’s dissatisfaction with Swift Canadian is revealed when he stated “Domestic sales through Swift’s show a slight improvement during 1950, but still leave a lot to be desired.”\textsuperscript{430} A later memo revealed that the Todds were not pleased with Swift’s performance! “It was apparent that sales of Todd’s salmon were lagging as compared to Cloverleaf and other brands put out by the larger producers.”\textsuperscript{431}

As a result of the brokerage’s inability to market Todd canned salmon, the Todd’s Board gave the matter full consideration from all angles including the deteriorating position of the firm’s canned salmon sales in Canada...“In bringing to a close an official association which has been extended over a period of nearly eight years.”\textsuperscript{432} The Todds felt the termination of this contract would put the firm in position to “more advantageously make sales in our domestic market, which produces the best selling prices.”\textsuperscript{433}

Gosse also attempted to alleviate the bank’s concern, as Managing Director that he would be able to lead the company into ‘safer waters.’ He went on to relate his key role in the establishment of BC Packers Ltd., including his role in setting up the well-known Clover Leaf merchandising program.\textsuperscript{434} Shockingly, just two months later, on May 12, 1953, R.J. Gosse resigned as Managing Director of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.\textsuperscript{435}
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Obviously the resignation of a man of Gosse’s statue within such a short time frame indicated that things were not boding well for the Todds and their company.

The day after Gosse’s resignation, May 13, 1953, the Todds hired P. E. Paulson, a Canadian Fishing Co. man as their new Managing Director. The Todd’s had not given up yet, as Paulson’s contract was for five years ending on May 1st 1958. Paulson immediately hired two new agents, Sainsbury & Co., and J. Harold Ritchie & Co. to market and handle the sales of its pack, but the situation did not improve, “one reason being the changeover of Canadian Brokers during the year.” By the time the new brokers were hired it was too late to rectify the situation. Adding to the firm’s canned salmon marketing problems was that in 1953, the fishing industry “entered the new season with an exceptionally large carry-over and to this was added a new pack which was much greater than had been anticipated.” In an effort to dispose of their canned salmon pack canners did heavy advertising, and lowered their prices. The fact that all processors were trying to sell their canned salmon at distressed prices, meant further difficulty in Todd’s efforts to sell their canned salmon.

By October of 1953, the Todd’s board of directors was concerned about continuing poor market sales: “The Board considered the position of the bank was a serious one and enquired as to sales prospects in the near future.” The future in the BC commercial fishing industry for the Todds looked extremely bleak.

In 1951, J.H. Leaver, a Todd manager, travelled to northern BC and submitted a bleak report on the firm’s operations:

*The obviously large amounts of money being spent by the three large fishing companies, to provide improved facilities for the fishermen such as excellent new net floats, floating buying stations with showers etc., at the same time continuing their regular and systematic building of gill netters. By comparison our own facilities are most inadequate.*

The Directors responded “it was agreed that we had not kept pace with the other companies in this regard, not because of any lack of desire on our part to do so, but
because of our lack of liquid working capital.” The directors then listed the three reasons for the company’s financial situation: first, with the death of W. C. Todd, the firm was forced to release money by redeeming W. C. Todd’s preferred shares; second, the instalment of freezing facilities at Klemtu Cannery was costly; and, third, with the company’s expansion into the fresh and frozen business a considerable amount of money was used to buy into both the Western Fishing Co., and the BC Ice and Cold Storage Co. The directors went on to say that “the last two moves had resulted in a far larger investment than had been contemplated which of necessity forced curtailment in the building of our gill netters.”

This situation reveals that the Todds had over-extended themselves, and they could not, or chose not to inject more money into the firm in order to be competitive with the other processors. Bank of Montreal officials picked up on this decision and asked why the Todds were not showing much interest in putting money into their fishing operations, then why should the bank invest in the company’s fishing operations given the Todd’s reluctance to do so.

The final factor in the decline of the company involves the internment of the Japanese fishermen, and the resulting difficulty in finding a reliable workforce. In 1877 when Manzo Nagano came to the BC west coast he became the first Japanese to enter the BC commercial fishing industry as a gillnet fisherman. The majority of the Japanese who immigrated to BC in the later stages of the nineteenth century had fishing backgrounds, settling in communities such as Steveston, Tofino and Prince Rupert. Within a short period of time salmon canners came to appreciate their hard work and dedication. In the early years canners hired Japanese to cut wood, and then supply charcoal, which was a key fuel for canneries.

In the Steveston area, from 1913 to the outbreak of World War II most washers and fillers in the plants were Japanese women. Japanese were also known as skilled shipwrights, and the Todds had employed them in this capacity. By the 1950s, they had the Yamanaka Boat Works on their premises. However, it was as fishermen where many of these immigrants excelled. Many became top producers, “high liners” on the coast, and were sought after by processors. D. McLeod, senior Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co. and with a career spanning nearly sixty years, explains the
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importance of a ‘high liner’ to any company. “Quality fishermen were important to any company, for instance, if the average boat caught 1,800 fish, and a ‘high liner’ caught 3,000 fish then having a ‘high liner’ fishing for you was like having two boats.”

Veterans returning from the Great War (WWI) found it difficult to re-enter the BC commercial fishing industry, because it was near “… impossible to compete with the Japanese fishermen who were ‘marvelously skillful’ gill-netters.” Walter Wicks, a former Skeena River gillnetter, describes Japanese gillnetters and their abilities as fishermen: “It is true that the cannery management always preferred the Japanese to other groups of fishermen because they brought in the larger catches. They worked night and day.” Dick Pollock, a former top level Todd & Sons employee remembered:

They were excellent fishermen...We had quite a few Japanese fishermen. Regarding gillnetting it took the Japanese fishermen to catch the fish. They fished ‘26 hours’ a day! They were such good producers and able fishermen.

During his time at Inverness Cannery in the 1940s, Pollock recalled that “the Japanese were the main fishermen and that they could out fish anyone!” During Pollock’s time at Inverness one Japanese ‘high liner’ named Tanaka stood out. D. McLeod, senior Vice President of the Canadian Fishing Co., has known and dealt with numerous Japanese fishermen throughout his career, and he lists the main reasons why Japanese fishermen were an asset to a fish company. “The Japanese were exceptionally good fishermen. They had a very good work ethic, and worked together. They were important to a company.”

Then on December 7, 1941 when Japan attacked Pearl Harbor, everything changed for Japanese fishermen, many of whom were Canadian citizens. The very next day “some 2090 licenses issued to 1,265 Nikkei fishermen were cancelled and 1,137 vessels owned and operated by them were impounded.” Many of these seized boats were bought by processors, J.H. Todd & Sons purchasing twenty nine. In 1942 approximately 22, 000 Japanese, and Japanese of Canadian ancestry were sent to internment camps in the interior of BC. Many were shipped back east, and eventually approximately 4000 were sent back to Japan. This must have had a negative impact on salmon processors throughout BC as they lost not only a number of their top producing
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fishermen but also hard working cannery shore workers. The Canadian government finally allowed Japanese and Japanese-Canadian fishermen the option of returning to the BC coast on April 1, 1949. Initially, 119 Japanese received 192 licenses out of a total of 17,371, but due to a lack of capital, only 40 fished.\textsuperscript{460} At first, not all fish companies welcomed back these fishermen, because of lingering racism amongst their fishermen who told the canners “You bring those Japs in here, we quit.”\textsuperscript{461} J.H. Todd & Sons, right from the beginning were eager to welcome the Japanese fishermen back, as is evident from a Japanese fisherman based out of Steveston who stated “At first I was going to work for Beaver and Richmond Canneries, but on our way back, B. C. Packers approached me and to come to them, not to the others.”\textsuperscript{462} Both the Beaver and Richmond canneries were Todd operations. Perhaps competitors such as B. C. Packers were able to provide them with “more security, more financing, new homes and boats, and a market?”\textsuperscript{463} In 1950, the Todd’s Inverness cannery on the Skeena River ceased operations after canning continuously for 74 years. Mr. Tiny Bing, son of former Managing Director of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. L. B. Bing, and former Todd employee at Inverness and Empire canneries, attributes this to the fact that the Japanese fishermen did not return in sufficient numbers to this cannery.\textsuperscript{464} By 1954 the only cannery still processing fish for J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. was their Kletmu Cannery located in Kletmu Pass. The family’s other two canneries, Inverness and Empire had been converted into fish camps. Both Beaver and Provincial plants had been converted into fish camps back in 1941, where they provided services to their fishermen such as ice, and use of their net lofts. R. Gregory, being a long time fishing industry manager explained:

\textit{I believe economic and industry rationalization was the reason. Rationalization means smaller, and more efficient canneries operated. One didn’t need all of these canneries. It was difficult to keep qualified people working 12 months of the year.}\textsuperscript{465}

The end came in the spring of 1954, when the Royal Trust Co. brokered the sale of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. jointly to BC Packers Ltd. and the Canadian Fishing Co. The company was “finally sold for $800,000…the entire operation.”\textsuperscript{466} Serious issues such as
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management problems, very large pack carryovers in 1951 and 1952, lack of liquid capital, and poor partnerships, pushed the Todds to end their seventy-three year involvement in the BC commercial fishing industry. By the early 1950s it was evident the company was struggling. “Why pour more good money after bad?” Richard Gregory asks.467

Without a doubt the Royal Trust Co. persuaded the Todds that it was time to sell their company. The close connection between the Royal Trust Co. went back many years. In the words of Mr. Jack Todd “Mr. Bob Phipps, manager of the Royal Trust Co. played a major role in the workings of J.H. Todd & Sons Limited near the end.”468 It was Phipps who approached B. C. Packers and the Canadian Fishing Co. and brokered the purchase of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.469 Derek Todd’s statement that “the business was controlled by the Royal Trust Co.” suggests just how involved and how much influence the Royal Trust Co. had over J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.470

Although the greater economic and sociological history of a major resource based company like J.H. Todd and Sons is more frequently scrutinized, the wide ranging personal impacts on members of the Todd family should not be neglected. It is easy to say the company owed large amounts of money, had its assets bought etc. but rarely is the human side dealt with, and I feel to give a more complete picture of this story that the human side must be told. Although the Todd's as a family remained financially secure after exiting the fishing industry, the personal impact of the departure persisted for many years. When Derek realized that this paper was going to be written he telephoned me shortly afterwards and said “I want to set the record straight. Can I come over to your house?” The following is a brief summation of how the loss of his long held family business impacted him:

*The bank manager came to our house and said “I’ll give you two months to get rid of the whole fish company, two months. So he went over to Packers and Canadian Fish and made a deal with them and that they’d pick up the assets of the company...and there was nothing left! So they picked up what was left of the company. And it was shocking! It was an insult to the family to lose this business that we had for so long, and the pioneers of the fishing business, and all the loyalty to all the employees that worked there. I felt...my grandfather and father had spent their whole lives in this fishing industry...it was so important to them and for me to come along and in a matter of 6 or 7 years...lose the whole thing.*471
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5. CONCLUSION

J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. processed and marketed primarily canned salmon over a seventy-three year period in the BC commercial fishing industry. During that time the Todds owned or were involved in eleven plants, and processed fish from Anacortes, Washington to the Skeena River in northern BC. The company’s salmon labels especially the company’s flagship label, the Horseshoe sockeye label, was known across Canada, in the United States, and Europe. A unique aspect to the Todd’s operations was the fact that from 1904-1954 the company operated five fish traps located in Sooke, and from 1922-1954, the Todds were the only fish packing company permitted to operate salt water fish traps in British Columbia. The fish traps made a considerable contribution to the Todd’s fortune during the fifty years that they operated. There can be no doubt that these traps aided the Todd’s survival during the difficult and lean times of the fishing industry.

During the first fifty-five years of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’s time in the fishing industry the company prospered and evolved into one of the province’s top processors. It is remarkable that this Victoria based, family-owned and operated company was able to survive in the fishing industry for as long as it did, while so many others did not.

Much of the Todd’s business success and wealth was achieved because they did not make the mistake of only focussing on the risky fishing business, but also made sure to diversify into other areas. This included real estate, real estate management, the logging industry, and the stock market. Their real estate properties helped finance the Todd businesses, and kept the Todds in a very secure financial position throughout their time in the fishing industry.

J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. minute books reveal that the Todd's were major players in the stock market, and had investments in a variety of notable companies. These investments enabled the families to accumulate considerable wealth, which in turn helped them to succeed and endure in the salmon canning business industry for as long as they did. The variety of stocks that the Todd's had shares in was extensive, and impressive. Records from 1940 reveal that the Todds had shares in twenty-one Canadian companies, and in ten foreign companies. The Todd’s broad diversification placed them in a financially secure position so that they were able to survive difficult times like the Great Depression of the 1930s, or avoid aggressive takeovers from competitors on at least five occasions. Future research in this area may shed light on whether this was a typical financial portfolio for a resource based company during this time or unique to the Todd family.

J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. canned all varieties of salmon, including smoked spring salmon. By the 1940s the firm expanded its canning efforts to include herring, tuna and clams. Todd & Sons’ canned salmon products were sold in Canada, as well to foreign markets such as England, Belgium, Italy and the United States. Large amounts of spring
salmon taken from the company’s Sooke fish traps were sold to the Los Angeles Smoking & Curing Co. A variety of fresh and frozen fish products including cod, sole, sable fish, and halibut, were sold locally as well as in markets in the United States. J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. by the 1940s had evolved into a well-diversified fish packing company.

However, by the early 1940s the company’s fortunes took a turn for the worse. The company persevered for an additional 13 years before it too, like so many others before it, finally succumbed to the issues which have historically plagued the industry. The reasons which finally led to the eventual demise and sale of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. were complex, and varied. My research has identified five major reasons for the company’s demise and sale in the spring of 1954. Managerial issues, depressed markets, failed business partnerships, and the loss of key fishermen and labour were the primary factors in the eventual demise of J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.

The first and most important issue was managerial crisis. The death of C.F. Todd and retirement of key management personnel had the greatest impact on the company. The primary reason for the demise and sale of the J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. involves the loss of key family members, and competent managers through either death or retirement. The loss of five key individuals within the fish packing firm between 1937 and 1950 ultimately crippled the firm. By 1950 the company’s operations were hampered by lack of competent leadership, and a good portion of the blame for this predicament must be attributed to management, and the lack of a viable succession plan. Foreseeing the inevitable, W.C. Todd chose his son Derek as his successor to the family business. However, this plan was changed as a result of the untimely death of W.C. Todd in 1942. As Derek and his family were unable to pay the necessary succession duties to assume control over the company, E.D. Todd intervened and became president. E.D. Todd’s overall lack of interest in the fishing industry would contribute to the eventual downfall of the company. It is possible that if W.C. Todd had not died and the family had more time to prepare the succession plan, the outcome may have been different.

After the deaths of C.F. Todd and his son W.C. Todd, it was very clear that the Todd’s fish packing company lacked sound management. Soon afterwards, L.B. Bing, who had been with the company since 1919, was appointed managing director. Bing managed the firm until 1950 when illness forced him to step aside. By 1950 J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd.’s managerial problems were very evident, and the company struggled to find anyone from within their managerial pool capable of leading the company through these extremely challenging and difficult times. The firm’s production manager, R.W. Sinclair should have been the logical person to lead the company, but by this point in time he was too old, and wanted to remain in an advisory position. As Richard Gregory stated, it is well understood that a company is only as good or bad as its management, and in the Todd’s case this was increasingly evident. Although management was proving to be
problematic from 1941 onward, the decision was made to seek outside managerial support beginning in 1952. In a last ditch attempt, the Todd's reached out to competitors, including the Canadian Fishing Co., for management to help turn the company’s fortunes around. Two outside managers came in, and for just over a year and a half they tried everything to save the company but unfortunately the situation was too dire, and they could not turn the company’s situation around.

Second, beginning in 1947, depressed and fluctuating world canned salmon markets culminated in the financially disastrous years of 1951 and 1952 when all canners in British Columbia experienced extreme difficulty in marketing their canned salmon pack. The seven final years the Todds and their company were in operation were tremendously stressful financial times, ultimately persuading the Todds that it was time to leave the industry. For eighty years, the BC salmon canning industry’s primary market was Great Britain, and in 1947 England only purchased 5 percent of its usual volume. In 1948, for the first time ever the British did not buy a single can of BC salmon. Again in 1950 Great Britain bought no BC canned salmon. The loss of the British market was devastating economically.

The Todds were fortunate during this period, finding temporary relief in the Belgium market for two years before this market dwindled as well. The BC salmon canning industry was dealt yet another devastating blow in 1951 when, once again due to limited markets, canners were burdened with the largest pack carryover ever in the history of the industry. As a result, processor’s warehouses were bursting with product that they could not sell, which was a terrible financial burden. Processors had thousands of dollars tied up in a product with no place to sell, and on top of that canners had to pay bank interest rates and charges for the use of additional warehouses. Suddenly what was once an asset became a liability.

Product that should have been earning profits was now accumulating expenses as storage. By 1952, the Todds and their company, which until this point in time had been able to survive and were largely immune to the fickle nature of the industry, now exhibited signs that their fish packing company was in trouble. Just how bad times were at J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. became evident when it was revealed it had a $2 million overdraft and that its lender had seized much of its assets. Unfortunately for all involved in the BC salmon canning industry, 1953 did not provide much relief. The nightmare reality of an additional year of heavy canned salmon pack, once again put the firm under tremendous, and ultimately fatal, financial stress.

Third, in the 1940s the Todds made two business partnerships, one with a brokerage firm which was hired to market their pack domestically and a second partnership with a company which specialized in the fresh and frozen markets. In 1945 the Todds, diversified their fish processing operations in the fresh and frozen fish market. To facilitate this expansion E. Todd invested considerable money into their Klemtu
Cannery where they did a complete overhaul of the facility, complete with sizeable cold storage. The decision was made to go into partnership with E. Moir, owner of the Vancouver-based Western Fishing Co., which specialized in fresh and frozen fish products. With its diversification into the fresh and frozen fish market, the Todds soon discovered that an additional plant was required. The decision was made to expand their Victoria headquarters to include a plant with a small freezer and ice making capabilities.

Due to growing losses and poor management, in 1950 the Todds decided it was time to end their relationship with Mr. Moir and his Western Fishing Co. Jack Todd, president of the Western Fishing Co., Victoria branch, mentioned that it was a mistake getting involved with this company and that by doing so J.H. Todd & Sons had overextended itself. This overextension, the result of two business arrangements including heavy investment in Western Fishing Co. and the purchase of BC Ice and Cold Storage Co., had left the Todd's financially hamstrung. An internal director’s memo from this period reveals that the impact of this investment into the Western Fishing Co. and the BC Ice and Cold Storage Co. tied up most of their liquid capital. This lack of liquid capital was debilitating for J.H. Todd & Son’s operations. As was noted by a Todd manager, the company’s competitors were fixing up plants and building new boats, while they were saddled with aging infrastructure and equipment.

The broker’s role in marketing a processor’s fish products was, and continues to be, crucial to any processor’s success. From the mid-1940s, Swift Canadian Co. was the Todd’s agent, and major distributor, which marketed its canned salmon in the Canadian domestic market. The first indication that the Swift Canadian Co. was not doing a satisfactory job of marketing Todd’s canned salmon was noted in 1950 at a J.H. Todd & Sons’ board meeting, where it was pointed out that although sales were up, they still left a lot to be desired. Beginning a year later, reports showed that Todd’s canned salmon sales continued to lag behind their major competitor, BC Packers Ltd.’s Cloverleaf brand.

Todd’s directors were not at all pleased with Swift Canadian Co.’s efforts to market their canned salmon. Swift Canadian Co. was not able to improve Todd’s canned salmon sales, and consequently the Todds terminated their contract with this brokerage firm in 1953. Consequently, two new brokerage firms were hired in 1953. Unfortunately for the Todds and their company, domestic canned sales did not improve. This grim reality led to the final chapter and ultimate demise of the company.

The last factor which helped to hasten the demise and sale of the company was the deportation of Japanese and Japanese-Canadians from the BC coast in 1942. The loss of a number of coveted ‘High Liners’ and shipwrights, as well as skilled labourers, added to the company’s woes and contributed to the Todds decision to end their time in the BC fishing industry. With the deportation of these people from the BC coast, all canners lost critical and essential labour including the much coveted ‘High Liner’ fishermen. A former Todd’s employee from Inverness Cannery during the 1940s was asked: “Why did
the Inverness Cannery stop processing salmon in 1950?” He replied, “Because of the loss of the Japanese Fishermen.” Todd records for the period reveal that 1947 to 1950, the last four years that Inverness canned fish, were the worse pack production years in the cannery’s history. Some of the blame for the poor production was certainly the result of natural and endemic issues, including poor salmon runs and an aging fleet of gillnetters. However, the loss of the highly skilled Japanese fishermen is not an inconsiderable factor. A former Todd accountant for this cannery during this period vividly remembers the Japanese as being the best and main fishermen at this cannery.

When the Japanese were permitted to return to the BC coast in April 1949, only approximately forty fishermen returned due to lingering racism, lack of money and lack of boats. These fishermen were not welcomed back with open arms by the majority of processors. Many fishermen threatened to quit if the Japanese and Japanese-Canadian fishermen were asked to return. However, there was one exception to this, and this was J.H. Todd & Sons, as was noted by some of the returning Japanese fishermen. Ultimately this apparent welcoming of the returning Japanese labour force did not benefit the Todds as had been hoped. While the Todds may have been the first to remember the value of these returning workers, the rest of the industry eventually recognized the important opportunity and quickly reopened their doors to capitalize on a valuable asset. While racist sentiment must certainly have lingered, the high skill level of the returning Japanese fisherman could not be denied. Although lingering company loyalty to their former employers may account for some of the returning Japanese not choosing to work for the Todds, another possibility may be attributed to the Todds deteriorating reputation as leaders in the industry.

The Todd story is more than just a story of one family struggling in the BC commercial fishing industry. This is a story of the BC fishing industry as shown through the lens of one family. In many ways the company was typical and their challenges were those of the industry as a whole. Right from the start sufficient capital was a necessity, to purchase a plant, boats, equipment, cans etc. Having adequate labour for both shore workers and fishermen was crucial. Every year a canner never knew with certainty if adequate numbers of fish would return. Even in years with a good salmon run, fickle foreign and domestic markets left a canner with looming uncertainty when it came time to market his pack. An additional pressure in the industry, that is not often talked about openly, is the ever present threat of corporate amalgamation, both amicable and otherwise. These challenges are inescapable regardless of the agency of individuals or any one particular family dynasty. This reality cannot be overlooked and the Todd history is a true reflection of how structure eventually forced the Todds to acknowledge the conditions that eventually caused the demise of the company and their decision to leave the industry.

Although the structure of the fishing industry is a particularly unforgiving reality,
the effect that individual Todd family members had on navigating these treacherous waters cannot be discounted or overlooked. In many ways, the family leadership was equally responsible for both the long term success and the eventual demise of J.H. Todd and Sons. Without this agency, the fate of this significant player in the BC fishing industry may have simply sailed with the tides rather than navigate a course of its own trajectory. In one way the Todd family story is exceptional because they were survivors while many others were not. This story of survival is a recurring theme when looking at the larger history of the Todd family. Through political influence and connections, C.F. Todd managed to secure fish trap licenses. Also, through diversification, as well as shrewd real estate and stock market investments, they amassed the necessary capital to survive this cyclical industry. By the start of World War II, the Todds were able to rise to the top of the BC fishing industry corporate ladder. When the time was opportune, they made the decision to expand their fishing operation, and they diversified their efforts to the fresh and frozen fish markets.

It is important to note two crucial points about the 1954 sale of the province’s oldest fish processing firm. First, J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd. still retained value as a company at the time of its sale and the new owners BC Packers Ltd., and the Canadian Fishing Co. continued to operate it for an additional fifteen years.

By 1954 the Todd family was no longer a player in an industry that had been part of the family for seventy-three years. For some of the family, this loss was felt much more deeply than a financial one. Derek Todd spoke for that part of the family when he said he was ashamed and saddened by the loss of the family’s dynasty. Derek Todd reminds us that J.H. Todd & Sons Ltd., and perhaps by extension other family-based companies, amounted to more than bottom lines in a ledger. These resilient companies were often the result of invested passion and energy of families like the Todds. The demise of such a dynasty also represented a huge personal loss not only for the individuals directly involved but for the communities of the BC coast.
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